Warwick District Council – Register of Complaints

Register of complaints made to the Monitoring Officer of Warwick District about Warwick District Councillors and Town/Parish Councillors of Town/Parish Council's within Warwick District between May 2015 and May 2019.

Ref	Date	Date Closed	Complainant	Council	Complaint	Outcome
1/2015-2019	received 14/10/2015	18/11/2015	Member of the public	Warwick Town Council & Warwick District Council	 (1) Comments were made by a Councillor that failed to treat people with respect (2) Comments were made by two Councillors on a planning application at Warwick Town Council when they were on the District Council's Executive and should not have done this (3) When considering a planning applications a number of the Councillors failed to declare that they had received hospitality from the applicant 	The complaint was considered by the Monitoring Officer and after consideration of the matter with an Independent Person (RT) appointed the Council, concluded that no further action should be taken. This was with the exception that all Warwick District Councillors along with their Parish and Town Council colleagues will be reminded of the need to keep their declarations of interest up to date. With regard to (1) the Councillor in question had apologised for the comment made on the evening it was made.
2/2015-2019	28/2/2016	3/3/2016	Member of the public	Warwick District Council	A member of Warwick District Council failed to show respect to e member of the public and acted unlawfully at Council meeting	The complaint was considered by the Monitoring Officer and discussed it with an Independent Person (BM) appointed by the Council. It was decided that it did not merit formal investigation.

	when trying to prevent them from recording the	Therefore no further action will be taken other than apprising the Councillor of the law when it
	meeting.	comes to recording Council
		meetings. The reasons for the
		decision are:The right to record the
		meeting was dealt with very
		quickly ensuring that there was
		no interference with the rights of
		the complainant i.e. the
		complainant did not suffer a detriment.
		The alleged verbal
		exchange between the
		complainant and the Councillor
		may well be contested and there appear to be no independent
		witnesses. Even if independent
		witnesses could be found, it was
		not considered that the alleged
		breach to be proportionate to the officer resource involved in trying
		to establish what was said, and in
		what tone and manner.
		• Even if it was established
		there was a breach, precedent shows that at the most a Hearings
		Panel would require a written
		apology and it was not considered
		that this outcome would be
		proportionate to the cost of
		running an investigation and hearing given the content of the
		alleged breach

Wetherle ymember of the public with respect and intimidating or attempting to intimidate or bully a member of the public by posting publishing untrue criticism statements and defamatory statements.with an Independent Perso appointed by the Council. T Monitoring Officer decided did not merit formal invest and therefore no further ac will be taken.0The reason for the decision statements and defamatory statements.The reason for the decision that even if it was accepted the Councillor was the pub of the website items (which require an investigation in o The article complained of reported faithfully the statement made at a pu meeting by the Chairma the Parish Council and therefore the 'lack of rest (if there was any) stems the original statement r than the publication; an o Neither in the statement council meeting, nor in web publication were yo named and the content not in Itself threaten an action.
--

Updated 8 April 2016