

Appendix 3

Criteria for call in of Executive Reports to Scrutiny Committees

This process is about the pre-scrutiny of reports to be considered by the Executive and not the Call in procedure if members are dissatisfied with the Executive Decision (under Council Procedure Rule 21).

1. We encourage all members to ask questions about issues that either affect residents or in which they have an interest. Scrutiny is not the only way to do this and members should feel free to raise questions with portfolio holders at any time.
2. Scrutiny committees will consider issues that have due significance with reference to the following criteria:
 - a) The number of residents impacted and the significance of that impact.
 - b) The amount of spend involved.
 - c) It concerns a strategic priority of the Council or key project.
3. Scrutiny committees should only consider items where there is a tangible reason to do so. This should broadly fit into one of the following criteria:
 - a) Where there are concerns about the basis for a recommendation e.g. the data that had led to the recommendation is deficient, or new data or information deemed material to forming a view on the item has been provided too late for a written question and answer to be circulated before the meeting, or members are aware of contradictory evidence.
 - b) There is an alternative policy, development or direction which needs to be explored.
4. Reasons for any request for scrutiny to consider an item should be clearly stated based on the approach outlined above.
5. Where the Chair of the Scrutiny Committee considers the criteria is not met, they can either ask for clarification from the Councillor and, if they remain unsatisfied, decline the request for the item to be considered.