

**Response from the meeting of the Executive on O&S Committee's Comments
- 6 March 2018**

Items no.	4	Title	Local Code of Corporate Governance	Requested by	Labour Group
Reason considered	Strengthen the frame work of the policy, more opportunities for the public to comment on proposals.				
Scrutiny Comment	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee asked Councillor Naimo to speak on this at Executive. <i>(Due to a clash of schedules between F&A and O&S, and the importance of the report author's presence at F&A, the report author was unable to attend O&S in time.)</i>				
Executive Response	The recommendations in the report were agreed.				

Items no.	6	Title	Review of Green Space Strategy & Approval of Revised Green Space Action Plan	Requested by	Green Party / Labour Group
Reason considered	<p>Green Party</p> <p>We are strongly in favour of investment in Green Spaces and so welcome Phase 2 of the action plan. However, can details of the quality audits be provided to help understanding of current strengths and weaknesses? Specifically:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. When are future quality audits to be undertaken? (see section 3.6) 2. What opportunity is there for local residents and councillors to feedback on completion of sites (section 3.8)? <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Are smaller works not listed? E.g. money available for Wych Elm? 3. How are the aims in section 8.4 mapped onto the quality audit? For example is community use and participation in decision-making captured by the audit? 4. Section 8.5 mentions 8 key criteria and 27 field assessments: can these all be given in the table? 5. For each of the key criteria and field assessments, can you provide the average percentage score for both the self-assessment and independent surveyor's assessment? 6. Is the quality focus different for different types of green space e.g. play area versus wildlife habitat as well as the hierarchy: destination, district, neighbourhood and local? <p>Labour Group</p> <p>To better understand the priorities and projects, project process management.</p>				

Scrutiny Comment	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report, and detailed additional information it would like in future reports.
Executive Response	The recommendations in the report were agreed.

Items no.	9	Title	Vision & Strategy for Leamington Town Centre	Requested by	Green Party
Reason considered	<p>This is an excellent aspirational document; our concerns are over implementation.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Action Plan p17 – 32: can the officer and department leading each WDC action be included? 2. The 'Green' actions in the plan (on-going and short-term) should all be completed by end of 2019. So would it be appropriate to report progress to O&S in January 2019, then again in January 2020. 3. p 23. Change numbering so 7.1 not 6.1 in section 7 etc <p>Are the highway improvements in section 9.1 (should be 10.1) to reduce traffic flow and speeds to help create pedestrian and cycling routes (B1) and so encourage modal shift (B11)?</p>				
Scrutiny Comment	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report.				
Executive Response	The recommendations in the report were agreed.				

Items no.	10	Title	Residential Design Guide – Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) & Parking Standards SPD	Requested by	Labour Group
Reason considered	<p>Housing To discuss housing density figures and living accommodation standards. To gain a greater understanding of the formulation of the policy and justification.</p> <p>Parking To gain a greater understanding of what our capacity is for on street and off street parking. (Capacity, usage and time of day) Can we generate an agreed database? All examples used are Leamington focused no consideration of other areas, e.g. Warwick</p>				
Scrutiny Comment	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report.				
Executive Response	The recommendations in the report were agreed.				

Items no.	11	Title	Local Development Scheme (LDS)	Requested by	Labour Group
Reason considered	<p>Gipsies and Travellers missing off the programme. How hard are we working to find the sites and update.</p> <p>Why can't contingency sites be allocated? What are the options to improve responsiveness to the issues whilst there is a clam on this issue?</p>				
Scrutiny Comment	<p>The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the recommendations but expressed concern that Gipsies and Travellers, sustainable buildings and health impacts were not being addressed in the next three years, although the Committee recognised the limitations due to staffing issues.</p>				
Executive Response	<p>The recommendations in the report were agreed.</p>				