| WARWICK III<br>UISTRICT III<br>COUNCIL   | Committee – Ager   | nda Item No. 7     |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Title  | Comments from the I  | Executive          |
| For further information about this report please contact   | Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer,<br>01926 456114 or<br><u>committee@warwickdc.gov.uk</u> |                    |
| Service Area   | Civic & Committee Se   | ervices            |
| Wards of the District directly affected  | N/A  |                    |
| Is the report private and confidential<br>and not for publication by virtue of a<br>paragraph of schedule 12A of the<br>Local Government Act 1972, following<br>the Local Government (Access to<br>Information) (Variation) Order 2006 | No   |                    |
| Date and meeting when issue was<br>last considered and relevant minute<br>number   | 11 March 2014 & 25   | March 2014         |
| Background Papers  | Executive Minutes –<br>March 2014  | 12 March 2014 & 26 |

| Contrary to the policy framework:                                   | No |
|---|----|
| Contrary to the budgetary framework:                                | No |
| Key Decision?   | No |
| Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number) | No |

# Officer/Councillor Approval

With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).

|                     | Date | Name |
|---------------------|------|------|
| Relevant Director   |      |      |
| Chief Executive     |      |      |
| СМТ                 |      |      |
| Section 151 Officer |      |      |
| Legal               |      |      |
| Finance             |      |      |
| Portfolio Holders   |      |      |

| Consultation Undertaken                     |                       |
|---|-----------------------|
| N/A   |                       |
|   |                       |
| Final Decision?                             | Yes                   |
| Suggested next steps (if not final decision | please set out below) |

# 1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in March.

### 2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

#### 3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION**

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

### 4. **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

#### 5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

#### 6. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

### 7. **BACKGROUND**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

# Response from the meetings of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 12 March 2014 & 26 March 2014

| Item<br>no.         | 5   | Title  | Housing Strategy 2014-17 | Requested<br>by | Lib Dem Group &<br>Labour Group |
|---------------------|-----|--|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Reason<br>conside   | red | Lib Dem Group - Its importance to the District and to get greater<br>clarification.<br>Labour Group – Members had some questions about schedules.  |                          |                 |                                 |
| Scrutiny<br>Comme   |     | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee welcomed the report and commended it to the Executive.   |                          |                 | eport and                       |
| Executiv<br>Respons |     | The Portfolio Holder for Housing and Property Services, Councillor Vincett,<br>endorsed the report and thanked the Overview and Scrutiny Committee<br>for its support.<br>Having read the report and having heard the representation from the<br>Scrutiny Committee, the Executive decided to agree the recommendations<br>as written. |                          |                 |                                 |

| Item 6                | Title   | Hackney Carriage Fare<br>Increase – Request from<br>Drivers   | Requested<br>by | Labour Group |  |
|-----------------------|---|---|-----------------|--------------|--|
| Reason<br>considered  | Memb  | Members had questions about the process.  |                 |              |  |
| Scrutiny<br>Comment   | delay<br>reviev<br>servic   | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended that the Executive<br>delayed any decision in respect of fare increases pending a complete<br>review of the taxi drivers' code of conduct to improve the standards of<br>service and vehicles.  |                 |              |  |
| Executive<br>Response | Scruti<br>consid<br>was fe<br>Cound<br>betwe<br>proce<br>come<br>Havine<br>Overv<br>recom<br>1.<br>2.<br>3. | <ul> <li>Members were concerned about the comments raised at Overview and Scrutiny Committee and were uncomfortable with the proposed new fares, considering the level of service currently being reported. In addition, it was felt that a 50% increase in the soiling charge was too high.</li> <li>Councillor Coker also felt that further communication should take place between officers and the hackney carriage drivers, during the review of processes, to negotiate a more suitable fare increase, with a report to come back to Executive at a later date.</li> <li>Having read the report and having and in light of the comments from Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee, the Executive refused the recommendations in the report and resolved: <ol> <li>That the recommendations in the report were refused.</li> <li>That the Executive fully supported the pending review of the policies and procedures relating to Taxi Licensing.</li> <li>As part of that review, officers were asked to negotiate with drivers</li> </ol> </li> </ul> |                 |              |  |

|  |   |  | 26 March 2014  | 4   |              |
|--|---|--|--|---|--------------|
| Item<br>no.  | 3   | Title  | Petition against the High<br>Speed Rail (London West<br>Midlands Bill) | Requested<br>by                                 | Labour Group |
| Reason<br>conside  | <b>Reason</b><br>consideredThis is a key issue for the Council and the District and members had some<br>questions about how matters will be taken forward.  |  |  | members had some                                |              |
|  | <b>Scrutiny</b><br><b>Comment</b> The Overview and Scrutiny Committee agreed with both recommendations<br>in principle and recommended that the Council's representative on 51M<br>was included in the named parties given delegated authority in<br>recommendation 2.2. It also recommended to the Executive that all<br>councillors see the final petition before it was submitted. |  |  | entative on 51M<br>hority in<br>cutive that all |              |
| Executive<br>ResponseThe Executive agreed with the comments from the Scrutiny Committee.<br>Members accepted that they could not name Councillor Illingworth or the<br>Council's 51M representative within the decision because they did not hole<br>a formal position relevant to this matter. However the Executive provided<br>assurance that Councillor Illingworth would be involved in the formulation<br>of the response. |   | Illingworth or the<br>se they did not hold<br>Executive provided |  |   |              |

| Item<br>no. 6         | TitleRelocation of the Council's HQ<br>officesRequested<br>byLabour Group   |
|-----------------------|---|
| Reason<br>considered  | This is a key issue for the Council and the District and members had some questions about how matters will be taken forward.  |
| Scrutiny<br>Comment   | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended that the Executive delayed the decision for a short period to confirm that the correct decision had been made on the relocation site.   |
| Executive<br>Response | In response to the recommendations from the Scrutiny Committees the<br>Leader proposed the following amended and additional recommendations:<br>Amended 2.4<br>That Executive approves a WDC project budget of £100,000, separate<br>from the LLP forward funding, to cover 'client' project costs, subject to<br>any expenditure being compliant with the Code of Procurement Practice.<br>Amended 2.6<br>That Executive notes, the proposed Project timetable, as set out at<br>Appendix Four, and that this will require the presentation of a further<br>report in February 2015 seeking final approval for the project once the<br>financial appraisals have been undertaken and all necessary planning<br>approvals gained, subject to a revision to Appendix Four to ensure that<br>the award of the contract to a development partner is not made until after<br>the Executive decision.<br>New 2.10<br>That, in parallel with the LLP funded detailed financial and design<br>appraisals of the Spa Centre site, Executive instruct officers to formally<br>review the potential use of other WDC town centre landholdings as<br>alternative relocation sites and to report back no later than May 2014. |

| New 2.11   |
|--|
| That Executive agree to establish a sub-group to the existing Member       |
| Reference Group, made up of 1 member of each Group who is not a            |
| member of Planning Committee (and will also become ineligible to be a      |
| substitute for any Planning Committee meeting relevant to this project) to |
| review, with officers, the design specification and car parking            |
| arrangements for the proposed new HQ offices at the Spa Centre site.       |

-