What is a functional economic geography?

- The term "functional economic geography" is often used as the rationale behind creating Combined Authorities/EPBs
- One of the first key tests of a CA/EPB proposal
- Aim is to identify and describe the real geography within which subnational economies operate
- Many attempts to define in the past
- The aim is to define "real geographies" so that:
 - residents, workers, shoppers, etc. are the "same people"
 - key business sectors/clusters are considered coherently
- This should help design and deliver more effective policy/services and investment (i.e. capturing spill over effects and maximising impacts, while also being focussed)

Warwick District

Functional Economic Geographies & Economic Linkages

Commuting patterns

- 31,803 (55%) of Warwick District's employed residents (57,222) work in the district
- Highest selfcontainment in Warwickshire, but below Coventry (66%)
- Strongest outcommuting flows are with Coventry (13.8%), Stratford District (9.2%) and Birmingham (4.4%)



Commuting patterns

- Warwick District benefits from a net in-flow from commuting – from rest of Coventry & Warwickshire, 19,922 people commute in compared to 14,779 commuting out daily
- Biggest in-flows from Coventry, Stratford and Solihull
- Significant net changes from Nuneaton & Rugby, smaller increases from Black Country & North Warwickshire



Detailed sector mapping – Advanced Manufacturing



Detailed sector mapping – Creative Industries



Detailed sector mapping – Business & Prof Services



Comparative analysis – GVA per head



GVA per head at district level

West Midlands GVA per head

Comparative analysis – Enterprise

New enterprises per 10,000 population at district level



Comparative analysis – Employment

Employment Rate at district level



-West Midlands employment rate

Comparative analysis – Higher level **QUALIFICATIONS** % of working age population with an NVQ4+



Summary of Warwick's economy

- Prosperous area, with a strong economy, good business activity, attractive to investment and with a skilled economy
- Net in-commuting, with strong links to Coventry, Stratford and Rugby, and to an extent Solihull & Birmingham
- Economy has strengths in business & professional services, head offices, creative & cultural industries, and advanced manufacturing
- Economic similarities probably stronger with South East than with the West Midlands
- Still suffers from below average productivity

Rugby Borough

Functional Economic Geographies & Economic Linkages

Commuting patterns

- 21,443 (51%) of Rugby Borough's employed residents (41,913) work in the district
- 2nd highest selfcontainment in Warwickshire, below Warwick (55%) and Coventry (66%)
- Strongest outcommuting flows are with Coventry (11.7%), Daventry (8.6%) and Warwick (6%)



Commuting patterns

- Rugby Borough has more outcommuting (20,400) than in-commuting (c. 17,000)
- Biggest in-flows are from Coventry, Nuneaton & Bedworth and Daventry
- Biggest net changes in commuting flows are with Nuneaton & Bedworth (more incommuting) and Daventry (more outcommuting)



Comparative analysis – GVA per head



GVA per head at district level

Comparative analysis – Enterprise

New enterprises per 10,000 population at district level



Comparative analysis – Employment

Employment Rate at district level



Comparative analysis – Higher level **QUALIFICATIONS** % of working age population with an NVQ4+



North Warwickshire

Functional Economic Geographies & Economic Linkages

Commuting patterns

- 8,567 (33%) of North Warwickshire's employed residents (25,472) work in the district
- The lowest levels of self containment in the county and wider Coventry & Warwickshire area
- Strongest outcommuting flows are with Birmingham (16.6%), Tamworth (9%) Nuneaton & Bedworth (8%)



Commuting patterns

- Significant net incommuting – 8,000 more people come into the area than commute out on a daily basis
- Strongest incommuting flows from Birmingham, Tamworth, Nuneaton and Solihull
- Biggest net changes are from Tamworth (+1,500); Nuneaton (+1,100); Birmingham (+900); and the Black Country (+1,200)



Comparative analysis – GVA per head



GVA per head at district level

West Midlands GVA per head

Comparative analysis – Enterprise

New enterprises per 10,000 population at district level



Comparative analysis – Employment

Employment Rate at district level



- West Midlands employment rate

Comparative analysis – Higher level **QUALIFICATIONS** % of working age population with an NVQ4+



Nuneaton & Bedworth

Functional Economic Geographies & Economic Linkages

Commuting patterns

- 22,121 (42.5%) of Nuneaton & Bedworth's employed residents (51,979) work in the borough
- The 2nd lowest levels of self containment (after North Warwickshire) in the county and wider Coventry & Warwickshire area
- Very strong out-commuting flows to Coventry (21.9%).
- Other main links are with N Warks (6%), Hinckley & Bosworth (5%), and Rugby (3.6%)



Commuting patterns

- Significant net outcommuting – 15,000 more people leave the Borough than commute in on a daily basis
- Strongest in-commuting flows are from Coventry, Hinckley & Bosworth and North Warwickshire
- Biggest net changes are the smaller net in-commuting flows from Coventry (-6,514), North Warwickshire (-1,100), and Rugby (-1,000)



Comparative analysis – GVA per head



GVA per head at district level

GVA is "Gross Value Added", and is a measure of the value of all goods and services produced in an area. We divide this by the population to give a per head figure to enable comparisons with other areas.

Comparative analysis – Enterprise

New enterprises per 10,000 population at district level



Source: BIS (2013)

Comparative analysis – Employment

Employment Rate at district level



Source: NOMIS (2013-2014)

Comparative analysis – Higher level **QUALIFICATIONS** % of working age population with an NVQ4+



Source: Annual Population Survey (2013-2014

Stratford-on-Avon

Functional Economic Geographies & Economic Linkages

What is a functional economic geography?

- The term "functional economic geography" is often used as the rationale behind creating Combined Authorities/EPBs
- One of the first key tests of a CA/EPB proposal
- Aim is to identify and describe the real geography within which subnational economies operate
- Many attempts to define in the past
- The aim is to define "real geographies" so that:
 - residents, workers, shoppers, etc. are the "same people"
 - key business sectors/clusters are considered coherently
- This should help design and deliver more effective policy/services and investment (i.e. capturing spill over effects and maximising impacts, while also being focussed)

Commuting patterns

- 23,226 (50.7%) of Stratford
 Districts employed residents
 (45,892) work in the borough
- The 3rd lowest levels of self containment (after North Warwickshire & N&B) in the county and wider Coventry & Warwickshire area
- Strongest out-commuting flows to Coventry but only 12.8% of employed residents.
- Other strongest links are with Birmingham (5.1%), Coventry (4%), Redditch (3.7%) & Cherwell (3%)



Commuting patterns

- Very slight net in-commuting of 23,701 (+475)
- Strongest in-commuting from Warwick, then Redditch, Wychavon and Birmingham
- Biggest net changers from outcommuting flows are Wychavon (+1,377 incommuting); Reddicth (+1,578); Rugby (+412); and Cherwell (-478 – i.e. more out-commuting than in-commuting)



Comparative analysis – GVA per head



GVA per head at district level

GVA is "Gross Value Added", and is a measure of the value of all goods and services produced in an area. We divide this by the population to give a per head figure to enable comparisons with other areas.

Comparative analysis – Enterprise

New enterprises per 10,000 population at district level



Source: BIS (2013)

Comparative analysis – Employment

Employment Rate at district level



-West Midlands employment rate

Source: NOMIS (2013-2014)

Comparative analysis – Higher level **QUALIFICATIONS** % of working age population with an NVQ4+



Source: Annual Population Survey (2013-2014

Business Start-ups

Top Authorities	New Business Start-ups per 10,000 Population
South Bucks	112.5
Chiltern	83.4
Wycombe	79.7
Milton Keynes UA	76.0
Stratford-on-Avon	75.9

Bottom Authorities	New Business Start-ups per 10,000 Population
Broxtowe	35.1
Newcastle-under-Lyme	35.7
Mansfield and Bolsover	37.2
Stoke-on-Trent UA	37.3
Ashfield	37.5

• Stratford-on-Avon, Warwick and Rugby all feature within the 15 local authorities in the geography with over 65 business start-ups per 10,000 population.

• Nuneaton & Bedworth falls into the bottom 15 with only 40 business start-ups per 10,000 population.

New Business Start-Ups Per 10,000 Population, 2008



Occupation - Manual

Top 5 Authorities	Percentage of Employed Population in Manual and Low Skilled Occupations
Tamworth	42.1%
Corby	41.2%
Redditch	39.6%
Bolsover	37.6%
Oadby and Wigston	37.3%

Bottom 5 Authorities	Percentage of Employed Population in Manual and Low Skilled Occupations
Chiltern	8.2%
South Bucks	17.1%
Warwick	18.1%
Vale of White Horse	18.3%
Gloucester	18.4%

• Nuneaton & Bedworth has a relatively high percentage of its employed population in manual and lower skilled occupations, 33.8%.

• Both Stratford-on-Avon and Warwick have low percentages of the employed population in manual and low skilled occupation, 19.1% and 18.1%, respectively.





[©] Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Warwickshire County Council. 100019520, 2010.

Occupation - Managerial

Top 5 Authorities	Percentage of Employed Population in Managerial and Professional Occupations
Oxford	58.8%
Melton	56.5%
Chiltern	54.3%
Vale of White Horse	54.1%
South Oxfordshire	52.5%

Bottom 5 Authorities	Percentage of Employed Population in Managerial and Professional Occupations
Erewash	23.9%
Newark and Sherwood	27.7%
Corby	29.0%
East Northamptonshire	30.6%
Kettering	30.7%

• Warwick, Stratford-on-Avon and Coventry feature within the top 15 with 51.1%, 46.1% and 45.1%, respectively.

Percentage of Employed Population in Managerial and Professional Occupations, 2008-2009



Lower than average productivity

GVA per employee (£)



Growth Deal allocation per person by LEP area

