APPENDIX Kenilworth Town Centre Partnership

	Annual Health Check	Commentary	Scrutiny
	Questions	(Please refer to any	Comments
		supporting documents)	
9.1	What has the partnership	K leaflet for visitors	
	achieved during the course	Two new Invest in	
	of the previous twelve months?	Kenilworth brochures	
	monuns?	Improved website Assisted a number of	
		businesses in investing in	
		the town	
		Development of a public art	
		action plan (in conjunction	
		with WCC/WDC Arts Officer) Business Breakfasts	
		Running of the town retail	
		radio scheme	
		The work of the partnership	
		has contributed towards an	
		environment where: Footfall has increased	
		against the national	
		declining trend	
		Vacancy rate reduced to	
		approx 1% (way below	
		County & national averages)	
		The TCM has also assisted	
		in a number of projects that	
		are outside of the direct	
0.2	How have the achievements	work of the TCP.	
9.2	made a difference to the	Work primarily aimed to deliver a vital and viable	
	residents/visitors/businesses	town centre – regenerating	
	of Warwick district and how	sites and intensifying	
	do those achievements align	development.	
	to the aims of the Sustainable Community	Economy, Skills and	
	Sustainable Community Strategy?	Employment	
		·····	
		Safer Communities (Retail	
		Radio)	
9.3	Have there been any	No	
	significant changes to the external environment, such	The Economic Climate	
	as the state of the economy	continues to be difficult	
	or the introduction of new	which will cause a re-	
	legislation, which require a	appraisal of the	
	re-appraisal of the need for	partnership's priorities.	

	the partnership? If so, what are these?		
9.4	What measures have been used to determine whether the partnership is providing value for money?	Improvement of footfall Vacancy rate Business engagement	
9.5	What consideration to extending the scope of the partnership has been made?	None. However, this has to be considered under Lean Systems.	
9.6	How can it be demonstrated that the achievements were not possible without the partnership?	Co-ordination, co-operation and an agreed approach to what needs to be done have enabled work priorities to be set and to contribute to an environment where the town thrives.	
9.7	What alternative options to the partnership have been considered?	Business Improvement District feasibility. The costs of development are currently high and there is no certainty that business will support it.	
9.8	What are the key outcomes to be achieved over the forthcoming twelve months and how will they be measured?	Development (or a revision) of a new action plan Better promotion of the town (linked to tourism) and also to new businesses Address visitor management issues – sign posting/maps etc Increase in retail radio take up Work with WDC on Area Action Plan Aiming to: Further increase footfall Reduce Vacancy rate and	
9.9	What arrangements have been in place during the previous 12 months for the management of risk? If no risk register is in place, why was one considered unnecessary?	increase investment Enhanced risk management of major events Risk register not in place for the TCP, because the TCP is largely informal (with a constitution), has no direct (or devolved budget), and risks for projects are dealt with as part of project management.	

Executive Group of the Partnership also considers Risks to TCP and the town centre during it's pre- meetings.
ED&R risk register includes risks for TCM where appropriate.