Members / Trades Unio WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Members / Trades Unio Consultation & Safety P - 4 June 2014		
Title	Corporate Health & Safety	
For further information about this report please contact	(Alan Richardson – Health & Safety Adviser, WDC, Riverside House, Leamington Spa, tel: 456734)	
Wards of the District directly affected	N/A	
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006?	No	
Date and meeting when issue was last considered and relevant minute number	4 th December 2013	
Background Papers	Accident Reports, SMT Reports and Fire Risk Assessment Audit	

No
No
No
Yes/No (If No state why below)
-

Officer/Councillor Approval			
Officer Approval	Date	Name	
Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	16/05/14	Bill Hunt	
Executive			
Head of Service	14/05/14	Richard Hall	
СМТ			
Section 151 Officer			
Monitoring Officer			
Finance	13/05/14	Jenny Clayton	
Portfolio Holder(s)	14/05/14	Michael Coker	
Consultation & Community Engagement			
Insert details of any consultation undertaken or proposed to be undertaken with regard to this report.			
Final Decision?		Yes/No	
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)			

1. SUMMARY

- 1.1 This document summarises applicable employee lost working time accidents that were reported from the end of December 2013 to the end of April 2014 with a table below showing comparative accident data tracked over this same period. It also gives an update on actions taken following a previously reported incident.
- 1.2 The report also gives updates on the status of the recent Fire Risk Assessment Audit recommendations and the functionality of the newly installed panic alarm system at Riverside House.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 That the contents of this report be noted.

3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION**

3.1 To summarise applicable incidents for the period above, in a format that will hopefully be useful to members and staff and will allow comparative accident data to be tracked over time. In addition, to update the panel on work undertaken with regards to improvements in Fire Risk Assessment processes and Riverside House Panic Alarm system.

4. **POLICY FRAMEWORK & FIT FOR THE FUTURE**

4.1 The Councils Health & Safety Policy and Codes of Practice continue to follow the HSE's guidance recently revised document HSG65 –'Managing for Health & Safety', complying with legislation and the various codes of practice.

5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 Remedial works undertaken within existing resources. However, Lost Working Time as a result of workplace accidents is a hidden cost to the Council, as staff will be unable to work whilst they recover from the accident.

6. **RISKS**

6.1 There is significant risk to the organization if health and safety is not managed effectively. The report informs of a reportable accident (that requires no further actions) and work undertaken with regards improvements in fire safety management and installation of panic alarm system to evidence that these risks are adequately controlled.

7. ALTERNATIVE OPTION CONSIDERED

7.1 Not Applicable

8. BACKGROUND

8.1 Lost Working Time Accidents from end of Dec 13 – End of Apr 14

Staff Member of Housing & Property Services at Warwick Response on 25/03/14.

Whilst working a shift at Warwick Response on 25th March 2014, the staff member was carrying out administration duties in one of the offices on the ground floor. At 22:45 hours whilst sat in their office chair, the employee leant forward on the desk to read a document and it was at this point that the chair the person was sat on fell from underneath her.

The person then fell sideways hurting their back. 10 working days were lost due to the incident and for the purposes of HSE reporting requirements, the accident was reported to the HSE as per RIDDOR Regulations (report no. 0A31DF1721)

<u>Actions</u> –

The accident was investigated. The H&S Adviser visited the site along with Dean Guy of SH&D Smith (chair specialist).

Upon visiting the office and the scene in which the accident took place, there were no obvious defects evident that one could say contributed to the accident. Dean Guy examined the chair (also present were the IP's line manager and colleagues) and reasonable efforts were made to try and recreate the tipping of the chair, however it was not possible to destabilise the chair in the same way. There were also no significant defects with floor area in which the chair was used.

Upon visually examining the chair, it was found that there was a small crack in one of the castor legs. However, with the input of the chair specialist it was deemed unlikely that this contributed to the accident and more likely that it was caused as a result of the chair falling to the ground.

The injured employee and witness descriptions, also do not point to any chair component failings but more likely to user error. As the injured person describes how they leant forward on the desk to view documents and is therefore reasonable to conclude that the motion / posture of leaning perhaps too far forwards on the desk, pushed the chair on its castors in the other direction, resulting in the fall.

Having been in contact with the injured person's line manager, it is understood that the staff member returned to work after 10 days of the reported absence and is performing normal work duties with no apparent ill effects.

As a precaution, the chair was removed due to its damage and has since been disposed of.

No further action required.

- 8.2 **Fire Risk Assessments** Since the last H&S Update, a number of steps have been taken in an effort to improve the Councils fire risk management arrangements and to address a number of issues raised in last Decembers audit on the subject.
- 8.3 SMT agreed that Assessnet's Fire Risk Assessment (FRA) module should be used to assign specific actions generated by the risk assessments and to keep track of the actions and the assessment review dates. Work is currently underway with the cooperation of Building Control and colleagues in Housing & Property Services to begin using the system, which will be ready to trial in the next few weeks. Use of the Assessnet system to record FRA's will address the following concerns raised during the audit:
 - FRA's not being reviewed annually. Assessnet will keep track of review dates and alert those tasked with this.
 - Actions not assigned to individuals. The Fire Risk Assessor will be able to assign actions to building managers, Property Services or other individuals on the system as appropriate.
 - Lack of management process to ensure completion of actions. FRA's overdue for review or with outstanding actions can be reported to SMT for their intervention in the same way as general Health & Safety risk assessments.
 - Reports not being available to building managers of individual sites. Once the FRA's are entered into Assessnet, they will be stored online and will therefore be accessible to all who need to view them.
 - A Fire Risk Management Policy should be produced. The Health & Safety Adviser has put together a draft policy document, which has been circulated to the newly formed Corporate Asset Compliance Group for their comments. Once comments are received, the document will be circulated to SMT to further comment.
- 8.4 **Interview Rooms and Reception Panic Alarms** A new panic alarm system was installed in Riverside House in February 2014. It can be activated from the four interview rooms in the staff side the frontline area and the main reception desk.
- 8.5 Once activated, the alarm auto dials to the CCTV Control Room informing the CCTV Operator by telephone of which area the emergency is taking place. This in turn prompts the operator to check the CCTV camera image to ascertain if there is an actual emergency taking place, e.g. violence or threat of. If it is clear that there is an incident is taking place, the CCTV Operator will contact Police quickly and request urgent assistance at Riverside House (the CCTV footage can also be used for evidence purposes). No doubt if frontline staff witness a serious situation taking place, they too should call the Police.
- 8.6 Flowchart procedures have been produced showing: CCTV's Response to the alarm, Frontlines response, key staff who will also be notified automatically via the alarm system (Staff on the Call list) and guidance note for staff on when to use the panic alarm.

- 8.7 With regards to 'staff on the call list', a number of Council Officers have nominated themselves as those who will also receive an automated phone call of an emergency. The purpose of this role is to ensure that the emergency services have been called, observe and offer assistance to staff in the area (from a safe location) and ensure others are alerted to the situation. At no point should the person responding to the alarm put themselves at risk.
- 8.8 The alarm system has the capacity to telephone up to 8 individuals on a cascade basis, who as well as CCTV Control will be notified of an emergency. To make the system as effective as possible, and to take into account people who may not be available at the time of an emergency, it requires other officers to be available to help with the response effort.
- 8.9 Those that have so far volunteered themselves to be added to the 'call list' are:
 - Health & Safety Adviser Health & Community Protection
 - Civil Contingencies Officer Health & Community Protection
 - Development Manager Development Services
 - Audit & Risk Manager Finance
 - Benefits & Fraud Manager Finance
 - 2 x Team Leaders Warwickshire Direct
- 8.10 Upon installation and on a number of occasions since, the alarm system has been tested in conjunction with CCTV Control, staff on Frontline and those on the call list. Tests have been successful with CCTV and others being alerted of each alarm activation with a future periodic testing regime agreed with frontline staff.