WARWICK 111 Executive UISTRICT 111 COUNCIL	Agenda Item No. 6	
Title	Call-in of Executive Decision – St Mary's Lands Business Strategy	
For further information about this report please contact	Graham Leach, Democratic Services Manager 01926 456114 or email graham.leach@warwickdc.gov.uk	
Wards of the District directly affected		
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006?	No	
Date and meeting when issue was last considered and relevant minute number	O & S and F & A Committees 18 June 2013, Executive 19 June 2013, O&S Committee 10 July 2013 and Council 21 August 2013.	
Background Papers	Report to Executive 19 June 2013 "St Mary's Lands Business Strategy". Minutes of the meeting of the Executive 19 June 2013.	

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number)	No
Equality & Sustainability Impact Assessment Undertaken	No

Officer/Councillor Approval			
Officer Approval	Date	Name	
Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	28/8/2013	Chris Elliott	
Executive			
Head of Service			
СМТ	28/8/2013		
Section 151 Officer			
Monitoring Officer	28/8/2013	Andy Jones	
Finance	28/8/2013	Mike Snow	
Portfolio Holder(s)			
Consultation & Community Engagement			
Final Decision?		Yes unless the Executive revise their	
		original decision in which case it	
		could be called into Scrutiny for	
		further consideration	
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)			

1. SUMMARY

1.2 On 19 June 2013, the Executive made decisions item 9 "St Mary's Lands Business Strategy". In accordance with the Council's call in procedure, these decisions have been referred back to the Executive for consideration.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That in respect of the resolution 19 June 2013 made by the Executive on the "St Mary's Lands Business Strategy", the Executive takes one of the following actions:
 - (i) to confirm the decision made by the Executive on 19 June 2013 so it can be implemented without further delay; or
 - (ii) to make an alternative decision which would be subject to a further call in.

3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

3.1 The recommendations are in line with the procedure set out in the Council's Constitution under Council Procedure Rules for call-ins.

4. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

4.1 A call-in is simply the referral of a decision made, but not yet implemented, to the Council. It is a key way of holding the Executive to account. A called-in decision cannot be implemented until it has been considered by Council, which can examine the issue and question the decision maker on the reasons for the decision.

5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 Budgetary implications have been detailed in the report that went to the Executive on 19 June 2013, as set out in Appendix 2.

6. **ALTERNATIVE OPTION(S) CONSIDERED**

6.1 There is no requirement for alternative options because a call-in requires that a set procedure is followed.

7. **BACKGROUND**

- 7.1 On 18 June 2013, the Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee considered Item 9 St Mary's Lands Business Strategy that would be decided by the Executive the following day.
- 7.2 The Summary of the Scrutiny comments is attached as Appendix 3. During the meeting of the Executive, the Chairman of the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee amended his Committee's comments in respect of the report on the St. Mary's Lands Business Strategy to remove its recommendation to defer a decision on the report and accepted that full consultation would be undertaken as part of due process.

- 7.3 On 19 June 2013, the Executive met and made its decision on the report as set out in Appendices 2 to this report. Appendix 4 is an extract of the minutes of the meeting which shows the decisions made by the Executive in respect of the reports.
- 7.4 On 24 June 2013, Councillors called-in the St Mary's Lands report was also called in. The reasons for the call-in are set out at Appendix 1 to the report. The reports were considered by Overview & Scrutiny Committee on 10 July 2013.
- 7.5 The Overview & Scrutiny Committee determined that and that no further action should be taken with regard to "Item 9 St Mary's Lands Business Strategy."
- 7.6 Subsequent to the Overview & Scrutiny Committee, under Council procedure rule 23(h) three of the Councillors (Councillors Dhillon, Mrs Bromley and Higgins) called the Item 9 St Mary's Lands Business Strategy for consideration by Council. The reasons for the Councillors referring this to Council were given as:
 - (i) Concerns on handing over common land to provide a hotel
 - (ii) Conflict identified with 1984 Act of Parliament
 - (iii) Concerns on getting market price should land be given
 - (iv) Concerns on conflict of Interest if a Cllr is appointed to the board of the Racecourse Company.
 - (v) Concerns on expansion of caravan park on to the common land
 - (vi) If some common land is sold off, what is stopping more being sold off in the future
 - (vii) Concern of suggested delegation in 2.2
 - (viii) Concern on insistence to build hotel in area rejected by the planning committee and with neighbour objections
 - (ix) Conflicts to national polices
 - (x) Conflicts with County Council Cycle and travel routes
- 7.7 At Council on 21 August 2013 the item was referred back to the Executive for further consideration. The Executive therefore are obliged to reconsider the decision and can either confirm their original decision or take an alternative decision. However it should be noted any revision to the previous decision would enable the decision to be called into Overview & Scrutiny Committee as set out with the Council procedure rules.

Appendix 1 – Reasons for call in to O&S Committee

Executive Agenda Item Number	Report Title	Councillors who called-in the report	Reasons
9	St Mary's Lands Business Strategy	Councillors: Mrs Bromley Dhillon Mrs Higgins Mrs Mellor	 (i) Concerns about expansion of both the golf course and the caravan site on Common Land. (ii) Planning permission was rejected for the hotel on brown field land at the entrance to the Racecourse and there were strong objections from neighbours to this. (iii) Conflicts with national policies. (iv) Conflicts with the County Council Cycle and Travel Routes. (v) The site is in a Conservation Area. (vi) There might be a conflict of interest if a councillor were appointed to the Racecourse Board. (vii) Concern at the suggested delegation stated in point 2.2 of the report (viii) Concerns on handing over Common Land for the hotel. (Conflict identified within an Act of Parliament 1984.) (ix) Councillors feel that if part of the Common land is sold, then it should be sold at market rate, not tenant's price. (x) Concerns that more land will be sold off in the future. (xi) The dispute between the Ministry of Defence, Air Cadets base, should be settled with the Landlord.

WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL	19 th June	Agenda Item No. 9
Title	St Mary's Lands	Business Strategy
For further information about this	Andrew Jones	
report please contact	Andrew.jones@warwickdc.gov.uk	
	(01926) 45683	0
Wards of the District directly affected	Warwick West	
Is the report private and confidential	No	
and not for publication by virtue of a		
paragraph of schedule 12A of the		
Local Government Act 1972, following		
the Local Government (Access to		
Information) (Variation) Order 2006?		
Date and meeting when issue was	N/A	
last considered and relevant minute		
number		
Background Papers	Executive Comr	nittee Report 12 th
	December 2012	

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan?	Yes
Equality & Sustainability Impact Assessment Undertaken	N/A

Officer/Councillor Approval			
Officer Approval	Date	Name	
Chief Executive	22 nd May 2013	Chris Elliott	
Deputy Chief Executive &	7 th May 2013	Author	
Monitoring Officer			
СМТ	28 th May 2013	Chris Elliott Bill Hunt Andrew Jones	
Section 151 Officer	28 th May 2013	Mike Snow	
Head of Service	22 nd May 2013	Tracy Darke	
Legal Services	14 th May 2013	Peter Endall	
Portfolio Holder	22 nd May 2013	Councillor Hammon	
Consultation & Community Engagement			
Final Decision?		Yes	
Suggested next steps (if no	t final decision	please set out below)	

1. SUMMARY

1.1 The report provides details of the recommendations from GVA Leisure's appraisal of potential leisure uses of St Mary's Lands, Warwick and asks the Executive to agree the next steps.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That Executive considers GVA Leisure's appraisal of potential leisure uses of St Mary's Lands, Warwick (Appendix 1) and agrees the following:
- 2.1.1 That a business strategy for the development of St Mary's Lands is produced and that the strategy takes a holistic view of the land to ensure that the interests of all stakeholders are taken into account;
- 2.1.2 That in accordance with GVA's recommendation (8.15 of their report refers) a spatial master plan is produced to inform the business strategy and that £10,000 match-funding is made available from the Contingency Budget to support this work;
- 2.1.3 That the construction of a hotel on the land identified as the hatched area at Appendix 2 is integral to a successful business strategy;
- 2.1.4 That the development of a business strategy is overseen by a Steering Group, chaired by the Portfolio Holder for Development Services, consisting of key stakeholders and that the aforementioned Portfolio Holder, Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) and Warwick Racecourse Company (WRC) representatives agree the key stakeholders.
- 2.2 That subject to agreeing recommendation 2.1.3, Executive gives approval for the Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Development Services and with the support of suitably qualified professionals, to enter into negotiations with WRC and conclude agreements permitting the demolition of the buildings shown approximating to the hatched area on the plan at Appendix 2 and the construction of a hotel in that same area, either by means of:

2.3.1 The surrender of the land required for the hotel from the 2005 Lease and the completion of an 'Agreement for Lease' in respect of that land. The Agreement would include pre-conditions requiring WRC to:

- (a) Obtain planning permission for the proposed hotel; and
- (b) Complete construction of that hotel,

upon which a new lease shall be granted in accordance with the Warwick District Council Act 1984;or

2.3.2 In the alternative, if judged more commercially expedient, to enter into an agreement in like terms to the Agreement for Lease referred to in Recommendation 2.3.1, but providing for consent for the proposed hotel to be given by the District under the extant 2005 Lease rather than by surrender and the grant of a fresh lease; or

2.3.3 In the alternative, if judged more commercially expedient and providing that the interests of the District's residents are protected, to take a surrender of WRC's lease, grant a new lease without the land required for a hotel and sell

that land to WRC. Should this approach be considered to be the most beneficial then a further report would be submitted to Executive.

- 2.4 That Executive notes the arrangements officers will put in place should recommendations 2.1 & 2.2 be agreed to ensure that there is no conflict between the Council's role as a landlord and that as a planning authority.
- 2.5 That Executive notes the legal advice at 3.11 in relation to Competition law.
- 2.6 That Executive considers whether it would wish to nominate a Councillor to join the Board of Warwick Racecourse Company Limited.

3. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 At the Executive meeting of 12th December 2012 the following was agreed:
 - to support work on an options appraisal for a St Mary's Lands Business Strategy commissioned by Warwick Racecourse Company (WRC).
 - to contribute up to £6,000 from the Contingency Budget to match fund WRC's investment in the commission.
- 3.2 The commission was awarded to GVA Leisure who undertook their work during January and February of this year. GVA's final report is attached at Appendix 1. The report is a comprehensive piece of work, stretching to 84 pages, and its recommendations are evidence based. Its headline recommendations are as follows:

"Overall, we consider that there is a commercial market for:-

- a budget hotel;
- touring caravan park extension, and;
- improvements to the golf centre.

In addition we consider that there are opportunities for small local independent or community led schemes for:-

- a fitness gym (possibly in concert with the boxing club and/or football club);
- a community-led five-a-side facility in concert with the football club;
- an independent children's nursery and/or play centre, and;

• improvements to the central area to create an improved nature attraction/parkland attraction.

- 3.3 These recommendations have been discussed with the Portfolio Holder for Development Services and his two Shadow Portfolio Holders and the response has been very positive believing that GVA's appraisal provides a firm foundation for the development of a business strategy for St Mary's Lands.
- 3.4 Members will recall that the reason they agreed to support and part-fund the appraisal by GVA was because of the concern it had regarding the future viability of the racecourse. It may be helpful to remind Members of two passages from the financial viability work undertaken by Wilks Head & Eve (WH&E) which was commissioned by the Council in connection with the failed hotel planning application:

"If the operator fails to make improvements to visitor facilities and diversification into non-race day income generation, often relating to the same investment, then that racecourse will fall behind the competition in the light of reducing funding allocation by the racing industry."

"On the evidence which has been prepared and submitted and the indications which the outline development proposals supports (sic), suggests that development of a hotel complex at the racecourse would indeed make the prime operation of the racecourse more sustainable for the operator."

- 3.5 GVA's work concludes that there is a commercial market for a budget hotel. Its view is that despite Planning Committee's rejection of the application for a hotel this is a business proposition that should be revisited if Warwick Racecourse is to materially increase its non-race day income.
- 3.6 It is therefore recommended that a business strategy for the whole of St Mary's Lands is developed and at the heart of it sits the development of a hotel. For the arguments laid out in the 12^{th} December report, it is in this Council's interests to see a thriving St Mary's Lands and to produce a business strategy may well require some further funding particularly in relation to the production of a spatial master plan. Officers consider that a maximum of £10,000 matchfunded by WRC would address this.
- 3.7 Through the appraisal process, a stakeholder group has been used to take views and test ideas. This group is made up of representatives from District Council (Members), Warwick Town Council (Members), Racing Club Warwick, Warwick Marching Band and Warwick Golf Centre. To develop a business strategy it is felt that a stakeholder Group should take on a proactive Steering Group role and it is recommended that following consultation with the Portfolio Holder, Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) invites key stakeholders to form a Steering Group to develop the strategy.
- 3.8 The provision of landlord consent will mean that WRC would submit a new planning application for a hotel. The Company had previously started discussions with the Council's planning service to produce an application that takes on board (as far as possible) the views from the Planning Committee. WRC is committed to working with the local community to try to address as many of their concerns as possible. This application will be overseen by the Council's Development Manager. The landlord matters will be dealt with by Council Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) and officers will ensure that strict "Chinese wall" arrangements are put in place to ensure that all interests are protected. The legal advice to officers will come from different members of the Council's legal team.
- 3.9 Should Members agree to the way forward and approval for a hotel is subsequently given, then the Council's legal advice is that there are three viable options available in relation to the lease with negotiations revealing what is most advantageous to the Council. For each case, a pre-condition would be the construction of a hotel. Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) would lead on negotiations with WRC although it is recognised that suitably qualified professional support will be required to arrive at a premium or rent which is commensurate with the value of the interest the Council will be granting. Should the sale of any land be considered to be the best way forward, a further report will be submitted to Executive so that the proposed sale is subject to maximum transparency.
- 3.10 Correspondence from local residents, received by the Council during consideration of the hotel planning application, asserted that if the Council was to proactively support a particular hotel enterprise then this would be in breach of competition law. It was stated that the Council was intent on subsidising the hotel by not seeking a commercial consideration from WRC for the grant of the

Council's consent as landowner under the 2005 Lease. This would, it was alleged, give the proposed Racecourse Hotel an unfair competitive advantage over other providers, stifling competition for hotels in the Warwick area, constituting a breach of the Competition Act sufficient to render the District Council's actions illegal.

- 3.11 The Council's legal adviser has considered these arguments and concluded that there is no reason to believe that the Council will fall foul of the Competition Act if negotiations are conducted with WRC as suggested in this Report. Thus, it is not considered that WDC has to date, or will in any negotiations to come, subsidised the Racecourse in respect of the hotel proposals. Hence there can be no distortion of competition in the local hotel market.
- 3.12 Following the planning application refusal in 2012, there was a strong belief of WRC and Jockey Club (JC) that WDC was ambivalent to the future prospects of the racecourse. Whilst there was recognition of the distinct roles of the Council and Planning Committee, the view was that the Council had no particular interest in whether the racecourse continued its operations. However, this Council's response since the refusal has been warmly welcomed (with particular thanks to the Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Development Services) and WRC & JC's view has changed markedly. In fact the view now is that WDC is a key partner and consequently WRC asks Executive whether it would like to nominate a Councillor on to its Board.

4. POLICY FRAMEWORK

- 4.1 The report supports the Council's Vision of making Warwick a great place to live, work and visit by promoting employment and protecting a valuable public amenity.
- 4.2 The Council has also agreed a strategy statement "The future and sustainable prosperity for Warwick district" which among other things seeks to:
 - Support the growth of the local economy; and
 - Maintain and promote thriving town centres.
- 4.3 Warwick Racecourse's proposal will help ensure the viability of the Racecourse, bring new employment to the town and enable development to take place on the fringe of the town centre.

5. BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK

- 5.1 Should Executive agree to match fund the cost of the work then up to £10,000 could be drawn down from the Contingency Budget for which the detailed balance is shown within Appendix N of the Final Accounts report to this meeting.
- 5.2 Under the Government's new Business Rates Retention scheme, Councils will be able to benefit financially by increasing their tax base. A new hotel would increase the rates payable to the Council. However, were the Racecourse not to continue then this would have the opposite effect as the tax base would go down potentially leaving the Council with less income.

6. ALTERNATIVE OPTION(S) CONSIDERED

- 6.1 Members could decide not to support the development of a business strategy but this may leave Warwick with a failed racecourse. The land would then revert to WDC with the constraints of listed buildings and a Conservation Area location.
- 6.2 With regard to the proposed hotel, Members could decide not to give consent for demolition and a hotel at this time. Members could await the outcome of any planning application that the Racecourse makes before considering the matter. Officers consider that this is not an appropriate position for the Council to take. JCR had previously been questioning the Council's commitment to the Racecourse and so providing landlord consent will be a clear demonstration that the Council recognises the strategic importance of the Racecourse and wants to do what it can to sustain the amenity.
- 6.3 Members could decide not to provide Landlord consent. This would leave WRC to "fend for itself" with the Council playing no role in the long term sustainability of the Racecourse. This approach does not accord with the Council's commitment to promote its town centres and support sustainable development.

Appendix 3

Extracts from the Summary of Comments made on the Executive Agenda for 19 June 2013 by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee and the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee

9. St Mary's Lands Business Strategy

The Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee did not support £10,000 match funding at this stage until local residents had been given an opportunity to comment on proposals. It therefore made the following recommendation to the Executive.

The Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee made the following recommendation:

That a decision on the report be deferred in order to allow for a one-off consultation exercise, such as a public meeting, in order to allow residents to express their concerns before any decision is taken.

The Executive are required to vote on this because it forms a recommendation to them.

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended that:

(1) There should be full and widespread consultation with the people of Warwick and Warwick Town Council

(2) Recommendation 2.6 should be removed because there is a potential conflict of interest and there is no need for this position

(3) The scheme must come back to the Council/Executive before any

agreement with the Racecourse is concluded

(4) Procurement guidelines must be followed closely and the possibility for open bidding for the leases must be ensured

(5) The independence of the Planning Committee must be safeguarded and made clear to the public

The Executive are required to vote on this because it forms a recommendation to them.

Several Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee had strong reservations about the location of the hotel.

Appendix 4 – Extracts from the approved minutes of the Executive 19 June 2013

13. ST MARYS LANDS BUSINESS STRATEGY

The Executive considered a report from the Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) which provided details of the recommendations from GVA Leisure's appraisal of potential leisure uses of St Mary's Lands, Warwick and asked the Executive to agree the next steps.

At the Executive meeting of 12 December 2012 it was agreed to support work on an options appraisal for a St Mary's Lands Business Strategy commissioned by Warwick Racecourse Company (WRC) and to contribute up to £6,000 from the Contingency Budget to match fund WRC's investment in the commission.

The report explained that the commission had been awarded to GVA Leisure who undertook their work during January and February of this year and their final report was attached as an appendix to the report. The report was a comprehensive piece of work and its recommendations were evidence based with the headline recommendations being outlined in paragraph 3.2 of the report.

Following submission of this report, one recommendation was that a business strategy for the development of St Mary's Lands be produced, a spatial masterplan be produced to inform the business strategy and £10,000 match funding be made available from the Contingency Budget to support this work.

Members support was also sought for the construction of a hotel on the land identified as the hatched area at Appendix 2, which officers felt was integral to a successful business strategy. In addition, a Steering Group was proposed, chaired by the Portfolio Holder for Development Services which would consist of key stakeholders. The Portfolio Holder, in conjunction with the Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) and Warwick Racecourse Company (WRC) representatives would agree the key stakeholders.

Additional recommendations requested approval for the Deputy Chief Executive, in conjunction with other key individuals, to enter into negotiations with WRC and conclude agreements permitting the demolition of the buildings shown approximating to the hatched area on the plan at Appendix 2 and the construction of a hotel in that same area, by various means. The separate options for proceeding with this were detailed in recommendations 2.3.1 to 2.3.3.

Finally, Members were asked to note officer arrangements, legal advice in relation to Competition law and to consider whether it wanted to nominate a Councillor to join the Board of Warwick Racecourse Company Limited.

An alternative option was that Members could decide not to support the development of a business strategy but this could leave Warwick with a failed racecourse. The land would then revert to the Council with the constraints of listed buildings and a Conservation Area location.

With regard to the proposed hotel, Members could decide not to give consent for demolition and a hotel at this time. Members could await the outcome of any planning application that the Racecourse made before considering the matter. However, officers did not consider that this was an appropriate position for the Council to take. The Council's commitment to the Racecourse had previously been questioned and providing landlord consent would be a clear demonstration that the Council recognised the strategic importance of the Racecourse and wanted to do what it could to sustain the amenity.

Members could decide not to provide Landlord consent. This would leave Warwick Racecourse to "fend for itself" with the Council playing no role in the long term sustainability of the Racecourse. This approach did not accord with the Council's commitment to promote its town centres and support sustainable development.

The Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee did not support £10,000 match funding at this stage until local residents had been given an opportunity to comment on proposals. It therefore made the following recommendation to the Executive:

That a decision on the report be deferred in order to allow for a one-off consultation exercise, such as a public meeting, in order to allow residents to express their concerns before any decision is taken.

The Chairman of Finance and Audit advised that the Committee weren't against the concept but wanted to ensure that the Council was engaging with local residents and stakeholders.

Several Members of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee had strong reservations about the location of the hotel.

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended that:

- (1) There should be full and widespread consultation with the people of Warwick and Warwick Town Council
- (2) Recommendation 2.6 should be removed because there is a potential conflict of interest and there is no need for this position
- (3) The scheme must come back to the Council/Executive before any agreement with the Racecourse is concluded
- (4) Procurement guidelines must be followed closely and the possibility for open bidding for the leases must be ensured
- (5) The independence of the Planning Committee must be safeguarded and made clear to the public

In response, the Portfolio Holder for Development Services stated that Warwick Town Councillors had not been as involved as much as he would have liked them to be although he recognised that it had been difficult for Town Councillor delegates to attend all of the stakeholder meetings. He reminded Members that nothing had been set in stone and the correct controls would be in place to improve the area and benefit the residents of Warwick. He assured Members that full consultation would be undertaken as a matter of course and felt that it was more sensible to have a business strategy and masterplan to consult on so to defer a decision on the report at this stage would be impractical. He also gave a commitment that regardless of what decision was reached in respect of the tenure arrangements for the hotel's construction, a further report would be submitted to Executive for its final approval.

In response to the Overview and Scrutiny comments, the Executive reiterated that full consultation would be a included as part of due process. In addition,

procurement guidelines would be stringently followed on all projects, as per the Code of Procurement Practice.

At this point, the Chairman of Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee advised that he was sure that his Committee would not now want the matter deferred as the Portfolio Holder had given the necessary commitment around consultation.

The Chief Executive addressed members regarding recommendation (5) from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee and reminded them that all planning applications were dealt with in accordance with planning policy. He stated that the Planning Committee could not run 'independently' from the rest of the Council because Council policies had to be given due regard when dealing with applications. He therefore, suggested that the recommendation be reworded to refer to the integrity of the Planning Committee rather than the independence.

Some Members expressed their appreciation of the proposals and felt that the ideas put forward so far had good potential. The Executive agreed that joint working with Warwick Town Council and other relevant bodies was imperative to the successful regeneration of the area and the steering group would need to take their responsibilities seriously.

There was general agreement that the report was very comprehensive and included some excellent ideas for the reinvigoration of this area of Warwick.

Having read the report and accepting the comments made by the Scrutiny Committees, the Executive agreed the recommendations as set out in the report (subject to Overview & Scrutiny Committee recommendations) with the exception of recommendation 2.6.

RESOLVED that

- (1) GVA Leisure's appraisal of potential leisure uses of St Mary's Lands, Warwick (Appendix 1), be noted and agrees the following:
 - (a) a business strategy for the development of St Mary's Lands is produced and that the strategy takes a holistic view of the land to ensure that the interests of all stakeholders are taken into account;
 - (b) in accordance with GVA's recommendation (8.15 of their report refers) a spatial masterplan is produced to inform the business strategy and that £10,000 match-funding is made available from the Contingency Budget to support this work;
 - (c) the construction of a hotel on the land identified as the hatched area at Appendix 2 is integral to a successful business strategy;
 - (d) the development of a business strategy is overseen by a Steering Group, chaired by the Portfolio Holder for Development Services, consisting of key stakeholders and that the

Item 6 / Page 14

aforementioned Portfolio Holder, Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) and Warwick Racecourse Company (WRC) representatives agree the key stakeholders.

- (2) the Deputy Chief Executive (AJ) in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Development Services, with the support of suitably qualified professionals, be given approval to enter into negotiations with WRC and conclude agreements permitting the demolition of the buildings shown approximating to the hatched area on the plan attached as Appendix 2 to the report, and the construction of a hotel in that same area, either by means of:
 - (i) The surrender of the land required for the hotel from the 2005 Lease and the completion of an 'Agreement for Lease' in respect of that land. The Agreement would include pre-conditions requiring WRC to:
 - (c) Obtain planning permission for the proposed hotel; and
 - (d) Complete construction of that hotel,

upon which a new lease shall be granted in accordance with the Warwick District Council Act 1984;or

- (ii) in the alternative, if judged more commercially expedient, to enter into an agreement in like terms to the Agreement for Lease referred to in Recommendation 2.3.1, but providing for consent for the proposed hotel to be given by the District under the extant 2005 Lease rather than by surrender and the grant of a fresh lease; or
- (iii) in the alternative, if judged more commercially expedient and providing that the interests of the District's residents are protected, to take a surrender of WRC's lease, grant a new lease without the land required for a hotel and sell that land to WRC.
- (iv) but regardless of the agreed means, a further report is submitted to Executive for its consideration.
- (3) the arrangements officers will put in place should recommendations 2.1 & 2.2 be agreed to ensure that there is no conflict between the Council's role as a landlord and that as a planning authority;
- (4) the legal advice at detailed at paragraph 3.11 of the report, in relation to Competition law, be noted.

(The Portfolio Holder for this item was Councillor Hammon)