WARWICK Overview and Scrutiny 3 November 2015	Committee -	Agenda It	em No.	
COUNCIL COUNCIL			4	
Title	Comments from	mments from the Executive		
For further information about this	Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer,			
report please contact	01926 456114 or			
	committee@warwickdc.gov.uk			
Service Area	Democratic Services			
Wards of the District directly affected	N/A			
Is the report private and confidential	No			
and not for publication by virtue of a				
paragraph of schedule 12A of the				
Local Government Act 1972, following				
the Local Government (Access to				
Information) (Variation) Order 2006				
Date and meeting when issue was	29 September	2015		
last considered and relevant minute				
number				
Background Papers	Executive Minu	tes - 30 Ser	tember 2015	
- ·				
•				
Contrary to the policy framework:			No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework:			No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision?			No No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If	yes include refe	erence	No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision?	yes include refe	erence	No No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number)	yes include refe	erence	No No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If	yes include refe	erence	No No	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number)	nust be approved	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the services of the services are serviced.	nust be approved	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports r	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services at Date	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and Date Relevant Director	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are placed by the policy of the po	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services at place and place are considered by the place are considered by the place are considered by the policy of the policy of the place are considered by the place are considered	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holders Consultation Undertaken	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	d by the repo	No No No ort authors	
Contrary to the policy framework: Contrary to the budgetary framework: Key Decision? Included within the Forward Plan? (If number) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer approval all reports relevant director, Finance, Legal Services are levant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holders Consultation Undertaken	<u>nust</u> be approved nd the relevant F	d by the repo	No No No ort authors	

1. **Summary**

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in late September.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. Alternative Option considered

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **Policy Framework**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **Background**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meetings of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 30 September 2015

Items no.	5	Title	Air Quality Action Plan	Requested by	Councillor Davison / Labour Group		
Reason conside	red	Councillor Davison – Questions and suggestions submitted in a note Labour Group - Members welcomed the report but had questions about WCC's commitment/opinion, how wide was the consultation (only 15 responses), does WDC have a cycle scheme (Action 1: Promote Smarter Travel Choices).					
Scrutiny Comme	•	The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the report and thanked the Portfolio Holder for agreeing to some changes.					
Executive Respons		The Portfolio Holder for Health & Community Protection, thanked the Scrutiny Committee for their advice and suggestions on this matter, the previous evening particularly Councillor Davison. It was recognised that the Council was reliant on partners with delivering improvements but we needed to make every efforts that we could white for example included modal shift for encouraging people to cycle/walk work. The Portfolio Holder for Health & Community Protection explained that a result of the feedback from the Scrutiny Committee the table on pagus 46 of the agenda would included an additional column to show who the lead authority was for delivering the action. The Executive recognised that this was an important plan and looked forward to regular work on this between the Portfolio Holder and the Health Overview & Scrutiny Sub-Committee					

Items no.	6 & 11	Title	Council HQ Relocation Project - Parts A & B (Part B was a confidential report)	Requested by	Labour Group	
Reason considered Members had questions about independent analysis of car parking capacity requirements - will this be done before any commitment made						
-	Scrutiny Comment The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the report.					
Executive Response		The Leader explained that he recognised concerns about ensuring the future viability and protection of the Town Hall as a prominent feature of town centre and these would be addressed.				