

A meeting of the above Committee will be held at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa on Monday 20 March 2023, at **4.30pm** and available for the public to watch via the Warwick District Council's [YouTube channel](#).

Councillor O Jacques (Chairman)
Councillor T Wright (Vice-Chairman)

Councillor A Barton	Councillor V Leigh-Hunt
Councillor A Boad	Councillor M Luckhurst
Councillor G Cullinan	Councillor N Murphy
Councillor A Evans	Councillor P Redford
Councillor C Gifford	Councillor W Roberts
Councillor G Illingworth	Councillor S Syson
Councillor C King	

Agenda

1. **Apologies & Substitutes**

- (a) to receive apologies for absence from any Councillor who is unable to attend; and
- (b) to receive the name of any Councillor who is to act as a substitute, notice of which has been given to the Chief Executive, together with the name of the Councillor for whom they are acting.

2. **Declarations of Interest**

Members to declare the existence and nature of interests in items on the agenda in accordance with the adopted Code of Conduct.

Declarations should be disclosed during this item. However, the existence and nature of any interest that subsequently becomes apparent during the course of the meeting must be disclosed immediately. If the interest is not registered, Members must notify the Monitoring Officer of the interest within 28 days.

Members are also reminded of the need to declare predetermination on any matter.

If Members are unsure about whether or not they have an interest, or about its nature, they are strongly advised to seek advice from officers prior to the meeting.

3. **Minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Committee**

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 20 February 2023. **(Pages 1 to 6)**

4. **Minutes of Licencing & Regulatory Panels**

To confirm the minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panels as set out below:

- a) 20 December 2022
- b) 2 March 2023

(Pages 1 to 4)
(Pages 1 to 3)

5. **Public and Press**

To consider resolving that under Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 that the public and press be excluded from the meeting for the following item by reason of the likely disclosure of exempt information within the paragraphs of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006, as set out below.

Item Numbers	Paragraph Numbers	Reason
6	1	Information relating to an Individual
6	2	Information which is likely to reveal the identity of an individual

6. **Confidential Minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panels**

To confirm the confidential minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panel meeting held on 2 February 2023

(Pages 1 to 5)
(Not for publication)

Published Friday 10 March 2023

General Enquiries: Please contact Warwick District Council, Riverside House, Milverton Hill, Royal Leamington Spa, Warwickshire, CV32 5HZ.

Telephone: 01926 456114

E-Mail: committee@warwickdc.gov.uk

For enquiries about specific reports, please contact the officers named in the reports. You can e-mail the members of the Committee at LandRCommittee@warwickdc.gov.uk

Details of all the Council's committees, councillors and agenda papers are available via our website www.warwickdc.gov.uk/committees

The agenda is available in large print on request,
prior to the meeting, by telephoning (01926)
456114

Licensing and Regulatory Committee

Minutes of the meeting held at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa on Monday 20 February 2023 at 4.30pm.

Present: Councillors Jacques (Chairman), Barton, Cullinan, C Gifford, Illingworth, Leigh-Hunt, Luckhurst, Syson and Wright.

14. **Apologies and Substitutes**

- (a) apologies for absence were received from Councillor King; and
- (b) Councillor R Dickson substituted for Councillor Boad.

15. **Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

16. **Minutes**

- (a) The minutes of the meeting held on 17 October 2022 were taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.
- (b) The minutes of the 21 March 2022 meeting were corrected so that Minute 24 referred to the 'Licensing and Regulatory Committee' rather than 'Panels', and that the title Minute 25 now read 'Minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panels'.

17. **Minutes of Licensing & Regulatory Panels**

The minutes of the Licensing and Regulatory Panels held on 9 November 2022, 24 November 2022, and 29 November 2022 were taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

18. **Extension of current Public Space Protection Orders**

The Committee considered a report from Safer Communities, Leisure, and Environment regarding the District's Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs), which were due to expire in October 2023. Before introducing, extending, varying, or discharging a PSPO, there were requirements under the ASB, Crime and Policing Act 2014 regarding consultation, publicity, and notification.

Due to the May Elections, there would be insufficient time to carry out the full review process, and necessary consultations, and have the renewed Orders in place by October 2023.

Where PSPOs were varied, extended, or discharged, there were statutory requirements regarding publishing or publicising this and Councils were required to undertake a consultation process.

For the extending or discharge of orders, the guidance stated that any such proposals must be publicised only. In other words, there was not the requirement for the same extensive consultation as would be required for

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

any new or varied Orders.

Local authorities were required to consult with key stakeholders; the local Chief Officer of Police, the Police and Crime Commissioner, owners or occupiers of land within the affected area where reasonably practicable, and appropriate community representatives. Any County Councils (where the Order was being made by a District), Parish or community Councils that were in the proposed area covered by the PSPO also needed to be notified. 'Community representatives' were defined broadly in the Act as 'any individual or body appearing to the authority to represent the views of people who live in, work in or visit the restricted area'.

Over the last three years there had been, and continued to be, significant changes in terms of physical developments and change of land use across the District. Some areas were currently covered by PSPOs and there were plans in progress which potentially would bring new areas under the scope of the current orders or might require the introduction of specific ones according to the nature and usage of the space.

As a result of these major developments, the review of the PSPOs necessitated a full, detailed, and widespread public consultation, allowing appropriate consideration of all the issues, supported by a robust evidence base.

Due to the elections in May, the Committee would be unable to meet before early summer to approve a public consultation for any proposals on extension, variation, or discharge of the Orders. The public consultation was required to take at least six weeks, after which the outcomes would be evaluated for the drafting of final proposals, to be brought back to the Committee in early Autumn for consideration. At which point there was a risk that agreement might not be reached.

The PSPO Guidance stated '*There are no statutory requirements about the length of the consultation process. However, it should be ensured that its duration allows sufficient time to meaningfully engage with all those who may be impacted by the Order, taking into account for instance any holiday periods that may affect response rates – this may take several weeks or even months. Some issues may require time to fully explore and understand – councils should not be reluctant to extend the initial consultation period if it is clear that this would be beneficial in the longer-term.*'

The PSPO Officer Working Group (that was established for the last review in 2020) re-convened in October 2022. In drawing up the project plan and communications plan, they concluded that there would be insufficient time to carry out the full review process to meet the October 2023 deadline. They also concluded that further evidence gathering was required, in particular from the Council and the Police, to inform subsequent reviews and consultations on PSPOs. In addition to the identification of timeline issues, deferring the review to 2024 would allow time for gathering accurate data and developing a more robust evidence base to allow consultees a more informed choice.

Allowing the current PSPOs to lapse without extending them could result in a recurrence of the activities that were detrimental to the quality of life of

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

people who lived, visited or worked in the District.

The PSPOs currently in place (renewed October 2020) were:

- **Intoxicating Substances (including alcohol)** – this was a District-wide order, making it an offence for a person 'without reasonable excuse' to breach the PSPO in terms of ingestion, inhalation, injection, smoking or otherwise use of an intoxicating substance.
- **Dogs** – Warwick District Council already had four dog-related (PSPO) orders in place, which were summarised as follows:
 1. The Fouling of Land by Dogs (Warwick District Council) Order 2020:
A person in charge of a dog must ensure they remove faeces deposited by their dog from any land to which the public have access and which is open to the air (including land which is covered but open to the air on at least one side).
 2. The Dogs on Leads (Warwick District Council) Order 2020:
A person in charge of a dog must always keep a dog on a lead in the following areas:
 - Jephson Gardens, Royal Leamington Spa.
 - Leamington Cemetery.
 - Milverton Cemetery.
 - Warwick Cemetery.
 - Kenilworth Cemetery.
 - The length of the public footpath (L9a) as it crosses Newbold Comyn Golf Course, Royal Leamington Spa.
 - All other sport grounds, fields, and pitches not subject to the Dogs Exclusion (Warwick District Council) Order 2011, when in use for authorised sporting facilities.
 3. The Dogs on Leads by Direction (Warwick District Council) Order 2020:

If a dog is out of control and causing a nuisance, a person in charge of a dog must put and keep a dog on a lead when told to do so by an authorised officer.
 4. The Dogs Exclusion (Warwick District Council) Order 2020:
A person in charge of a dog must not take a dog on or off a lead into any of the following areas:
 - Any clearly demarcated children's play areas (PDF), paddling pool, bowling green, multi-use game area, tennis court, or putting green signed as a "dog exclusion zone" (whether the sign uses those particular words and/or symbols having like effect).
 - The main racetrack surface at Warwick Racecourse except when directly crossing the track either way at the designated public access points*.
 - Mid-Warwickshire (Oakley Wood) Crematorium (excluding the car park areas when used to directly access Oakley Wood).

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

The proposed revised timetable for carrying out the review was set out below:

Action	Date	Detail
Officer Group Meetings	January - February 2024	Continue review of existing orders – assessing impacts/effectiveness. Consider evidence and data available. Discuss any issues that have arisen. Consider any potential variations. Consider possibility of new areas coming under Orders. Draft proposals for extension, variation, or discharge to recommend to committee for consultation.
Development of Communications Plan	January 2024	Consultation planning Media management Risk mitigation.
Report to Licensing & Regulatory	March 2024	Seeking approval to consult stakeholders/public on draft proposals.
Carry out a six-week stakeholder and wider public consultation	April – May 2024	In accordance with Communications Plan – online survey, focus groups/meetings.
Officer Group Meetings	May - June 2024	Consider outcomes of consultation. Agreement on final proposals to go back to Committee.
Report to Licensing & Regulatory	L&R committee date TBC – July 2024	Seeking approval on the final proposals for the renewed PSPOs.
Implementation of Renewed PSPOs	August – October 2024	Notification to stakeholders/public in accordance with Communications Plan. Updated and/or new signage installed.

Subject to approval of the recommendations, a further report could be brought to the Committee in July detailing the outcomes of the key stakeholder consultation and a finalised timetable for the review in 2024.

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

In response to questions from Members, the Community Safety Manager and the Environmental Health & Licensing Manager advised that:

- The significant developments at Newbold Comyn had to be taken into account when carrying out a review in relation to dog orders. The Council would need to wait until the work was completed and the facility was back up and running to observe how activities were undertaken within that space.
- The main reason for the conclusion regarding time scale was that there were other factors to consider. Change of use could give rise to new issues, and the proposed extension would allow for these to be assessed and solved properly. It was important for the Council to give the area a thorough analysis in relation to all of the different user groups so that everyone would be content with the end result.
- The current PSPO referred to Newbold Comyn Golf Course, but that no longer existed. This would be left as it was until a full review could be conducted.
- The cycle trails in Newbold Comyn were expected to be completed in March 2023. As a result, April 2023 until the proposed commencement of the review in January 2024 would be the time in which assessments could be made about how the space is utilised by the public.
- When the consultation was launched, there would be an online portal for members of the public and community groups to provide their views. The report would come back to Committee, and there would then be a more detailed communications plan.
- There were currently four dog-related PSPOs in place. The Fouling of the Land by Dogs Order 2020 and the Dogs on Leads by Direction Order 2020 were enforced on a District-wide basis. The other two were area specific orders.
- The dog-related PSPOs that were specific to certain areas would be updated in the full review.
- District-wide dog-related PSPOs applied to any area where the public had access, including Parish/Town Council land.

It was proposed by Councillor Illingworth, seconded by Councillor Redford and

Recommended to Council that approval be sought for consultation on extending the Orders, in their current form, unamended, for three years (in line with the legislation).

Resolved that the early start of the review of the renewed PSPOs in 2024, be agreed.

(Councillor Leigh-Hunt arrived during this item and therefore did not vote on this item.)

19. Urgent Item – Changes to the Membership of Licensing & Regulatory Panels

It was proposed by Councillor Syson, seconded by Councillor Redford and

Resolved that Councillor Murphy be appointed to Panel D, and as a substitute to all panels.

LICENSING & REGULATORY COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

20. Public and Press

Resolved that under Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 that the Public and Press be excluded from the meeting for the following item by reason of the likely disclosure of exempt information within paragraphs 1 & 2 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.

21. Minutes of Licensing & Regulatory Panels

- a) The minutes of the Licensing and Regulatory Panels held on 28 September 2022, and 15 December 2022 were taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record; and
- b) The minutes of the 13 October 2022 meeting were corrected so that Councillor Wright was referred to as the Chairman of the Panel meeting instead of Councillor Boad. The amended minutes were then taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

(The meeting ended at 5.15pm)

CHAIRMAN
20 March 2023

Licensing & Regulatory Panel

Minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panel held at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa, on Tuesday 20 December 2022, at 10.00am.

Present: Councillors Gifford, Syson and Wright.

Also Present: Ross Chambers (Council's Solicitor), Emma Dudgeon (Licensing Enforcement Officer) and Graham Leach (Democratic Services Manager & Deputy Monitoring Officer).

1. Apologies and Substitutes

There were no apologies for absence made.

2. Appointment of Chairman

Resolved that Councillor Wright be appointed as Chairman for the hearing.

3. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made.

4. Objection to a Temporary Event Notice received under the Licensing Act 2003 for Holly Bush, Holly Street, Royal Leamington Spa, CV32 4TN

The Panel considered a report from Health and Community Protection which had received a valid application for a new premises licence for Temporary Event Notice received under the Licensing Act 2003 for Holly Bush, Holly Street, Royal Leamington Spa. Representations had been received in relation to the application for the consideration of the panel in the determination of the application.

Ricky Mancini applied for Temporary Event Notice (TEN) for the premises known as Holly Bush on 5 December 2022. The TEN submitted by the applicant was attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

The notice was to extend the hours already permitted on the premises licence to sell alcohol (on the premises only) and to extend the opening hours. The applicant had indicated that the proposed event was a Christmas party.

Warwickshire Police and Warwick District Council Environmental Health had powers to object to a Temporary Event Notice where they were satisfied that allowing the premises to be used in accordance with this notice would undermine a licensing objective. The Licensing Department had received an objection from Environmental Health along with additional information in relation to the history of the premises. This was attached as Appendix 3 to the report. Warwickshire Police submitted an objection to the original notice, however, following an agreement between Warwickshire Police and the applicant to amend the end time of the TEN, they subsequently withdrew their objection. Details of this were attached as Appendix 4 to the report.

The Holly Bush had a premises licence issued under The Licensing Act 2003. The premises licence, including all current conditions, was attached as Appendix 2 to

the report. The TEN requests the extension of alcohol sales on the evening of 23 December into 24 December by one hour, from midnight to 01.00am. The TEN also requests the extension of opening hours by half an hour from 00.30am to 01.00am.

When a premises licence was in place under The Licensing Act 2003, a Panel was only able to add conditions from that licence to a Temporary Event Notice as part of their decision.

In accordance with Section 105 of The Licensing Act 2003, the Licensing Authority must hold a hearing to consider the objection unless the responsible authority, the premises user and Licensing Authority agree that a hearing was unnecessary. It had not been agreed that a hearing would be unnecessary and therefore a Panel must be held to make the decision.

The hearing must be concluded and notice of the decision given to the premises user, Environmental Health, and the Police, no later than 24 hours before the temporary event was due to commence.

A map showing the location of the premises and photos of the premises were attached as Appendix 5 to the report.

The Chairman asked Members of the Panel to introduce themselves. The other parties then introduced themselves as:

- Stacey Walsham - Environmental Protection Technical Officer; and
- Ricky Mancini – Applicant for the Temporary Event Notice.

The Council's Solicitor announced the procedure for the meeting. At the Chairman's request, the Licensing Enforcement Officer introduced the report.

An addendum was circulated prior to the meeting with further information from Environmental Health, which included audio and video recordings and an updated technical report from Environmental Health.

The Chairman adjourned the Panel at this time to ensure that the applicant had received all necessary paperwork and, if they had not, provided time for them to consider if they wished the Hearing to continue.

The Chair reconvened the Panel and confirmed with the agreement they were willing to proceed with the Hearing, which Mr Mancini agreed to.

The Chairman invited the applicants to introduce the application.

The applicant explained that times were hard, he wanted to take advantage of a time when people were willing to spend more money. He had considered a partial shutdown in January 2023 due to heating costs. In the applicants view, the local Council should be supporting local businesses. The complaints felt like a vendetta against the pub with an ulterior motive to get pub shut down. The applicant explained he was not responsible for parking, speeding, and drink-driving but was responsible for people inside the premises. He had tried to get people to lower their volume when outside to respect the premises neighbours.

In response to Questions from panel the applicant explained that:

- there were not normally door staff on site on a Friday or Saturday but there would be for this event;

- he understood the hours of operation as proposed and would stick with them;
- he would clean the premises once all other parties had left;
- the private party was for the local Jamaican community who looked to support local pubs and normally they brought a good trade;
- the Jamaican Community recognised that times were hard for pubs and wanted to help keep them going;
- if the application was refused then the premises would close earlier; and
- the intention of the application was to gain some extra income.

For clarification the Licensing Enforcement Officer explained that with the TEN, as applied for, every customer had to be off the premises by 01:00, which was accepted by the applicant.

In response to a question from Environmental Health, the applicant explained that there would be signage on the premises about the private party and would close around 23:30 to allow for drinking up time and moving non party members out of the premises.

The Chairman asked Environmental Health to make their submission to the Panel. They explained that:

- there were two complainants that the Council had been liaising with since August 2022;
- the premises licence stated that no unaccompanied children were allowed, and children must be out of the premises by 19:00. It also stated that the use of external areas was not permitted after 23:00;
- they had received evidence that on 16 September 2022 eight young children had been seen running out of pub and setting off complainant's security alarm;
- they had received evidence that on 18 September 2022 a complainant needed access to church car park but could not as access was blocked by patrons of the pub;
- a complainant was too afraid to use the Church Car Park;
- cars had been recorded leaving pub car park at 04:30;
- they had received evidence that on 25 November 2022 what looked like a fight had taken place;
- a complainant was too scared to go out in the evening because of the clientele outside the premises; and
- there had been evidence of public urination on residential property, causing distress to local residents and complaints about music after licensed hours which was very audible within their premises.

In response to questions from the Panel, the Environmental Protection Technical Officer explained that:

- there were two complainants to the Council but a third had withdrawn as they did not want to continue with the investigation;
- that from 23:00 in a residential area, there was a sleep zone and people should be able to sit peacefully in their own property without being disturbed after this time;
- there were no set decibel levels on what was deemed a statutory nuisance;
- having the alcohol sales extension to 01:00, everyone would be a lot jollier and livelier, and more likely to cause a nuisance to residents;
- they were concerned about dispersal at end of night because people would be leaving pre-midnight and more people leaving at 01:00;
- there could be more fighting and public urination;

- the people who left at midnight could still be lingering;
- they were concerned about all the potential noise on the street at 01:00; and
- officers were considering requesting a review of the premises licence and were in discussions with residents about it.

At the request of the Chairman the applicant summed up. They did not feel a fair picture had been provided by Environmental Health. He explained he had worked hard to change clientele, clamp down on drugs and noise. The picture painted was that people wouldn't want to go near the place, but that's not the case and in his opinion, there were two people who want the pub to close. In relation to a vehicle leaving the car park at 04:30, at the time he had someone living at the premises who was a shift worker and disputed that there were children running around in the street.

At 11.40am, the Chairman asked all parties other than the Panel, the Council's Legal Advisor, and the Committee Services Officer to leave the meeting, in order to enable the Panel to deliberate in private and reach its decision. The decision would be communicated in writing via email to the applicant and interested parties later on the same day, followed by a written notice with a full decision within seven days.

Resolved that having regard to all the written representations and supporting documents they had received and listened carefully to all the representations made at the Hearing, the panel were not satisfied on the evidence presented by Environmental Health that the extension of the time for the sale of alcohol on the premises by one hour, to 01:00, to accommodate a Christmas party on Friday 23 December 2022, would undermine the licensing objectives. The Panel noted that the Applicant understood the premises must close at 01:00 and were encouraged that door staff would be in attendance for the event. The Panel also noted that regulated entertainment was not covered by the TEN, and that live and recorded music must cease at midnight.

The Panel's decision was not to issue a counter notice and to allow the event to take place as applied for. The Panel requested that in the covering letter containing the decision the Applicant be reminded that the event must have finished, and patrons vacated the premises by 01:00. The Panel also expect door supervisors to be working during the event, as advised by the Applicant.

(The meeting ended at 12.05pm)

CHAIRMAN
20 March 2023

Licensing & Regulatory Panel

Minutes of the Licensing & Regulatory Panel held at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa, on Thursday 2 March 2023, at 10.15am.

Present: Councillors Cullinan, Murphy and Syson.

Also Present: Rob Edwards (Principal Committee Services Officer); Alaa Cronin (Council's Solicitor); Rachael Russell (Licensing Team Leader); and Jack Henson (Licensing Enforcement Officer – observing only).

1. Appointment of Chairman

Resolved that Councillor Murphy be appointed as Chairman for the hearing.

2. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made.

3. Objections received by Responsible Authorities for Static Street Trading Consent application

The Panel considered a report from Safer Communities, Leisure and Environment which brought forward a new application for a Street Trading Consent. Objections had been received regarding the application.

The Chairman introduced the Panel and officers present.

At the Chairman's request, the Licensing Team Leader introduced the report.

Anyone who exposed goods for sale within the District needed a Street Trading Consent issued by the Council. Upon receiving the application officers referred to the current Street Trading Consent Policy which permitted trading between the hours of 06:00 and 18:00.

Mrs Danielle Pike had applied for a Street Trading Consent to trade from a lay by on Ufton Hill/A425 to sell hot food. The application and photos of the site and trailer were attached as Appendix 1 to the report.

Warwickshire County Council Highways department and Warwickshire Police had objected to the application. Their objections were attached as Appendix 2 to the report.

There had previously been a static street trader trading from this location from 2020 to 2022. During previous consultation periods, there were no objections. The previous trader sold hot food and drink from a trailer throughout the week from 07:00 to 14:30. Licensing did not receive any complaints or concerns regarding this previous Street trader.

Warwickshire County Council were asked as to why there had not been previous objections at the site from 2020-2022. Their response was within Appendix 2 to the report.

The applicant, Mrs Pike, did not attend the meeting and at 10.15am the Panel agreed to begin the meeting in her absence. The Licensing Team Leader took questions from the Panel in the absence of Mrs Pike. In response to those questions, she advised that:

- the previous trader was a different person to the applicant; and
- there was no set width a lay-by needed to be to have a food trader; each application was treated on its own merit which would go to Environmental Health, Warwickshire County Council Highways and Warwickshire Police for consultation. In this case, Warwickshire County Council Highways and Warwickshire Police had objected.

The Panel had no further questions to ask of the Licensing Team Leader.

At 10.20am, the Chairman asked all parties other than the Panel, the Council's Legal Advisor, and the Principal Committee Services Officer to leave the meeting, in order to enable the Panel to deliberate in private and reach its decision. The decision would be communicated in writing via email to the applicant later on the same day, followed by a written notice with a full decision within seven days.

Resolved that the application be **refused**.

In reaching this decision the Licencing Panel have considered the written report from the Licensing Officer which included Ms Pike's application and the objections from two of the consultees, namely Warwickshire County Council Highways Department and Warwickshire Police, as well as the Licencing Officer's presentation of the report at the hearing.

The Panel has also taken into account the Council's "Street Trading Consent Policy" dated 2016 and the provisions of Schedule 4 Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions Act) 1982.

The Panel did not consider that there was sufficient evidence to refute the representations made by Warwickshire Highway Authority and Warwickshire Police that the presence of a Street Trader on the proposed site (the Lay by on Ufton Hill/ A425) would pose a risk to public safety. The Panel placed significance on the fact that both Warwickshire County Council's Highways Department and Warwickshire Police cited similar reasons in objecting to the application, stating that the layby was too narrow to safely accommodate street trading whilst maintain highway safety. The Panel was concerned that both the applicant and the general public may be at risk if it were to grant the Street Trading Consent.

The Panel noted that there had previously been a static street trader trading from the same site between 2020 and 2022 and that the Licensing Department did not receive any complaints or concerns regarding this previous Street Trader. However, it accepted the evidence of Warwickshire

County Council's Highway Department that there had since been an increased number of HGVs using the A425 possibly resulting from works related to HS2.

In the circumstances, the Panel resolved to refuse the Street Trading Consent.

(The meeting ended at 10.30am)

CHAIRMAN
20 March 2023