

**Response from the meeting of the Executive on O&S Committee's Comments
- 8 January 2019**

Items no.	7	Title	Leisure Development Programme – Phase 2, Kenilworth	Requested by	Labour & Lib Dem Groups
Reason considered	<p>Labour Group - To scrutinise the consultation and community benefits associated with the proposals.</p> <p>Lib-Dem Group – To fully understand the thinking behind the selection of the option being put forward, and to be assured that any decision, having taken everything into consideration, is in the best interests of the whole District.</p>				
Scrutiny Comment	<p>The Committee supported recommendations 2.1 and 2.3 in the report and noted recommendation 2.2.</p> <p>In respect of recommendation 2.4 in the report, the Committee recommended to the Executive that it deferred its decision to allow further work on a full evaluation of a lido option as a facility to benefit the whole District.</p>				
Executive Response	<p>The recommendations in the report were approved and the petition was noted.</p> <p>The Executive rejected the recommendation from Overview and Scrutiny Committee because the decisions taken so far were based on the recommendations received from the very experienced team leading the project, and the success of the other two leisure centres within the District were a testimony to the team's expertise. If another consultation was to be held, the Portfolio Holder could not see how the results would be any different from the one already conducted, and failed to see what else could be done in order for the public to be able to express their opinions.</p> <p>It was therefore proposed by Councillor Coker and seconded by Councillor Butler that the recommendation from the Overview & Scrutiny Committee was rejected on the basis that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • despite the lido option not being part of the consultation, it had been fully evaluated by officers and an independent, well-respected consultancy; and • consequently, there was no merit in holding a further consultation as Members were comfortable that all the material issues had been examined both in preparation for the report of September 2018 and report of January 2019. 				
Items no.	10	Title	Supplementary Planning Documents - request to consult	Requested by	Green & Labour Groups

<p>Reason considered</p>	<p>Green - This is particularly to understand more about the proposed implementation of the custom and self-build SPD and the purpose build student accommodation SPD. For example</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Implications of anticipated numbers and type of custom and self-build 2. Proposed definition of PBSAs 3. Potential unintended consequences of PBSA zone rules on page 25, appendix 3: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. How zone 1 is discounted for PBSA proposals in other zones ii. Use of kitchens as 'dwelling equivalents' iii. Whether zone 2A or 2B is stricter iv. Favouring larger PBSAs as Area of Impact is proportional to (bed space)² <p>Labour - to scrutinise how these proposals fit with and improve on existing planning policies and If the consultations will meet the objectives as set out.</p>
<p>Scrutiny Comment</p>	<p>The Committee noted the report.</p>
<p>Executive Response</p>	<p>The recommendations in the report were approved.</p>