

Licensing and Regulatory Committee

Excerpt of the Minutes of the remote meeting held on Monday 19 July 2021 at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa at 4.30pm

Present: Councillors Illingworth (Chairman), Cullinan, Grey, Leigh-Hunt, Mangat, Redford, and Wright.

7. **Apologies and Substitutes**

(a) apologies for absence were received from Councillors Boad, C Gifford, Heath, Norris and Syson; and

(b) there were no substitutes.

8. **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

15. **2023 Review of Parliamentary Constituencies**

The Committee considered a report from Democratic Services which informed Members of the proposed Parliamentary Constituencies for Warwick District as part of the first consultation and provided a suggested submission on behalf of the Council.

The 2023 Review of Parliamentary constituencies were underway, with the first proposals having been published by the Boundary Commission for England (BCE). The proposals were available online and open to comment from anyone by 2 August 2021.

The initial proposals for the West Midlands included revised boundaries for both the Kenilworth & Southam Constituency and the Warwick and Leamington Constituency. These would have electorates of 74,107 and 72,784 respectively, being the two largest electorates of the five in Warwickshire, however these were not the largest in the region which was over 77,000 or the smallest which was just under 70,000.

The remit of the Boundary Commission for England for this review set the UK electoral quota for the 2023 to the nearest whole number, 73,393. Accordingly, every recommended constituency (except the five 'protected' constituencies) needed to have an electorate as at 2 March 2020 that was no smaller than 69,724 and no larger than 77,062. There would be a further review of constituency boundaries but this would be for eight years after the completion of the 2023 review.

This Council had, for a significant time, received complaints regarding the current Constituency Boundaries as they were not aligned with either District or Town/Parish Boundaries. For example, in parts of Milverton Ward, a small number of properties on the same road were divided between two Constituencies. These proposals resolved those issues without significant change for the District.

There were two broad points of issue about the constituency proposals.

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

The first was about the projected growth in size and the second was about the geography of the constituencies.

With regards to growth, officers had been looking at the growth of the electorate in South Warwickshire generally. Between the time of the report and 2028, within Warwick District, based on the current local plan proposed sites and approved developments, the electorate in the Warwick and Leamington Constituency was expected to grow by circa 4,400 electors and Kenilworth & Southam by 6,126. This growth in Kenilworth and Southam did not allow for growth within any Wards from Rugby Borough nor Stratford-on-Avon District Councils. For example, the development of the Gaydon Village site (circa 3,000 homes) was underway and the Cawston, Bilton, Dunchurch site (circa 5,000 homes) was now coming forward. As a result, there was potential for the Kenilworth & Southam constituency to become greater than 90,000 by the time of the next review, with Warwick & Leamington also being over the upper limit of 77,062.

To help mitigate the implications of some of this growth it was suggested that the Budbrooke Ward was retained within the Warwick and Leamington Constituency. It would enable by 2028, an electorate in Warwick & Leamington of over 82,000 and Kenilworth and Southam of over 81,000, which was a far more balanced outcome.

This said, by law, the Boundary Commission for England was not permitted to consider future growth, however it could consider special geographical considerations, including in particular the size, shape and accessibility of a constituency, and any local ties that would be broken by changes in constituencies. Therefore, any proposal to move Budbrooke Ward would need to provide clear evidence on these points.

In terms of Geography, the Committee noted that the Constituency of Warwick and Leamington would be completely surrounded by the Constituency of Kenilworth & Southam. From inspection of the proposed constituencies in England, this only occurred in one other situation where York Central Constituency was surrounded by York Outer Constituency. No guidance on such an arrangement was provided by the BCE, however the LG BCE made the following reference on what they called "Doughnut Wards" - *"we occasionally receive proposals for a pattern of wards which propose an 'inner' ward and an 'outer' ward for a town or village. We will not normally recommend this kind of pattern because the communication links between the north and south of the outer ward are usually poor and we also often find that people in the northern part of the outer ward share higher levels of community identity with residents in the north of the inner ward than with residents in the south of the outer ward. Where we need to split a town or village to achieve electoral equality, we will usually seek an alternative to this pattern."*

Officers had considered this point and had consulted with its Budbrooke Ward Councillors, who supported Budbrooke remaining with Warwick & Leamington Constituency. The view of officers and Budbrooke Ward Councillors was due to the proximity of the Budbrooke Ward to Warwick and its relationship with its infrastructure being aligned with that of its nearest major town as well as Bishop's Tachbrook, this Ward should remain part of the Warwick & Leamington Constituency. Further the increased development in and around the east of this Ward would have greater

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

community relationship with Warwick and Leamington and this would need to be fostered to help build a stronger and more cohesive community.

This change would recognise the concerns that the Local Government Boundary Commission raised generally about community and identity, which, while harder to achieve for large constituencies, officers considered that every effort should be made to follow them, especially when these communities were being built.

Currently, there was a Ward Boundary review being undertaken of Stratford-on-Avon District Council Wards, which would be concluded prior to the review of the Parliamentary Constituency review. While this might or might not come into effect dependent on the outcome of the potential merger with Warwick District Council, this Council should champion the need for coterminous boundaries. This was especially important when the changes could impact upon one of the Constituencies which represented Warwick District.

In terms of alternative options, within the guidelines for such reviews no alternative options had been considered, however the Committee could choose to provide a different submission to the Boundary Commission for England.

In response to questions from Members, the Democratic Services Manager and Deputy Monitoring Officer advised that the Boundary Commission for England would be repeating this process every eight years and Warwick District Council were consultees. The proposed figures from the Commission was that Kenilworth and Southam would be on the limit of electors but including the expected growth it would be significantly out of tolerance. The Boundary Commission for England could not look at growth so the report had come forward with the suggested approach to try and mitigate this by arguing on community grounds.

In response to a further question from Members, the Democratic Services Manager and Deputy Monitoring Officer clarified that Budbrooke was still in the Warwick and Leamington Constituency, but the Boundary Commission were proposing to remove it from the new Warwick and Leamington Constituency.

The Committee expressed that they were delighted that Warwick, Leamington and Whitnash were kept together as a single Constituency and asked that this be reflected in the comments from the Council.

The Committee therefore

Resolved that

- (1) the two proposed Parliamentary Constituencies covering Warwick District, of Kenilworth & Southam and Warwick and Leamington, as set out on the Plans at Appendix 1 and Appendix 2 to the report, be noted; and

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

- (2) the Boundary Commission for England be asked to consider the following points when setting the boundaries:
- (i) the Council welcomes the retention of Warwick, Leamington & Whitnash in a single constituency and broadly supports the wider proposed Boundaries as they will address some long-standing issues within the District;
 - (ii) the Council objects to the creation of an island constituency for Warwick & Leamington for the reasons set out above;
 - (iii) the Warwick District Ward of Budbrooke should be moved into the Constituency of Warwick and Leamington for the reasons set out above;
 - (iv) the significant expected growth in the electorate for the Kenilworth & Southam Constituency be noted; and that this could be mitigated by moving the Budbrooke District Council Ward to Leamington & Warwick Constituency for the reasons set out above; without the need for the Commission to recognise growth;
 - (v) the Commission ensures their final proposals follow the final proposed wards of the current LGCBE boundary review for Stratford-on-Avon District Council to avoid the current issues of non-aligned boundaries for some Wards in South Warwickshire.

(The meeting ended at 5:38pm)