

The Myton Path Risk Register

| RISK IDENTIFICATION | | | | RISK ASSESSMENT - POTENTIAL RISK | | | COUNTERMEASURE/ MITIGATION | RISK ASSESSMENT - RESIDUAL RISK | | | Action Date | COMMENTS |
|----------------------------|---------------|---|--|----------------------------------|----------|------------|--|---------------------------------|----------|------------|-------------|--|
| Ref | Date recorded | Risk | Impact | Prob. | Severity | Risk Score | Countermeasure | Prob. | Severity | Risk Score | | |
| 1 Project Risks | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | May-24 | The Council fails to support the project | Project will cease | 2 | 3 | 6 | Report to Cabinet in July 2024 to gain support for project | 1 | 3 | 3 | Jun-24 | Needs the support of the Council at this stage, although it has been approved previously |
| 2 | May-24 | The Council fails to secure sufficient funding for the project | Project will cease or be severely restricted in scope | 2 | 3 | 6 | Various funding sources will be sought as advised in the Cabinet report in July 2024 | 1 | 3 | 3 | Jun-24 | Rising prices will make it important to retain affordability through the project |
| 3 | May-24 | Planning Permission for the Myton Path is refused | The project would be halted until a revised application could secure permission | 2 | 2 | 4 | Detailed pre-application advice should ensure that the project is likely to secure permission before an application is submitted | 1 | 2 | 2 | Aug-24 | Planning Consultants to be employed to reduce this risk |
| 4 | May-24 | It does not prove possible to agree the terms of the land transfer from Myton School and/or the County | A failure to agree would mean that the Myton Path could not be constructed | 2 | 2 | 4 | A detailed series of discussions need to be held with the Club and its legal representatives to ensure that agreement is reached | 1 | 2 | 2 | Dec-24 | Existing agreement needs to be revised so agreement should be possible |
| 5 | May-24 | The School or the Department for Education refuses permission for the transfer of land to construct The Myton Path. | The Myton Path could not be built. | 2 | 3 | 6 | Regular meetings and detailed discussions will be held with both parties to ensure compliance. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Oct-24 | Discussions ongoing until agreement reached. |
| 6 | May-24 | It is not technically and/or financially possible to make The Myton Path Net Zero in operation. | The Council would fail in its strategic objective to make the whole site Net Zero in operation. | 2 | 2 | 4 | The importance of Net Zero construction will be made clear to the Design Team and additional budget will be provided | 1 | 2 | 2 | Oct-24 | This matter should be relatively easy to resolve as The Myton Path will not use much energy. |
| 7 | May-24 | The topography of the site makes the route of The Myton Path uneconomic. | The Myton Path project would become unaffordable | 2 | 3 | 6 | Detailed design work and the recent topographic survey will ensure that this issue is assessed early in the design process. | 1 | 3 | 3 | Dec-24 | Progress will be regularly reported to Board |
| 8 | May-24 | Inflation in the local construction industry makes the construction unaffordable | The project would have to cease or more resources would need to be found | 2 | 3 | 6 | The design will be costed at various stages throughout the design development to ensure affordability | 1 | 3 | 3 | Dec-24 | Progress will be regularly reported to Board |
| 9 | May-24 | Councillor supervision of the project is not sufficient to ensure accountability | The Project would not be sufficiently accountable to Members | 2 | 2 | 4 | A Project Board and a Community Stadium (and related projects) Members' Liaison Group will both be set up promptly | 1 | 2 | 2 | Jun-24 | Meetings will be commenced in the summer of 2024 |
| 2 Operational Risks | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | May-24 | The project development costs become greater than the budget allowed. | Project development activities would not be possible without funding | 2 | 2 | 4 | Project development costs will be closely monitored and reported regularly to Board | 1 | 2 | 2 | Dec-24 | Early warning will be provided if funds run short |
| 11 | May-24 | Myton School or the Department for Education does not agree to the transfer of land for the Myton Path | The delivery of The Myton Path could not continue. | 2 | 3 | 6 | Regular meetings will be held with Myton School and a request made to the Department of Education in good time | 1 | 3 | 3 | Dec-24 | Progress will be reported to Board and proposed Heads of Terms will be reported to Cabinet |
| 12 | May-24 | The Warwick Technology Park does not agree to the installation of pedestrian and cycle links from the Park to the Myton Path | The delivery of the pedestrian and cycle links could not continue | 2 | 2 | 4 | Regular meetings will be established with appropriate landowners to secure permission | 1 | 2 | 2 | Dec-24 | Progress will be reported to Board and proposed Heads of Terms will be reported to Cabinet |
| 13 | May-24 | Other landowners do not agree to any agreements for transport links necessary to deliver the project | Alternative routes or accesses would need to be implemented | 2 | 1 | 2 | Any other landowners will be identified as early as possible in order to begin negotiations | 1 | 1 | 1 | Dec-24 | Progress will be reported to Board and proposed Heads of Terms will be reported to Cabinet |
| 14 | May-24 | The need for appropriate radii at the bends in the middle of the Myton Path means it is not possible to achieve County Council design guidance. | The design would have to have tighter curves than are permitted in the County Council design guidance, which could reduce County Council support for the project | 2 | 2 | 4 | The design of this element of the path will be considered early in order to redress any issues. | 1 | 1 | 1 | Oct-24 | Progress will be reported to Board as the design progresses. |
| 3 YY Risks | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Mitigation/Countermeasure

Sometimes known as mitigation, the countermeasure is what we plan to do to prevent the risk from happening. Generally, Countermeasures fall into one of 6 types:

Prevention: steps are taken that removes the threat or completely stop it from happening.

Reduction: steps taken reduce the chances of the risk developing or limit the impact.

Acceptance: it is decided to accept the risk and do nothing. This is almost always taken in the belief that the risk will not occur or the impact negligible. It is particularly important to record that this is the approach being taken.

Contingency: actions are planned to come into force only if the risk occurs.

Transference: Usually a specialist form of risk reduction where the impact is passed to someone else. e.g. insuring against a risk, or invoking penalty clauses against suppliers.




Probability Categories

| Description | | Probability | Scale Value |
|-------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| H | Probable | >70% | 3 |
| M | Could happen | 30-70% | 2 |
| L | Improbable | <30% | 1 |

Severity Categories

| Description | | Guide Scenario | Scale Value |
|-------------|----------|---|-------------|
| H | Critical | Failure that involves significant rework, modification or reassessment | 3 |
| M | Serious | Failure or setback that causes additional work and reassessment but containable | 2 |
| L | Marginal | Impact has some effect causing rework or reassessment but easily handled | 1 |

Risk Category & Action

| | |
|--|---|
|  | Key/ Critical Risks - closely monitor, manage & develop fallback plans |
|  | Intermediate Risks - monitor and manage to mitigate/ include specific risk allowances in cost es |
|  | Minor Risks - general allowance in base cost estimate & programme |

Risk Scoring Matrix

| | | |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------|
| Severity | High/ Critical | 3 |
| | Medium/ Serious | 2 |
| | Low/ Marginal | 1 |