

**Response from the meeting of the Executive on O&S Committee's Comments  
– 31 May 2018**

<b>Items no.</b>	3	<b>Title</b>	Student Housing Strategy	<b>Requested by</b>	Labour Group & Green Party
<b>Reason considered</b>	<p><b>Labour Group</b> In order to understand how far it aligns with the recommendations made by the Task &amp; Finish Group- HMOs; and what improvements may be desirable.</p> <p><b>Green Party</b> The Green Party welcomes this in broad terms but would like to discuss some important aspect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the aims on page 2 such as: wishing to increase the University of Warwick private renter student population in the district by more than 360 in 3 years (page 10); the definition of 'sustainable locations'; and whether support services can realistically mitigate issues. Clearly these form the foundations for phase 2</li> <li>• the importance of non-student renters in the development of this strategy (see page 8)</li> <li>• the significance of the intended outcomes in the FFF strands (page 5)</li> </ul>				
<b>Scrutiny Comment</b>	<p>The Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee welcome and support the Policy in general and welcome that some of the recommendations from the HMO Task &amp; Finish Group are included within the document.</p> <p>The Overview &amp; Scrutiny Committee expressed concerns that the aims did not specifically address the concerns about over-concentration of students in some areas through a dispersal strategy.</p>				
<b>Executive Response</b>	<p>The Executive thanked the Scrutiny Committee for the debate and consideration of this matter and reminded them that, as set out within the report, one of the next steps would be to work with the University of Warwick to promote further on-campus provision and a more dispersed distribution of the student population across Warwick District to enable the district to positively integrate the students and settled populations.</p>				

<b>Items no.</b>	9	<b>Title</b>	Catering and Events Concessions Contract – Royal Pump Rooms and Jephson Gardens Glasshouse	<b>Requested by</b>	Labour Group
<b>Reason considered</b>	In order to understand the options and operational objectives of this proposal.				
<b>Scrutiny Comment</b>	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee welcome and support the report but				

	would like to see robust monitoring of the contract from the outset.  (NB The Overview & Scrutiny Committee reviewed recommendations 2.1 to 2.3 because they felt 2.4 onwards were recommendations F&A should consider).
<b>Executive Response</b>	The recommendations in the report were approved.

<b>Items no.</b>		<b>Title</b>	<b>Requested by</b>
11		MHCLG Rough Sleepers Initiative 2018-20	Green Party
<b>Reason considered</b>	<p>The Green Party welcomes this in broad terms but would like to discuss some important aspect:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• how would this initiative leave the balance of provision between rough sleepers and the hidden homeless?</li> <li>• How would the initiative change if funding is less than anticipated?</li> </ul>		
<b>Scrutiny Comment</b>	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee welcomed the report but had questions on what happens in two years when the MHCLG funding ceased?		
<b>Executive Response</b>	The recommendations in the report were approved.		