Executive		Agenda Item No.			
WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL 15 <sup>th</sup> Octo	ober 2008				
Dog Warden Service – Ro	esponsibility for				
strays - Update					
For further information about this report		Paul Briggs			
please contact		Divisional EHO (Env Protection)			
		Direct 01926 456714			
0		e-mail paul.briggs@warwickdc.gov.uk			
Service Area	athy affacts d	Environmental Health All			
Wards of the District dire Is the report private and co		No			
for publication by virtue of schedule 12A of the Local ( 1972, following the Local G (Access to Information) (Va 2006	a paragraph of Government Act overnment ariation) Order				
Date and meeting when i		Executive – 11 <sup>th</sup>			
considered and relevant minute number			minute 876		
Bartana I Ba		Env & Econ Policy – Sept 07 min 394			
Background Papers		above			
Contrary to the policy fra	mework:		No		
CONTRALY TO THE DUGGETAL	v tramework:		LINO		
	y framework:		No No		
Key Decision?		nclude reference	No		
Key Decision?		nclude reference	No		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw	ard Plan? (If yes i	nclude reference	No		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer appro	ard Plan? (If yes i proval oval all reports <u>mus</u>	s <u>t</u> be approved by t	No number) No the report authors relevan		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se	ard Plan? (If yes i proval oval all reports <u>mus</u> ervices and the rele	s <u>t</u> be approved by t evant Portfolio Hold	No number) No the report authors relevan		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval	ard Plan? (If yes in proval proval all reports must be released by the provent of	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director	ard Plan? (If yes i proval oval all reports <u>mus</u> ervices and the rele	s <u>t</u> be approved by t evant Portfolio Hold	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive	proval oval all reports must release and the release 27-08-08	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT	ard Plan? (If yes in proval proval all reports must be released by the provent of	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer	proval oval all reports must release and the release 27-08-08	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal	proval oval all reports must revices and the rele	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance	proval oval all reports must ervices and the rele	st be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	proval oval all reports must revices and the release revices revices and the release revices r	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow	number) No the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Contrary to the budgetar Key Decision? Included within the Forw  Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s)  Consultation Underta Please insert details of any	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw  Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s)  Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		
Key Decision? Included within the Forw Officer/Councillor Ap With regard to officer approdirector, Finance, Legal Se Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Underta	Proval   P	St be approved by to evant Portfolio Hold  Name  Mary Hawkin  Simon Best  Mike Snow  Michael Kins	number) No  the report authors relevanter(s).		

#### 1. **SUMMARY**

1.1 This report reviews the first 5 months of the consequences of the local authority having sole responsibility for dealing with stray dogs, since the removal of police involvement on 6<sup>th</sup> April 2008.

### 2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 That the report be noted and that a twelve month contract be negotiated with the existing service provider from 1<sup>st</sup> April 2009 to enable additional monitoring of the consequences of the afore mentioned change of responsibility – for a full 12 month period.

#### 3. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION

3.1 The minimum period that a potential service provider would expect for such a contract would be 3 to 5 years. It would be premature to commit the Council to such a contract when the requirements are, as yet, unclear and hence inappropriate to go out to tender.

### 4. ALTERNATIVE OPTION CONSIDERED

- 4.1 The Council could seek tenders for a 12 month contract or longer but, as stated above, this may be impractical. The "lead in time for tendering etc is a minimum of 4 months)
- 4.2 An "in house" service is not practical unless cover for sickness and holidays can be provided. A shared service with adjoining authorities is not practical, at this point in time, due to the geographic area of this authority and adjoining authorities and the small number of dog wardens employed mainly one per authority, some by contract and some "in house".

### 5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 It is anticipated that an extension of the existing contract can be met within existing budgets. The budget was only increased by £8,500 by way of the Revenue Support Grant for 2008/9 to reflect the additional burden, including additional kenneling costs.

# 6. POLICY FRAMEWORK

6.1 Dealing with strays is a mandatory function of the Council.

## 7. BACKGROUND

- 7.1 The responsibility to collect strays became solely the responsibility of local authorities on 6<sup>th</sup> April 2008.
- 7.2 Guidance from Defra indicated that local authorities were not expected to provide a "collection service" outside office hours but, if possible, should provide a place where the public could take strays outside office hours.
- 7.3 The report to Executive in February 2008 concluded that Warwick District Council does not have suitable premises which could receive strays and no private or

public facility could be found for this purpose. It was therefore agreed to only operate an "in office hours service", as had operated prior to the afore mentioned date and to review the situation during the year. Note The September 2007 report to Environment & Economic Policy Committee gives full details of the scope and history of the Dog Warden Service in Warwick district.

7.4 Comparison of figures indicate little change in requests for service from the public, strays collected etc between the first 5 months of this year and against last year.

	1 <sup>st</sup> April – 26 <sup>th</sup> August 07	1 <sup>st</sup> April – 26 <sup>th</sup> August 08
Request for service ( RFS) relating to stray dogs	89	103
Dogs collected by WDC	44	42
Returned direct to owner	19	6
Returned to owner via kennels	16	18
Re-homed after 7 days in kennels	9	17
Other	-	1

	2004 – 05	2005 – 06	2006 – 07	2007 - 08
RFS stray dogs	169	146	239	213
Dog collected by WDC	92	85	91	103

- 7.5 All the Councils in Warwickshire are providing similar services with Dog Wardens employed office hours Monday to Friday. Two Councils, North Warwickshire & Nuneaton and Bedworth have kennels who are prepared to receive dogs for limited times on Saturday and Sunday, although it must be said that the kennels are not convenient for the public, one being outside the Council's own district boundary and the other just within the boundary. The other Councils are also monitoring the situation over the first 12 month period.
- 7.6 Locally and unofficially, some vets practices have continued to accept strays picked up by the public, in their areas, to be collected by the dog warden on the first opportunity. Where it has been practical, the public have kept strays "overnight" for collection by the warden.
- 7.7 The present arrangements have only raised occasional complaint. The police have occasionally accepted one or two strays like the vets, but have raised their concern again due to the lack of formal arrangements. One vet's practice has objected to the cost to them but have continued to accept the occasional "stray" and the public did object to the lack of arrangements when a stray died of diabetes through lack of medication this could have been avoided if the owner had had the dog micro chipped and if the dog had a collar and tag on .