WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL 8 October 20	Regulatory (018	Committee	Agenda Item No. 4	
Title		Relocation of P	olling Stations	
For further information abo	ut this	Graham Leach	January January	
report please contact		Democratic Services Manager & Deputy		
report preude contact		Monitoring Offi		
		01926 456114		
		graham.leach@	warwickdc.gov.uk	
Wards of the District direct	ly affected	Milverton & Ke	nilworth Abbey	
Is the report private and co	nfidential	No		
and not for publication by virtue of a				
paragraph of schedule 12A				
Local Government Act 1972				
the Local Government (Acc				
Information) (Variation) O				
Date and meeting when iss				
last considered and relevan	t minute			
number				
Background Papers				
Contrary to the policy frame	No			
Contrary to the budgetary framework:			NI.	
contrary to the budgetary i	Tallic WOLK.		No	
Key Decision?			No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar		es include ref	No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar	d Plan? (If y		No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number)	d Plan? (If y		erence No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen	d Plan? (If y it Undertake		erence No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval	d Plan? (If y	n	erence No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval	d Plan? (If y it Undertake	n Name	erence No No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	d Plan? (If y	Name Andrew Jor	No N	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive	d Plan? (If y it Undertake	n Name	No N	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service	d Plan? (If y	Name Andrew Jor	No N	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor	No N	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 28/9/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti	erence No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor	erence No	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 28/9/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti Mike Snow Andrew Jor	erence No No No No nes	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 28/9/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti	erence No No No No nes	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti Mike Snow Andrew Jor Andrew Mo	erence No No No No nes	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti Mike Snow Andrew Jor Andrew Mo	erence No No No No nes	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti Mike Snow Andrew Jor Andrew Mo	erence No No No No nes	
Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 1/10/2018 1/10/2018 1/10/2018	Name Andrew Jor Chris Ellioti Mike Snow Andrew Jor Andrew Mo	erence No No No No nes	

1. **Summary**

1.1 The report brings forward proposals to move two polling stations within Warwick District at the request of the current designated sites. The report also seeks delegated authority for any future changes.

2. Recommendation

- 2.1 That polling station for Polling District KAB6 in Kenilworth Abbey Ward be moved from Priors Field School on Clinton Lane to a temporary cabin in the car park of the Queen & Castle Public House on Castle Green.
- 2.2 That polling station for Polling Districts KWMV2a, WMV2 and WMV5 be moved from Brookhurst Primary School on Ullswater Avenue to the Gymnasium at Trinity School on Guys Cliffe Avenue.
- 2.3 That Council delegates authority to the Chief Executive (as Returning Officer), in consultation with the respective Ward Councillors and Chairman of Licensing & Regulatory Committee to relocate of Polling Stations in between the five year statutory review.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 The Committee will recall previous discussions that the Chief Executive (as Returning Officer) aims to move polling stations away from schools because of the impact this can have on managing such sites safely and because of the impact on childcare arrangements by parents if the school has to close for the day.
- 3.2 Priors Field School in Kenilworth had been designated as a polling station for many years as it provided the only suitable accommodation within that polling district. This has presented an increasing challenge for the school staff in the requirements for safeguarding the children. Owing to the layout of the school its decision has been to close the school each time an election took place. This was generally accepted by the school for scheduled elections in May as these could be planned for in advance and parents were provided sufficient advance warning. However, following the additional referendum and election in two consecutive years significant protests were made by both the school and parents for a number of reasons. This led at Kenilworth Town Assembly to a motion being passed seeking to have the polling station moved.
- 3.3 Following discussions with the Head Teacher of the School a number of potential options were considered. Following site inspections by officers, and meetings with landlords the best option available is to locate a temporary building in the car park of the Queen & Castle public house. The Management of the premises and brewery are supportive of this proposal and have agreed to enter into a licence to allow the use of part of their site as a Polling Station.
- 3.4 Similarly, Brookhurst School has requested not to be used as a polling station. Brookhurst is not an ideal location and there were complaints at the 2017 General Election about the access used to the site. The Council informally approached Trinity School and it is keen to have the polling station at the school. While this is replacing one school with another it is considered on balance to be a good location but with improved access, the school feels hosting the polling station can be managed safely without the need to close.

- 3.5 These proposals will leave polling stations in the following schools: Telford School, Lillington Nursey, St Mary's Catholic School, Warwick and Woodloes School. All of these present challenges for finding alternative locations within appropriate buildings in the polling district. Officers will continue to review these but without the use of further temporary buildings (for which locations are equally difficult to find in the respective Polling Districts and are costly) or new developments in these areas, a solution is unlikely to be found.
- 3.6 The Council is required by the Electoral Registration and Administration Act 2013 to review its polling stations within a 16 month time period starting in the October after the previous review was completed five years previously. This review is just being started by Warwick District Council with a view to completing no later than February 2020. However the law permits polling stations to be moved in between these reviews as is necessary, so long as they meet the necessary requirements. It is considered that the relocation of polling stations in between this time should be delegated to the Chief Executive as Returning Officer in consultation with the Ward Councillors and the Chairman of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee. This allows for both any temporary moves for a by election or also permanent moves until the next review.

4. **Policy Framework**

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several Key projects.

The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The table below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy.

FFF Strands				
People	Services	Money		
External				
Health, Homes, Communities	Green, Clean, Safe	Infrastructure, Enterprise, Employment		
Intended outcomes: Improved health for all Housing needs for all met Impressive cultural and sports activities Cohesive and active communities	Intended outcomes: Area has well looked after public spaces All communities have access to decent open space Improved air quality Low levels of crime and ASB	Intended outcomes: Dynamic and diverse local economy Vibrant town centres Improved performance/ productivity of local economy Increased employment and income levels		
Impacts of Proposal				
None	None	None		

Internal		
Effective Staff	Maintain or Improve Services	Firm Financial Footing over the Longer Term
Intended outcomes: All staff are properly trained All staff have the appropriate tools All staff are engaged, empowered and supported The right people are in the right job with the right skills and right behaviours	Intended outcomes: Focusing on our customers' needs Continuously improve our processes Increase the digital provision of services	Intended outcomes: Better return/use of our assets Full Cost accounting Continued cost management Maximise income earning opportunities Seek best value for money
Impacts of Proposal		
None	None	None

- 4.2 **Supporting Strategies** The report does not relate to any supporting policies or strategies.
- 4.3 **Changes to Existing Policies** The report does not propose any changes to existing policies.

5. Budgetary Framework

- 5.1 The report does not impact on the budgetary framework for the Council.
- 5.2 The Committee should be aware that the cost of relocating the polling station in Kenilworth will cost significantly more (circa £3000 per election) than the previous charges incurred when using the school. This is because the licence to use the land at the Queen & Castle will be greater in cost than the rent paid to Priors Field School and in addition to the licence there will be cost of renting the temporary building and associated utilities. However this cost will be recharged to the relevant election.

6. Risks

6.1 There is a risk of using the car park at the Queen & Castle, in that even with the licence agreement in place there may be times when the Council cannot be accommodated, for example if building works are being undertaken on site. However, this should only be a risk for non-scheduled elections and it is anticipated a good working relationship with the premises will mitigate against this risk being realised.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 The Returning Officer is entitled by law to mandate public authority schools (this excludes private schools, colleges, universities and academies) to be used as polling stations. However, exercise of this power has been avoided where possible by the Returning Officer as he recognises the impacts of using a school for a polling station.