

**Note: This is a summary of decisions and is not the formal minutes of the Planning Committee. It is intended to give early notice of the decisions taken.**

**Part A – General**

1. **Apologies and Substitutes** – to be detailed in the minutes.
2. **Declarations of Interest** - to be detailed in the minutes.
3. **Site Visits** – to be detailed in the minutes.
4. **Minutes** – to be detailed in the minutes.
10. **Appeals report** – to be detailed in the minutes.

**Part B - Planning Applications**

**9. W/23/1689 - Land on the North East Side of Birmingham Road**

The application was granted in accordance with the recommendation in the report.

**6. W/23/0798 - Mace Buildings Limited, Long Itchington Road, Hunningham**

The application was refused in accordance with the recommendation in the report, for reason one - inappropriate development in the Green Belt, as detailed in the report. Members did not support the second reason for refusal.

**8. W/23/1425 - 6 Lillington Avenue, Leamington Spa**

The application was granted in accordance with the recommendation in the report, subject to:

- i. An additional condition requiring a landscaping scheme combined with amendments to condition five to provide a comprehensive landscaping and biodiversity management plan incorporating as far as possible the retention of an existing tree within the site or failing that substantive replacement planting; and
- ii. A note to the applicant advising of the Committee's desire that the tree referred to above be retained.

Authority was delegated to the Head of Place, Arts and Economy in consultation with the Chair of the Planning Committee to finalise the wording of those conditions.

**7. W/23/1231 – 15 Beaufort Avenue, Cubbington**

The application was granted in accordance with the recommendation in the report.



#### 5. **W/22/1739 – 26 Wathen Road, Warwick**

The Committee noted that the application was subject to an appeal to the Planning Inspectorate against non-determination of the application by the LPA within the statutory 13-week period. The Committee resolved that had they been in a position to formally determine this application the Committee would have refused the application for the reasons as set out in the report.