

Comment ID	Type of respondent	City/Town	Comment
BCE-53183	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Well... This is really upsetting. I will now have a Tory MP who doesn't represent me, who there is little to no chance out ousting under First Past the Post.. No matter how hard I vote !</p> <p>I might as well not bother voting at the next election as you've just made my vote worthless - thank you very much boundary commission!</p>
BCE-53567	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I live a mile from the centre of Warwick town. Why is my voting boundary for kenilworth and southam. Kenilworth is five miles away and southam is 17. It makes no sense other than to try and create more opportunities for the conservatives to win votes which is why the boundaries are once again being changed.</p>
BCE-54146	Member of the public	Barford	<p>I would strongly object to being moved from Warwick and leamington constituency. I used my vote to secure the MP I wanted to represent me and he does a fantastic job.</p>
BCE-54216	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>It makes no sense for Hatton park to become part of the kenilworth and southam constituency given warwick is just over a mile down the road. As such I do not think this boundary change should go ahead and that Hatton park should remain part of Leamington and warwick</p>
BCE-54268	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I live in Warwick, my services are supplied by Warwick DC so the idea that my constituency should be Kenilworth and Southam rather than Warwick is ridiculous</p>
BCE-54294	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Please note that Hatton is currently serviced by Newburgh Primary School and Aylesford secondary and I assume other Warwick schools. Would a split mean Hatton would no longer be able to send children to Warwick schools?if no then i feel we should consider them part of our community. Also Hampton Magna is very much a part of Warwick i n the same way.</p>
BCE-54355	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>The clue may be found in my address: namely Warwick. I would be extremely unhappy to find my political boundary moved to Kenilworth. Itâ€™s a town I donâ€™t visit. I do however have very strong ties with Warwick &amp; Leamington. It seems unfair that an area that is my home I would no longer be able to vote for its MP, itâ€™s almost as if Iâ€™ll be disenfranchised. I strongly object to this proposed change.</p>
BCE-54569	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am gravely concerned with the proposal to move my village of Barford into the constitute of Kenilworth and Southam both of which are 10 and 16 miles away from me respectively. The decisions made in these areas do not impact a rural village such as Barford and I know our current local MP has done a vast amount of work with our small community. I hope that you reconsider these proposals and keep us with Warwick and Leamington; as our address's state within.</p>
BCE-54745	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I live in Barford and wish to remain part of the Warwick and Leamington constituency. We feel very much a part of Warwick and Leamington, with them both on our doorstep. We regularly spend time in Warwick and Leamington. In contrast we do not spend any time in Kenilworth or Southam and do not feel connected to these locations.</p>
BCE-55105	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>As a Barford resident I consider that Warwick and Leamington are my local towns. I work there and shop there and can cycle and walk there due to their proximity to the village. To remove us from this constituency to be part of Kenilworth and Southam doesn't make sense and I strongly disagree to these proposals.</p>
BCE-55108	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>The proposal to move Barford into Kenilworth and Southam constituency does not make sense to me and I strongly object. Warwick and Leamington are by far our closest two towns to which I can cycle and where I shop. I think it is important that Barford remain part of this constituency as I feel closely allied with both towns and Kenilworth and Southam are both too far and I would feel very let down to be governed by councils which are bot distant and different to the towns I live very close to.</p>
BCE-56007	Member of the public	Royal Leamington Spa	<p>The Warwick and Leamington constituency seems largely sensible, in that it mostly represents the contiguous urban area of Warwick and Leamington.</p> <p>It would perhaps make more sense to include Old Cubbington as well as New Cubbington, along with Radford Semele and maybe Hampton Magna, whilst perhaps losing Bishops Tachbrook and other areas to the south of the contiguous urban area, maybe along with Blackdown to the north (though, North Leamington school being within the constituency would make sense).</p> <p>Itâ€™s also surely time for the constituency to be renamed Leamington &amp; Warwick, as the district and the county already carry the name of the smaller of the two towns.</p> <p>It does appear an oddity that it would be an fully enclaves constituency (the only in the country?), I donâ€™t think this is an issue for the constituency itself, but might be the surrounding constituency, where areas to the south-west might feel a bit isolated from the rest of the constituency.</p> <p>One concern is how accurate the electorate numbers truly are, with a town with a large student population.</p>
BCE-56194	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I have just read about the proposed changes to constituency boundaries in Matt Westernâ€™s Facebook post. The decision to move Hatton Park, Warwick to the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency is farcical. The proposals were clearly made by consultants who are unfamiliar with the local area. The distance from my home to Southam is 18 miles! I live 1.5 miles from the centre of Warwick! My message to those who commissioned the Review is please invest your money in supporting local communities not in destroying them.</p>

BCE-57272	Member of the public	Warwick	I strongly and fervently reject the inclusion of Hampton Magna in a constituency which which we have nothing whatsoever in common. We are geographically adjacent to Warwick, our local services, local issues and local interests are intrinsically connected with the town, from which we are separated by a single road. Our representation is not served in any rational way by a parliamentary candidate who is driven by the needs of the populations of a Kenilworth and the wider South Warwickshire area, since our location means that we are umbilically linked to the town of Warwick. I fail to understand the logic on any level whatsoever, other than the random drawing of a line on a map, which would justify our move from the Warwick and Leamington area constituency to a meaningless and unrepresentative collective area covering swathes of countryside with which we have no intrinsic political connection other than the convenience to a map drawerer who needs to balance up numbers.
BCE-57591	Member of the public	Warwick	I do not support the re-drawing of the boundary. Specifically, Hampton Magna has very little in the way of green fields between it and Warwick (even less given the fact that green belt land is currently being built on) and is therefore much more appropriate to be included in with Leamington and Warwick
BCE-57640	Member of the public	Warwick	You say that  As far as possible, we try to have regard to local ties, geographic factors, local government boundaries (as they were known at 1 December 2020), existing constituencies, and minimising disruption caused by proposed changes. However you propose to Move Hampton Magna out of the Warwick constituency. Hampton Magna is a suburb of Warwick and then local ties, geographic factors etc. are far closer to Warwick than those of Tysoe, Stretton on Dunsmore, Burton Dasset and the other rural areas that are many miles away.
BCE-57929	Member of the public	Hatton	As a resident of Hatton for 20+years. We have to endure speeding cars HGVS and motorcycles that use the 1/2 mile stretch as a race track there is no litter picking very rare road sweeping and path clearing with residents have to tend their own verges. Whilst Hatton park and the surrounding houses seem to get everything done for them. It is a sorry state of affairs which will only get worse if we are encapsulated with a larger sprawling constituency will only make our voice smaller. Hatton the proper Hatton from the bottom of Hatton hill to the junction with the hockey road has been disregarded by the council for too long.
BCE-59444	Member of the public	Warwick	Barford is under three miles from the Warwick/Leamington conurbation. It looks to this as its local focus and has always been in the Warwick and Leamington constituency. You have dealt with us in a manner which is, possibly apart from raw arithmetic, ridiculous: stuck at random in the pinch point of a huge amorphous rural ring, packaged with an irrelevant subset of distant villages and small towns over the far sides of the county with which we have no common ground either physically or metaphorically. This must be one of your most ill considered decisions! Please retain some variant of the status quo here. It must be desirable to keep towns together with their surrounding village areas wherever possible, for various reasons, and nowhere is that brought into focus more sharply than here. Please look at the map again and consider our position.  Thank you.
BCE-66170	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	I welcome and support the Commission secondary proposal for the Warwick and Leamington Constituency boundaries. It achieves what I advocated at the public session in the Pump Room consultation.  You have sought opinions on an alternative, three constituencies for Stratford and Warwick & Leamington. It may be that this anticipated increases in housing and multi-occupancy and could have merit. But I believe the final proposal is more elegant, democratically and socially.  Thank you for your consideration.
BCE-69021	Member of the public	Norton Lindsey	I have lived in and near Norton Lindsey since 1955 and have always been connected to Warwick and Leamington Spa. I can not remember the last time I went to Kenilworth with whom I have no connection. If I require anything I go to Warwick or Leamington Spa they are only 3 miles away, Kenilworth is much further, so I feel that it is far more logical to connect us to Warwick and Leamington Spa.
BCE-69078	Member of the public	Warwick	I am astounded to see that our small village, along with others that are very close to us could be assigned to the Kenilworth / Southam parliamentary constituency. We are three miles from Warwick, but to Southam is over 15 miles, and Kenilworth 9 miles. Our address is Warwick. On the proposed boundary map I can see a corridor between Warwick/Leamington and Stratford constituencies, which you have conveniently put into the Kenilworth/Southam constituency. We have nothing to do with these towns, and all our focus is towards Warwick and Leamington; shopping, doctors, medical care, schools, sports, social, petrol, car maintenance, etc. All our three children went to a school in Leamington for primary education and Warwick for secondary. I purchase the local newspaper, which centers around Warwick and Leamington news. We have nothing to do with Kenilworth/Southam; we do not shop there, or even go there, so they will certainly not be interested in us, and see us as a back-water if the new boundary is set. We cannot be properly represented if there is a new boundary and therefore ask you to allow us to continue to be part of Warwick/Leamington. The numbers in the villages must be very small, and insignificant, but the impact could be very significant and our identity, representation and influence lost.

BCE-69129	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am horrified to find that as a resident of Longbridge, Warwick, I am soon to be living on the edge of the proposed new constituency, where many of my friends living in the likes of Barford, Sherborne, and Wasperton will be classed as Southam and Kenilworth. This seems totally bonkers as it is grossly misrepresenting the societal representation of the area - Warwick and Leamington has always been a rural town, with many of the indigenous population living in outlying villages. To cut these people off into another constituency means that many who feel Warwick and Leamington to be their home, will have no say, whereas the thousands of students (from Warwick Uni in Coventry, living temporarily in the town) who have no vested interest in the area, will get to dictate the political background - surely the boundary commission has an obligation to maintain the demographics of its core residents and represent them proportionally in the constituency? To do otherwise is to misrepresent the voters and to give a disproportionate amount of voter power to the nomadic students who care more about the colour of westminster than the good of the local area - families that have lived and worked in the constituency for generations will be cut off, and that cannot be right. I would like you to re-consider your proposals and re-instate Barford, Wasperton, Sherborne, Norton Lindsay, and Hampton on the Hill back into Leamington &amp; Warwick, as this can be done while staying within the voter limit for the constituency.</p> <p>Thanks and regards.</p>
BCE-69276	Member of the public	Leamington spa	<p>I am very concerned that the proposed boundary changes for Warwick and Leamington constituency fail to appreciate the longstanding connection that many local villages have with the our two county towns. I would also not want to see my own home of Bishop's Tachbrook be taken out of Warwick and Leamington, as it's these local services that I absolutely rely on. Additionally;</p> <p>Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishop's Tachbrook; their local hospital is in Warwick and many of them work or commute to either Warwick or Leamington, not to Kenilworth &amp; Southam. The Barford Joint Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres.</p> <p>The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. (In contrast, children in Hatton Green and the North part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden School. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas);</p> <p>Residents in Norton Lindsey tend to use the library in Warwick. If they play hockey, they will tend to play for Warwick Hockey Club; if they play tennis, it will be at the Warwick Boat Club; residents are members of Leamington &amp; County Golf Club based in Whitnash; gym facilities are in Warwick and Leamington;</p> <p>In all the above cases, residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth, let alone Southam and so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick and Leamington. As far as I can see, there may be a case for us losing Hatton Park and Hatton Green residents, but that is all - and I would very much wish the commission to carefully consider these comments.</p>
BCE-69300	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>As the past District Councillor for Budbrooke Ward I write to give my comments on the Boundary Commission suggestion that Budbrooke Ward should be transferred to Kenilworth and Southam constituency away from Warwick and Leamington. I write to support the whole of Budbrooke remaining in W&amp;L for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. All the parishes within the Ward are also in the Bishops Tachbrook and Budbrooke County Division of Warwickshire County Council.</li> <li>2. All the villages and settlements within the ward (Barford, Budbrooke, Hampton on the Hill, Hampton Magna, Norton Lindsey, Hatton Park and Hatton, Sherbourne, Wasperton) have a strong affinity to Warwick, with local bus services travelling into the town.</li> <li>3. Residents use the public library in Warwick and most children move from primary to secondary education within the town, attending Aylesford School. Those attending independent schools go to the Warwick School Foundation, either Kings High School for Girls or Warwick School for Boys, they do not attend any schools currently in the Kenilworth and Southam constituency.</li> <li>4. All the villagers use Warwick Hospital for health care and tend to use doctors and dentist practices within either Warwick or Leamington,</li> <li>5. There is a young working population in many of the villages and they tend to either work in Warwick and Leamington or commute to Birmingham or London using the excellent Chiltern Railway service from Warwick Parkway station which is also in the Ward, there is a minor station at Hatton but this is used infrequently by commuters as the car park is very small.</li> <li>6. Regarding sport and leisure facilities the local residents use the swimming pools in Warwick and Leamington, play hockey, cricket and tennis either in their own villages or at Warwick Boat Club and Warwick</li> </ol>
BCE-69999	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I find it most regrettable that the separation of Budbrooke, Norton Lindsey and Barford from the Warwick and Leamington constituency is being considered. These are places which have close links with Warwick and very little link with the more distant Kenilworth and Southam.</p>
BCE-70410	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I absolutely do not see the point of this massaging and blurring of lines it simply makes maintenance and upkeep more expensive. Children have to travel further to school public transport is already laughable. This will make no improvement for the public</p>

BCE-70465	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>The current situation of Budbrooke being part of Warwick and Leamington should be retained because all these villages have a strong historic and current link with Warwick rather than with Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>I appreciate that it is not possible to retain Hatton Park and Hatton Green as this would increase the number of electors beyond the maximum size allowed by the Boundary Commission.</p> <p>The rest of Budbrooke should be retained because:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The local hospital is in Warwick and GP and Dental Surgeries are in the main used by Residents of Barford.</li> <li>2. Work commute is largely to Warwick or Leamington or further afield not to Kenilworth and Southam.</li> <li>3. The Barford Joint Parish Council has always being part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Leamington Spa Courier which covers village news. Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres.</li> <li>4. Children in the villages attend Budbrooke Primary School and then go to Aylesford School in Warwick.</li> <li>5. Warwick library tends to be used by Norton Lyndsey residents who make use of sporting facilities in Warwick and Leamington eg., Warwick Boat Club (Tennis and Bowls) Warwick Hockey Club, Leamington and County Golf Club and gym facilities in Warwick and Leamington.</li> <li>6. In all these cases residents have more of a link to Warwick and Leamington than they do to Kenilworth or Southam. It makes no sense, therefore, to transfer these areas to Kenilworth and Southam.</li> </ol>
BCE-70586	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Living in Norton Lindsay I attended Warwick Public School, my sister attended Kings High School &amp; my mother taught domestic science to the comprehensive school on Myton Road, Warwick. We travelled to Warwick every day for many years. Many other schoolboys &amp; girls not only lived in this village but also Hampton on the Hill, Sherbourne, Wasperton &amp; Barford. My first job was with Turriff Construction in Budbroke Road, Warwick. Similar connections from these outlying villages remain to this day with Warwick &amp; Leamington the primary centre for the continued interaction with schools, shops, weekly markets and businesses. I for one now living in Warwick can see the heavy traffic entering the town in the morning and leaving at the end of the day; many of whom must have homes in these villages. It is therefore my strong wish for this village's residents and all other villages and their environs to remain in the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency. I would add that in these areas there is very little connection to the Southam constituency historically or otherwise. Please re-evaluate the proposed boundaries for the new areas currently under review.</p>
BCE-70842	Local councillor or other	Warwick	<p>It is unacceptable that so much of what was the Warwick and Leamington constituency is proposed to be given over to Kenilworth and Southam. Many in the villages and parishes surrounding Warwick and Leamington identify strongly with being close to Warwick, the county town and Leamington, the largest town in the district.</p> <p>For example residents in Barford, Budbrooke and Norton Lindsay in particular have much stronger cultural and commercial links with Warwick than they do with Kenilworth.</p> <p>Any prospective MP for the Warwick and Leamington constituency can not truly be representative of the area and its rich web of cultural, educational and commercial connections across both urban and rural habitats, if excluding its rural populace.</p> <p>Warwick and Leamington and both thriving market towns drawing many traders and shoppers from surrounding parishes. They deserve to have their say at a general election on how their lives and livelihoods are represented at a national level.</p>
BCE-71885	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am a member of the L.Spa golf club. We have many members from Barford, Wasperton and the other surrounding villages. On their way home, women tend to visit the large supermarkets which are within 2 to 3 miles of the golf club. They also visit L Spa and Warwick to do their more general shopping. Many residents in these towns are members of The Arts Society Royal Leamington Spa which brings them into L.S. for lectures and other events. The Society has used venues in e.g. Barford for days of Special Interest but has never looked for venues in Kenilworth. ( Residents in Kenilworth can choose to join a branch of the Arts Society in Coventry or Solihull as well as Leamington. )</p> <p>All of the above has contributed over many years to fostering links between residents in Budbrooke Ward and those in Warwick and Leamington Spa.</p>

BCE-73448	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>As a resident of Leamington and the Midlands, having reviewed the proposals I would like to submit my support for the proposals to keep the rural areas of Warwick (principally Budbrooke, Barford and Wasperton) within whichever constituency contains Warwick, and support sorting out the Lillington boundaries at the top of the constituency.</p> <p>Leamington and Warwick are not isolated urban islands distinct from the surrounding rural areas!</p> <p>Both towns are embedded in the surrounding communities and the boundaries should reflect this. This is particularly true of Warwick as our county town and it would be very frustrating to see an artificial urban/rural divide created by Parliamentary boundaries. The new proposals make positive steps in this regard by extending the Leamington Milverton boundaries to cover Old Milverton, however the Warwick boundaries should revert back to as close to the original as is possible.</p> <p>Having lived in both urban and rural areas of the Midlands, it is important that those rural outlying areas that have an identity very closely linked to a parent town are not separated from it. This is the case of Budbrooke, Barford, Wasperton and Hampton Magna which are immediate outposts of Warwick and have little or no connection to Kenilworth: the acid test would be if you asked any resident of these areas where they lived.</p> <p>Warwick spans a wider area than the historic town centre viewed on a map and this should be reflected in the constituency boundaries as much as this is possible.</p> <p>As a former Solihull borough resident I agree with the observation that Hatton is in the hinterland between Warwick and the rural areas of Solihull. A good case could be made for its inclusion with Warwick, Kenilworth or equally Meriden. It is also true that Hatton Park has a distinct (and north-facing) identity to Hatton Green. Views from residents will probably be split similarly. If the ward needs to be split this is the logical area to place northwards.</p>
BCE-73795	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Re. the Boundaries Commission's proposal to assign the whole of the Budbrooke Ward into Kenilworth and Southam. I do appreciate the need to balance the electoral numbers by law but the reassignment of the Budbrooke ward pays no geographical attention to the split and appears arbitrary in order to achieve the desired result. My family rarely visit Kenilworth and have never visited Southam. In short we have no common economic, social or geographic links with either Kenilworth and Southam and therefore object to this proposal.</p>
BCE-74152	Member of Parliament	Leamington Spa	<p>Having served as Member of Parliament for Warwick and Leamington constituency, it has been a privilege to represent the whole area.</p> <p>However, I realise that with the numerical target set down for the review, seats should contain within +5 or -5% of 73,393 electors and that the present constituency exceeds this.</p> <p>The fundamental basis of the constituency is the strong historical connections between the two principal towns – Warwick and Leamington, which have been within the same Parliamentary constituency since at least 1885. On a map or at street level, the towns are conjoined not just physically but socially too. They are interlinked economically, culturally and by generations of families who have lived in and moved between the two towns.</p> <p>Also, clearly linked is the town of Whitnash. Whitnash merges with Leamington – with one area within the Leamington ward and division of Brunswick you will find the Whitnash Tavern and Whitnash Nursery School. Whitnash shares the still growing developments of Warwick Gates and Heathcote with the Myton and Heathcote ward (Warwick South division) of Warwick. The remaining part of this area south of Leamington and Warwick is part of Bishops Tachbook Parish and District Council ward. Although the actual village was once quite separate from Whitnash and Leamington, with recent and already approved developments, the balance of the population of the ward is shifting to the areas closest to Whitnash and Warwick.</p> <p>Given the strong community links between the three towns and the ward of Bishops Tachbrook, the Commission has concluded that there is therefore no room for the ward of Budbrooke within the constituency, as including it would take the electorate well over the Commission's target. The Commission also seeks not to split wards. This does concern me, but the +/-5% target makes inclusion impossible.</p> <p>Instead the Commission recommends reuniting the smaller areas of Lillington and Milverton District wards to the north of Leamington within the Warwick and Leamington constituency most recently in Kenilworth and Southam.</p>
BCE-74361	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I think that moving the Budbrooke Ward into the Kenilworth and Southam constituency does not fit with the way life is lived locally here. The residents use Warwick as their local town, not Kenilworth and make use of services in Warwick not in Kenilworth. Most use GP and dental surgeries in Warwick and secondary school children go to Aylesford School in the Warwick and Leamington constituency. It seems illogical to put this ward into any other constituency than the one that contains Warwick.</p>
BCE-74498	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>We are concerned about some of the proposed changes to W&amp;L constituency, particularly the proposal to move villages to the south and west of Warwick from W&amp;L into Kenilworth/Solihull i.e. Barford, Budbrooke, Sherbourne, the Hamptons, Wasperton, Norton Lindsay. These villages are both practically &amp; historically linked to Warwick for services, health provision, secondary education, leisure facilities and, to a significant degree, employment, and therefore representation at parliamentary level should continue to be via a W&amp;L MP. Residents of these villages rarely frequent Kenilworth or Southam for any of the above or have the same level of affiliation or historical ties, (for most their postal address is distinguished as eg. Barford, nr. Warwick). For these reasons we would ask that the W&amp;L boundary continues to include these villages so as not to disadvantage residents and businesses.</p>

BCE-74921	Local councillor or other	Leamington Spa	<p>I have lived in Leamington for 34 years and contributed to the last Boundary Review of Parliamentary constituencies.</p> <p>The argument in favour of keeping Warwick, Leamington and Whitnash together, and represented by a single MP is even stronger today. Warwick continues to develop housing, business and other activities on land to the south of the rivers Leam and Avon, now merging seamlessly into Whitnash and Leamington which, like Warwick, straddles north and south of the river.</p> <p>The updated and expanded analysis attached shows the myriad ways in which clubs, societies, sport and other cultural, religious, ethnic, health and other social activities and services are intertwined between the three towns, whose centres are only a short cycle or bus ride apart.</p> <p>Most residents like myself, regard themselves as part of a single integrated community. I have friends in all three towns, five very close ones in Warwick, meeting in each others' houses or visiting pubs, mostly in Warwick as there is a better choice and dining in local restaurants across the area, including Bishops Tachbrook.</p> <p>So I support the Commission's proposal for the Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency, which is largely aligned with current boundaries. I think the small adjustments made to remain within the +/- 5% limits set for the Commission on voter numbers are compelling. Residents, businesses and local organisations will welcome confirmation of the continuity in these proposals and their recognition of local ties.</p> <p>These adjustments will simplify matters for voters and reflect even more closely that sense of local community.</p> <p>â€¢ 'New Cubbington' will rejoin North Leamington, which feels right and will be welcomed by residents - they both share the same primary and infant schooling for example.</p> <p>â€¢ Blackdown &amp; Old Milverton belong to the Milverton and Lillington District Wards, Wards which are</p>
BCE-74923	Local councillor or other	WARWICK	<p>As the elected Councillor for Budbrooke at District and County level, although I am supportive of the majority of the recommendations, I cannot support the transfer of the whole of the Budbrooke Ward from Warwick &amp; Leamington to Kenilworth &amp; Southam.</p> <p>The recommendation would break the many historical and present local connections to Warwick &amp; Leamington. It would also transfer more residents than is necessary to meet the target voter range, as Warwick &amp; Leamington is currently larger than Kenilworth &amp; Southam in terms of number of voters but the proposal would make Kenilworth &amp; Southam unnecessarily the larger of the two.</p> <p>I am supportive of the recommendation of the Warwick &amp; Leamington Conservative Association, and I have detailed my reasons in the attachment.</p>
BCE-75045	Member of the public	WARWICK	<p>We would wish to comment on the proposal to include Barford in the Kenilworth/Southam parliamentary constituency, a proposal which we have only just been made aware of.</p> <p>We have lived in Barford for 24 years and in that time have never been to Southam and you could count on one hand the times we have been to Kenilworth.</p> <p>The people we know live in Warwick and Leamington. Our doctor and dentist are there. We play sport at Clubs in that area and it is our main shopping venue.</p> <p>We simply do not relate to Kenilworth or Southam but we certainly do with Warwick and Leamington.</p> <p>We trust that your proposal will be amended and we will remain in the Warwick and Leamington constituency and not parcelled off to a foreign area.</p>
BCE-75124	Local councillor or other	WARWICK	<p>I am very unhappy with the suggestions of the Boundary Commission which unnecessarily takes out a complete rural ward (Budbrook) and leaves the Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency completely encircled by the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency with Budbrook a narrow strip between two constituencies.</p> <p>The Commission could achieve the reduction in voters required by simply moving Hatton Parish Council out. This would reduce the negative impact of the changes dramatically.</p> <p>The impact the proposed changes would have on the residents of Budbrook are as follows:-</p> <p>â€¢ Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishops Tachbrook and their local hospital is in Warwick</p> <p>â€¢ Residents commute to work to Warwick &amp; Leamington not to Kenilworth or Southam</p> <p>â€¢ The Barford Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick &amp; Leamington with Warwick &amp; Leamington providing the main shopping centres. Their local newspaper is the Warwick Courier</p> <p>â€¢ The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick &amp; Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrook Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. In contrast children in Hatton Green and the north part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden school. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas</p> <p>â€¢ Residents in Norton Lindsey use the library in Warwick and the sports clubs and gyms they use are situated in Warwick.</p> <p>â€¢ In all the above cases, residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth let alone Southam which is miles away so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick &amp; Leamington and become, as I mentioned earlier, a very narrow strip between two constituencies.</p>
BCE-75136	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>The villages involved in the proposed move to Kenilworth &amp; Southam from Warwick &amp; Leamington are historically bound to Warwick. In the current situation the residents rely heavily on and associate with Warwick as the county town both commercially &amp; socially. Many residents have work associations with Warwick and Leamington. Residents who travel further afield for work use Warwick Parkway station.</p> <p>A move to the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency would not benefit either Kenilworth or Stratford upon Avon and most certainly would not have any impact on Southam. Taking away the villages would have a devastating effect on Warwick &amp; Leamington politically and would create yet another division.</p>

BCE-75184	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Considering the reduction in the number of West Midlands constituencies from 59 to 57, the proposed, revised new Leamington and Warwick constituency area is logical. As a former resident of Leamington Spa and now for 30 years living in Bishop's Tachbrook, I feel part of the urban community. Even more so since the considerable number of new homes that are being located South of Leamington &amp; Warwick. The recommended new Warwick and Leamington boundary would gain the parts of Lillington and Milverton wards that currently lie in Kenilworth and Southam (ie Blackdown. Old Milverton and New Cubbington). This I believe will provide an inclusive coherent identity for the proposed constituency.</p> <p>[RD:12]</p>
BCE-75227	Member of the public	Whitnash	<p>I wish to support the Commission's initial proposals for Warwick and Leamington. I think they are coherent and reflect the actual closeness of Warwick Leamington and Whitnash.</p> <p>I and my family have lived and worked in the constituency since 1987. At first we lived in Church Street (Leamington Willes), moving to Church Lane (Whitnash) in 1998. Our children went to schools in Leamington. My work was in Warwick, so I commuted daily until 2007 except during times when I was re-located by my employer outside the area.</p> <p>As an active musician I regard Warwick and Leamington as my home catchment, performing at venues right across the area. I am also a frequent arts attender. Venues have ranged from large-scale eg Spa Centre, Warwick Folk Festival, various churches in both towns, Pump Rooms etc, to pubs and informal events, eg The Punchbowl, Warwick Book Shop, Nelson Club, Lord Leicester Hospital (Warwick), The Bedford, St. Patrick's Irish Club, Town House, Victoria House (Leamington). My mailing list contains 400+ addresses from right across the district and beyond.</p> <p>We still shop regularly in Warwick, both at the large Tesco and the specialist shops on Smith Street and elsewhere in the town. When shopping in Leamington we frequently walk in from Whitnash, returning by bus. We still eat out in both towns (less often than before because of COVID), and use the open spaces of both for walking and birdwatching.</p> <p>Socially most of our connections are with people our own age in Leamington. However the new developments around Whitnash, Warwick Gates and SE Warwick are bringing in new people, many of them younger, and we are starting to make connections with them too.</p>
BCE-75234	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Appears a good proposal for our area. Warwick and Leamington are pretty conjoined now, with plenty employed in one and living in the other, as well as the schools catchment areas being across all the areas. The wider villages, by comparison, dont have as much in common.</p>
BCE-75357	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I gave personal evidence to a previous boundary commission as a ward councillor for previous Warwick North Town and District ward. I strongly believe communities are far more important than creating boundaries driven by artificial arbitrary application of numbers of electors per representative.</p> <p>I support the broad proposal as it adheres to the important linkage of Warwick and Leamington in one constituency. My evidence was mentioned in the review report as I referred to the towns and communities being like conjoined twins. As the towns have developed in recent years nearby communities have all but merged into a natural single entity. Sharing transport links and other infrastructure such as shopping parks and places of worship.</p>
BCE-75372	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I am very relieved to see the recommendations for the boundaries above. I believe that this solution represents the best way of keeping the communities of Leamington, Warwick, Milverton, New Cubbington, Tachbrook, Blackdown and Whitnash together. As a resident of South Leamington I have ties with Whitnash, but also through schools with Milverton, Blakdown and Warwick. It would be a real wrench to divide any of the proposed areas, and the inclusion of the slivers of Lillington and Milverton wards remedy the previously odd situation.</p>
BCE-75440	On behalf of a Local Auth	Royal Leamington Spa	<p>Please see the attached submission from Warwick District Council regarding the proposals and their impact n Warwick District. This applies to both the Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency and the Kenilworth &amp; Southam Constituency.</p> <p>These comments were agreed by the Licensing &amp; Regulatory Committee of 19 July 2021 and are provided in the draft minutes of the Committee. The minutes are draft as they are subject to confirmation of the Committee at its next meeting in September.</p>
BCE-75477	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Happy with the proposals for Warwick and Leamington</p>
BCE-75548	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am in favour of the proposed changes. I live in Bishops Tachbrook, have children in school at Whitnash so it makes sense to have these changes for greater community cohesion.</p>
BCE-75561	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I just wanted to congratulate the Boundary Commission on your proposed changes for Warwick and Leamington constituency, which I fully support. These respect the longstanding traditional links between the areas you have included, and as far as I am aware have wide support in the area. I hope this proposal will endure, as it represents the 'best fit' solution given the constraints of the brief under which you are operating (constituency size etc.).</p>
BCE-76120	Member of the public	LEAMINGTON SPA	<p>Under Rule 5 in Schedule 2 I urge the BCE to pay more attention to the local ties which align most but not all of the Budbroke Ward, which BCE is proposing to move into the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency. It makes sense to attach the Hatton Parish Council (covering Hatton Park and Hatton Green) to the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency: for example, children in Hatton Green and the North part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden School. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas</p> <p>By contrast, children living in Budbrooke Parish Council attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. This is also in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas.</p> <p>Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishop's Tachbrook; local hospital is in Warwick;</p> <p>Work commute is either to Warwick &amp; Leamington or further afield, not to Kenilworth &amp; Southam; the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres;</p>

BCE-76269	On behalf of a Parish or T Warwick		<p>Budbrooke Parish Council object to the proposals:-          Budbrooke has never been associated with any area apart from Warwick.          To illustrate the local connection to Warwick, a Parish Cllr ever since living at Littleworth (now in Norton Lindsey parish) in the 60's and 70's, visiting the doctor, dentist and opticians have all been in Warwick, even using the same practice. Warwick has always been the main town to go to, Budbrooke feels 'connected' to it. As villages, Budbrooke parishes are even closer to Warwick than Bishops Tachbrook is to Leamington. The parochial parish includes Chase Meadow, so splitting it does not make sense from a Church point of view. In the last two or three decades, Budbrooke has diminished in size, mostly to Warwick and Norton Lindsey and moving us into a different constituency would alienate the few residents there from us even more.</p>
BCE-76675	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I have attached a Word document that contains my comments</p> <p>regards</p> <p>[RD:14]</p>
BCE-76676	On behalf of another org;	Leamington Spa	<p>I have attached the official response of the Warwick &amp; Leamington Conservative Association as a pdf file</p> <p>regards</p> <p>[RD:14]</p>
BCE-77028	Local councillor or other	WARWICK	<p>Comment reference number BCE-75124.</p> <p>I am very unhappy with the suggestions of the Boundary Commission which unnecessarily takes out a complete rural ward (Budbrooke) and leaves the Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency completely encircled by the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency with Budbrooke a narrow strip between two constituencies.</p> <p>The Commission could achieve the reduction in voters required by simply moving Hatton Parish Council out. This would reduce the negative impact of the changes dramatically.</p> <p>The impact the proposed changes would have on the residents of Budbrooke are as follow :-          • Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishops Tachbrook and their local hospital is in Warwick          • Residents commute to work to Warwick &amp; Leamington not to Kenilworth or Southam, Warwick Parkway Station is in Budbrooke providing transport links between Warwick, London and Birmingham not Kenilworth or Southam.          • The Barford Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick &amp; Leamington with Warwick &amp; Leamington providing the main shopping centres. Their local newspaper is the Warwick Courier          • The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick &amp; Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. In contrast children in Hatton Green and the north part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden school. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas          • Residents in Norton Lindsey use the library in Warwick and the sports clubs and gyms they use are situated in Warwick.          • In all the above cases, residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth let alone Southam which is miles away so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick &amp; Leamington and become, as I mentioned earlier, a very narrow strip between two</p>
BCE-77136	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I broadly support the preliminary proposal for this constituency.</p> <p>I have lived in the Warwick and Leamington Constituency for over 40 years and have always considered the 2 towns, Whitnash and the immediate surrounding wards to be one cohesive area. I was a County Cllr for 16 years, so have had wider public engagement than most, but I have never met anyone who thought otherwise. There are strong links of employment, recreation, transport, retail etc. that are firmly established and new developments have served to cement these bonds rather than create new centres.          I particularly welcome the (re-)inclusion of the part of (New) Cubbington.</p>
BCE-77175	Member of the public	LEAMINGTON SPA	<p>The proposed changes are sensible in that they reflect the existing interpersonal connections of the area. For example, Warwick and Leamington are distinct from each other but residents from both move seamlessly between each other for work and leisure.</p>



BCE-77242	On behalf of a Parish or T Norton Lindsey		<p>Norton Lindsey Parish Council (NLPC) strongly disagrees with the current proposal to remove the ward of Budbrooke from the Warwick &amp; Leamington BC Parliamentary Constituency and add it to the Kenilworth &amp; Southam CC Parliamentary Constituency.</p> <p>a) The majority of Budbrooke ward residents use Warwick and/or Leamington as their local town for shopping, leisure, employment, and often medical needs. Historically this has meant close ties between the villages in the ward and the Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency.</p> <p>The Parish of Norton Lindsey, in Budbrooke Ward, is geographically close to Warwick at 4.2 miles distance (by car), but it is some 10.4 miles to Kenilworth and some 17 miles to Southam. If this proposal was implemented, residents would have to travel through the full length of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency to reach Kenilworth, showing why geographically the proposal makes very little sense.</p> <p>b) The proposed boundaries for the two constituencies would leave a thin "strip" between Warwick &amp; Leamington and Stratford-Upon-Avon CC, leaving residents of this strip geographically and culturally separated from their natural neighbouring communities "sandwiched" between two other constituencies.</p> <p>c) NLPC would argue that alterations to the boundaries of the north of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency between Kenilworth, Leek Wootton and Leamington would better serve the interests of all Warwickshire residents and more fittingly match local ties and geography. Alternatively splitting the</p>
BCE-77601	Member of the public	Hatton Green	<p>I fail to understand moving a CV35 postcode area into a CV8 constituency. CV35 areas have NO ties to CV8 in respect to schools, doctors, etc. All our NHS needs are dealt with in either CV35 or CV34. Our schools are in CV35 or CV34. A CV8 Kenilworth Council will not have any interest in the surrounding CV35 villages. Hatton, Budbrooke etc. Will be left behind. Hatton already has only ONE bus a week. Please stop and consider this proposal from the point of view of these seriously affected by the change.</p>
BCE-77820	Member of the public	WARWICK	<p>I object strongly to the current proposals. I believe that Budbrooke Ward less Hatton Park and Hatton Green should be reinstated into the constituency of Warwick and Leamington. This will meet the maximum elector threshold set by the Boundary Commission and it will also meet the minimum elector threshold for Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>Any redrawing of boundaries needs to take account of both the historic and current reach of Warwick which is way beyond the town of Warwick itself. We have no affinity with Southam or Kenilworth. The local travel and decarbonization strategy is aligned to local plans and the Budbrooke Ward is central to that success.</p> <p>Norton Lindsey</p> <p>Norton Lindsey is 3.5 miles south-west of Warwick. Although physically closer to Warwick than Stratford, Stratford-upon-Avon School is the secondary school, based on the official catchment area. Wolverton Primary School (near Norton Lindsey) covers this area. Norton Lindsey and Wolverton Cricket Club is located in Norton Lindsey and their teams participate in the Cotswold Hills League.</p> <p>Currently, there are strong links with Stratford and Warwick and The coterminous boundary is only about 200 metres long, whereas the boundary with Budbrooke PC is directly to the north for about two miles and the boundary with Barford JPC is directly to the East for about 1.5 miles.</p> <p>Therefore, Norton Lindsey points towards both Warwick and Stratford but has little or no connection with either Kenilworth or Southam.</p> <p>Barford, Sherbourne and Wasperton</p> <p>I am a Barford resident and all of our social, community and business activities and daily life has nothing at all to do with Southam or Kenilworth.</p>
BCE-77850	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I think of Leamington and Warwick as one community, so this is a satisfactory, natural boundary for the constituency.</p>
BCE-77964	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I approve of the proposed changes</p>

BCE-77994	On behalf of a Parish or T Warwick	Warwick Town Council Parliamentary Boundary Submission
		<p>As the County Town of Warwickshire and the one of the oldest municipal administrations, it is felt very important that we make our opinions on the Boundary Commissions submission for the new Warwick &amp; Leamington Parliamentary Constituency. This Council is very concerned that the current proposal will produce a new constituency marooned within another constituency and has little acknowledgment of the physical, cultural, recreational, educational, and historical links that those small Parish Council areas adjacent to Warwick town have with this part of the Constituency.</p>
		<p>This council believe that these established and long-standing settlements should be included in the new Constituency. Budbrook parish like Warwick itself was mentioned in the Domesday book long before Leamington came into being.</p>
		<p>Efforts must be made to ensure that Budbrooke remains part of Warwick since they are intertwined both historically and currently. The villages identify with Warwick and should remain so, in allowing these villages to switch constituencies there would be a break in these historic and current connections which would have harm upon the culture and social aspect of the current boundary arrangement. The disparity will add to confusion within local politics which has reduced over recent years.</p>
		<p>The current residents of Hampton-on-the-Hill, Hampton Magna, Norton Lindsey, Sherbourne, Barford and Wasperton all look to Warwick for their daily economic, educational, and recreational needs. By including these villages within the new Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency, the commission would remove the "doughnut" effect as the Constituency will run directly along the Stratford on Avon constituency border as it does now.</p>
BCE-78017	On behalf of another org: Warwick	<p>The senior school for these villages is primarily Aylesford School and Myton School in Warwick however the We are a part of Budbrooke Parish and wish to remain within the Warwick and Leamington Ward. Nearly all our residents are linked to Warwick and Leamington through work, school, shopping, church and social events. In other words we are a part of the local community. We very much want to remain so. There are many historic links to Warwick and Leamington where many were born, married and have relatives buried there. We do not wish to be alienated by a move away from our roots. Please leave us as we are.</p> <p>To remove us to Kenilworth &amp; Southam is quite illogical and must not be allowed.</p> <p>Thank you</p>
BCE-78043	Local councillor or other Warwick	<p>I object to the creation of an artificial isthmus within the Kenilworth &amp; Southam Constituency that cuts my area off from its local towns of Warwick &amp; Leamington and the Constituency based on those towns.</p> <p>Our natural allegiance and interest is firstly to Warwick, secondly to Leamington. These towns are where we shop and where we use the services, and where many of us work. With the greatest of respect to Kenilworth this not where our allegiance lies.</p> <p>We wish to be able to influence local services, planning, highways, health and social provision. That is to say the ones we actually use.</p> <p>Why are we being pushed towards an area that has such a tenuous link to where we live ?</p> <p>The natural grouping together of Warwick and Leamington is complemented by those closely sited and related villages whose natural focus is on these two towns is so many ways.</p> <p>In effect in future if this unwelcome change takes place we would have to deal with two MP's on any issues because we are so closely aligned in numerous ways, geographical and otherwise with Warwick Town.</p> <p>Please leave the administrative focus as it is now.</p>

BCE-78118	Member of the public	Royal Leamington Spa	<p>I write in relation to the proposed changes to the Warwick &amp; Leamington Parliamentary seat as a resident that lives in Royal Leamington Spa. I support the decision to rationalise the boundaries in North Leamington by adding in parts of Old Milverton and Blackdown. However, I write to oppose the decision to move all of the Budbrooke ward out of the Parliamentary constituency.</p> <p>The BCE proposal rightfully keeps the towns of Royal Leamington Spa, Whitnash, Warwick and Bishop's Tachbrook together. These towns together have grown, and are very interconnected with it hard to determine where they all start and end, blending into each other even more these days due to housing developments on the edge of Sydenham in Leamington blending into East Whitnash, and along Europa Way blending Bishop's Tachbrook and Warwick. Keeping all these towns together in the Warwick &amp; Leamington seat means that lots of electors are not moving constituency.</p> <p>The northern boundary of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency in the Milverton ward has always been odd, and has not reflected the reality on the ground. Currently a handful of houses on Hopton Crofts are in Warwick &amp; Leamington, with the rest of the road in Kenilworth &amp; Southam. It is similar in the Lillington ward. The decision to bring all of the Milverton and Lillington wards (that are all in the boundary of the parish of Royal Leamington Spa) makes sense, and has been a long time coming. This unites communities and means that the entire Parish of Leamington is now in the seat that has its name.</p> <p>The inclusion of all of Leamington into the seat then has an impact on numbers, meaning that the BCE has had to move some electors out of the Warwick &amp; Leamington seat to be within the upper threshold that BCE has to work towards. However, the decision to move the entirety of the Budbrooke ward out of the seat and into Kenilworth &amp; Southam does not make sense. Instead, the majority of the ward should remain in Warwick &amp; Leamington, with the exception of Hatton and Hatton Park that should go into the Kenilworth &amp; Southam seat. This would leave Warwick &amp; Leamington within the threshold with 76,602 electors. This would also mean that fewer electors would be moving parliamentary seats than the current BCE proposal.</p>
BCE-78554	Member of the public	BARFORD	<p>The new boundaries in our area make no sense. We are part of Warwick district and should remain so.</p>
BCE-78611	Member of the public	Heathcote, Warwick	<p>I live in the area of Warwick Gates that falls in the Whitnash ward, on the boundary with Myton and Heathcote.</p> <p>I support the Commission's proposal for the Warwick and Leamington constituency. Having lived here since 2000, I agree that the strong connection between the Warwick and Leamington areas should be preserved.</p> <p>My children went to Primary school in Whitnash and secondary school in Warwick. I work in Warwick and spend a lot of time walking around Warwick Gates and Bishops Tachbrook. This area's recent development means that many people living in the rapidly growing community of Warwick Gates are dependent on both the amenities and local services and infrastructure in both Warwick and Leamington. It makes sense to keep the connection of Warwick and Leamington as one constituency.</p>
BCE-78672	On behalf of a Parish or T	Warwick	<p>Boundary Commission for England 2023 Review</p> <p>Hatton Parish Council's response to the Initial Proposals, published 21st June 2021</p> <p>We note the proposal to move the Budbrooke Ward of Warwick District Council, which includes Hatton Parish, from the Warwick and Leamington Constituency to that of Kenilworth and Southam in order to fulfil the requirement to bring the electorate of the latter above the threshold of 69,724 decreed by Parliament.</p> <p>We find it extraordinary that this recommendation is based on the present population and does not make allowance for the increase resulting from the developments included in the Warwick District Local Plan, adopted in 2017. We understand that this would be sufficient to achieve the required increase in the electorate.</p> <p>Hatton Parish is, in all respects a part of the Warwick and Leamington community:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All direct transport and communication links are with Warwick: road, cycle and pedestrian, rail and even canal.</li> <li>• Our children predominantly attend schools in Warwick.</li> <li>• We attend doctors' surgeries and other medical facilities in Warwick.</li> <li>• Our retail facilities, tradespeople and other resources are largely in Warwick and Leamington.</li> <li>• Employment: our residents work over a large part of the West Midlands, but again, there is predominance towards Warwick and Leamington.</li> </ul> <p>We can therefore see no reason for, nor benefit from the proposed change</p>

BCE-78940	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I am concerned about the proposal to include Budbrooke Parish within the Kenilworth and Southam constituency because of the very strong links between Budbrooke Parish and the town of Warwick - and the absence of links between the Budbrooke Parish and the towns of Kenilworth and Southam. My comments are based on personal experience of working for 22 years as a Primary School Teacher, Assistant and Acting Head in both Kenilworth and Budbrooke. I believe that my experience gives a clear indication that Budbrooke is part of the fabric of Warwick " and has no connection with Kenilworth or Southam.</p> <p>Importantly, my understanding is that retaining the Budbrooke Parish (Hampton Magna, Budbrooke village and Hampton-on-the-Hill) within the Warwick and Leamington constituency would still ensure that the number of electors in Warwick and Leamington does not exceed the Boundary Commission's upper threshold.</p> <p>For six years I worked in Kenilworth at Thorns and St John's schools. The schools in Kenilworth enjoy the benefits of financial support from the William Edwards charity and work together to provide inter-school activities such as in sports and country-dancing (at Kenilworth Castle). The children belong to many Kenilworth organisations such as the Scout and Guiding groups based in the town and many take an active part in Kenilworth Town events such as the Kenilworth Carnival.</p> <p>In sharp contrast, during the 16 years that I worked at Budbrooke school (2002 - 2018), the pupils took an active part in many Warwick town events including the 1100th anniversary of the creation of the town, the annual Remembrance Day parade in the town centre, the annual Warwick Carnival and with Christmas activities and school music festivals held for schools in Warwick at the Collegiate Church of St Mary's Warwick. Because of the number of Budbrooke pupils who live within CV34, the school has been able to benefit greatly from charitable gifts from the King Henry VIII Trust to support the education of children of Warwick. Furthermore many pupils belong to the Warwick District Scouting organisations and the 2nd Warwick Sea Scouts - and there is always good-humoured rivalry between active club members and their parents who are proud to belong to the various junior football clubs that are in Warwick! However, the pupils</p>
BCE-79115	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Please see the attached letter to the Boundary Commission and a scan of the area to which this letter refers.</p>
BCE-79462	Local councillor or other	Leamington Spa	<p>I am the Leader of Warwick District Council and have served as the Ward Councillor for Bishop's Tachbrook since May 2015, having previously been a Bishop's Tachbrook Parish Councillor since 2003.</p> <p>The circa 140,000 residents of Warwick District are located in all of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency and part for the Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency. The Bishop's Tachbrook Ward is adjacent to the Budbrooke Ward, with strong and growing community links between the main villages in each Ward of Bishop's Tachbrook and Barford.</p> <p>I understand that the BCE proposes to restructure the parliamentary boundary for the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency as part of the 2023 Review by:</p> <p>1) Moving Old Milverton, Blackdown and parts of Cubbington village from Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency (K&amp;S) to Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency (W&amp;L).</p> <p>I support these changes as they resolve minor incoherencies in existing boundaries; provide clarity to electors where today neighbours in the same street are in different constituencies, and simplify the administrative process for Warwick District's Electoral Registration Officers.</p> <p>2) Transferring the Budbrooke Ward - which consists of the parishes of Barford Joint Parish Council (villages of Barford, Sherbourne and Wasperton), Budbrooke Parish Council (Hampton-on-the-Hill and Hampton Magna), Hatton Parish Council (Hatton Green, Hatton Park and Hatton) and Norton Lindsey Parish Council " from the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency to the Kenilworth &amp; Southern constituency.</p> <p>In respect of 2) above, I strongly object to all the Budbrooke Ward being removed from the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency, for the following reasons:</p> <p>a) The residents of this area have historic links to the towns of Warwick and Leamington, which can be traced to the 1880s. The Budbrooke Ward is a part of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency, and Kenilworth &amp; Southam constituency.</p> <p>I note that the Boundary Commission is proposing to move Budbrooke Ward from Warwick &amp; Leamington to Kenilworth &amp; Southam.</p> <p>As a former Warwick District Ward councillor for Budbrooke Ward, I have come to know the area of Budbrooke Ward very well over the years. From attending many meetings with Parish Councils and discussions with electors in the Ward, the communities in Budbrooke view themselves as very integrated to the towns of Warwick and Leamington, far more so than with Kenilworth, let alone Southam where there is no local connection whatsoever. (I don't think in 20 years of living in Budbrooke Ward that I have ever been to Southam.)</p> <p>As a resident in Budbrooke ward, living in Wasperton, I go to Leamington for shopping, the petrol station, restaurants and entertainment. My local gym is in Warwick as are the nearest parks. I use restaurants and pubs in Warwick, as well as visiting Warwick market on a Saturday. The children went to schools in Warwick, with a large portion of their and my friends based in the towns of Leamington and Warwick. My place of work is on the Warwick Industrial Estate and I use Leamington Railway Station for journeys to London and Birmingham.</p> <p>This is the case for the majority of residents in the Ward, having similarly strong geographic and cultural connections with Leamington and even more so with Warwick. Where people look outside these two towns, it is to Stratford-upon-Avon and Birmingham/London. Kenilworth barely registers.</p> <p>Others are better placed to go into the detailed history of the strong connections, but I believe that Budbrooke Ward has been part of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency since the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency was formed in 1885, so their are strong historical associations that would be broken.</p>
BCE-79618	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I note that the Boundary Commission is proposing to move Budbrooke Ward from Warwick &amp; Leamington to Kenilworth &amp; Southam.</p> <p>As a former Warwick District Ward councillor for Budbrooke Ward, I have come to know the area of Budbrooke Ward very well over the years. From attending many meetings with Parish Councils and discussions with electors in the Ward, the communities in Budbrooke view themselves as very integrated to the towns of Warwick and Leamington, far more so than with Kenilworth, let alone Southam where there is no local connection whatsoever. (I don't think in 20 years of living in Budbrooke Ward that I have ever been to Southam.)</p> <p>As a resident in Budbrooke ward, living in Wasperton, I go to Leamington for shopping, the petrol station, restaurants and entertainment. My local gym is in Warwick as are the nearest parks. I use restaurants and pubs in Warwick, as well as visiting Warwick market on a Saturday. The children went to schools in Warwick, with a large portion of their and my friends based in the towns of Leamington and Warwick. My place of work is on the Warwick Industrial Estate and I use Leamington Railway Station for journeys to London and Birmingham.</p> <p>This is the case for the majority of residents in the Ward, having similarly strong geographic and cultural connections with Leamington and even more so with Warwick. Where people look outside these two towns, it is to Stratford-upon-Avon and Birmingham/London. Kenilworth barely registers.</p> <p>Others are better placed to go into the detailed history of the strong connections, but I believe that Budbrooke Ward has been part of the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency since the Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency was formed in 1885, so their are strong historical associations that would be broken.</p>

BCE-80313	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>We are not in agreement to the boundary changes as it is to affect Budbrooke Ward, and more specifically Barford.</p> <p>All of the local services that we use, from shopping, sports and recreation, education, medical and social provision are based in Warwick and Leamington and none from Kenilworth and Southam district. Geographically Barford is located adjacent to the County town of Warwick, and is completely at odds with the majority of the offerings in Kenilworth and Southam district.</p> <p>In terms of our current and any future member of parliament the Warwick and Leamington MP will naturally have more affinity with the adjacent outlying villages, rather than having to make contact with remote representation.</p> <p>Please leave Budbrooke Ward with Warwick and Leamington.</p>
BCE-80501	Local councillor or other	Kenilworth	<p>I am against these proposals, as a Kenilworth Councillor the areas of Budbrooke, Barford and Hampton Magna look towards Warwick, are part of Warwick, residents use local Council and other services in Warwick (gyms, libraries, Warwick and Warwick Parkway stations, takeaways and restaurants, medical facilities and secondary schools). To ensure effective democratic representation they would be best placed within the same Parliamentary constituency.</p> <p>I would say that these areas should stay in Leamington and Warwick area, rather than move into Kenilworth and Southam.</p>
BCE-80751	Member of the public	WARWICK	<p>It seems to me totally ridiculous to move Budbrooke Parish to Kenilworth. We are Warwick, our children go to school in Warwick we have Warwick Parkway Station, we use medical facilities and the hospital in Warwick which is just a couple of miles down the road, we use shops in Warwick and have every conceivable contact with Warwick.</p> <p>We have none of this with Kenilworth which is a totally different part of Warwickshire and has no attachment to Budbrooke/ Hampton magna at all.</p> <p>Conjoining us with Kenilworth is sheer lunacy and will certainly disenfranchise us as voters as Kenilworth and Southam couldn't be more divorced from us - they aren't even close.</p> <p>A very big NO THANK YOU! We want to stay with Warwick.</p>
BCE-81281	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>[RD:27]</p> <p>My address contains Warwick  My business name contains Warwick  My life revolves around Warwick  Budbrooke is in Warwick  Kenilworth has no interest to me what so ever  If it did Iâ€™d live there  Iâ€™ve no desire whatsoever to be in Kenilworth  Donâ€™t move my boundaries</p>
BCE-81492	Local councillor or other	Warwick	<p>I object to the proposal to place the parish of Barford, Sherbourne and Wasperton in the Parliamentary Constituency of Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>Geographically we are only 3 miles from Warwick, whereas Kenilworth is over 8 miles and Southam is 13 and both are reached by driving through or past Warwick and Leamington! I regularly cycle to Warwick. I would not even consider doing so to Kenilworth which is reached on the busy A46 bypass.</p> <p>Southam does not have the amenities or resources of a proper town.</p> <p>Warwick and Leamington are the towns we use for our hospital, doctors, dentistry, opticians and shopping. We read the local paper, The Warwick Courier and our local libraries are in Warwick and Leamington. Most residents of the parish use the parks and other sporting amenities of Warwick, not Kenilworth or Southam.</p> <p>It just makes no sense to transfer us to Kenilworth, let alone Southam. We have a much more natural link to Warwick.</p> <p>Please reconsider these ill conceived proposals.</p>
BCE-82247	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am disgusted that having been born and raised in Warwick that where I live, Budbrooke is due to be changed to Kenilworth and Southam. Our train station is called WARWICK Parkway, I attended school in WARWICK, when I was sick I went to WARWICK hospital and therefore it is confusing to me that my constituency and boundary would change? Budbrooke is an important part of the Warwick community, please for the good of the community do not change our boundaries. Thank you.</p>

BCE-82284	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Feel that there are improvements to be made to the BCE proposal;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishop's Tachbrook; local hospital is in Warwick;</li> <li>- Work commute is either to Warwick &amp; Leamington or further afield, not to Kenilworth &amp; Southam;</li> <li>- The Barford Joint Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres;</li> <li>- The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. (In contrast, children in Hatton Green and the North part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden School. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas);</li> <li>- Residents in Norton Lindsey tend to use the library in Warwick. If they play hockey, they will tend to play for Warwick Hockey Club; if they play tennis, it will be at the Warwick Boat Club; residents are members of Leamington &amp; County Golf Club based in Whitnash; gym facilities are in Warwick and Leamington;</li> <li>- In all the above cases, residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth, let alone Southam and so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick and Leamington;</li> </ul> <p>Thus to add back the following to the BCE proposed Warwick and Leamington district;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Hampton-on-the-Hill</li> <li>-Hampton</li> <li>-Magna</li> <li>-Norton Lindsey</li> <li>-Sherbourne</li> <li>-Barford</li> <li>-Wasperton</li> </ul>
BCE-82319	Member of the public	leamington spa	<p>When proposing the boundary changes for Leamington and Warwick the mistakes of history are being repeated by what gives the appearance of a desktop geographical and numbers exercise that ignores the real interactions of affected stakeholder communities e.g. Middle East at end of 2nd World War!</p> <p>Probably less divisive in this case yet still pitting the urban against the rural by creating an almost overwhelming urban domination of the Warwick and Leamington Constituency while exiling the rural villages to towns with whom they have little real connection. More specifically:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Budbrooke as part of Warwick and Leamington to be retained because these villages have a very strong historic and current link with Warwick (rather than Kenilworth and Southam)</li> <li>• Residents in Barford largely use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishop's Tachbrook; local hospital is in Warwick;</li> <li>• Work commute from these areas is either to Warwick &amp; Leamington or further afield, not to Kenilworth &amp; Southam;</li> <li>• The Barford Joint Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres</li> <li>• The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas</li> <li>• Residents in Norton Lindsey tend to use community facilities in Warwick and Leamington</li> <li>• The above residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth, let alone Southam and so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick and Leamington</li> </ul>
BCE-82320	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Warwick &amp; Leamington Constituency The separation of Barford and Norton Linsey is not logical. It has always been part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres; The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. (In contrast, children in Hatton Green and the North part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumbe Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden School. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas.</p> <p>It makes no sense to separate this parish.</p>
BCE-82323	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>My family have been resident in both Warwick and Leamington for up to forty years and we have brought our four children up here, all of whom were educated in the area.</p> <p>Leamington and Warwick are closely linked and, whilst we live in the centre of Leamington we regularly travel to Warwick for shopping, leisure and other activities.</p> <p>I do think it would be logical that Bishops Tachbrook should also be part of the Leamington &amp; Warwick constituency.</p> <p>Having said that I think there is much to commend the new boundary proposal and therefore I support the change in the boundary as proposed.</p> <p>Thank you,</p> <p>[RD:16]</p>

BCE-82378	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I agree with the commission's proposal to keep Whitnash as part of Warwick and Leamington constituency. I have lived in Whitnash for over 40 years and Leamington previously, and the areas are closely linked.</p> <p>The town of Whitnash is in the parish of St Margaret's, part of which is in the neighbouring Brunswick ward of Leamington Spa. The area known as South Sydenham is part of Whitnash ward while Sydenham itself is in Leamington.</p> <p>Secondary education for Whitnash children is provided in either Warwick or Leamington. Most local employment opportunities, public services (including hospital) and shopping facilities (including major supermarkets) are based in Warwick or Leamington and are accessible by local public transport.</p> <p>All of the above supports keeping Whitnash as an integral part of the Warwick and Leamington constituency.</p>
BCE-82436	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I do not support the Boundary Commission's proposal to move Budbrooke into Kenilworth &amp; Southam.</p> <p>We live in the parish of Barford JPC, part of Budbrooke, and our lives revolve around Leamington and Warwick. We have very good road links into both Warwick (A429) and Leamington (via Barford and Europa Way).</p> <p>I do my shopping in Leamington town centre, and while there is a Sainsbury's in Wellesbourne for top-up shops, I tend to use either the Sainsbury's in Leamington or Tesco in Warwick for main shops. Our nearest main shopping centre is The Priors in Leamington.</p> <p>I am an active tennis member of Warwick Boat Club and play golf and am Competition Secretary at Leamington &amp; County in Whitnash, within Warwick and Leamington.</p> <p>Both children were schooled in Warwick and when we go out for a meal or a pub visit it is to Warwick or Leamington we head. The nearest cinema for us is in Leamington.</p> <p>The overall picture is one of strong cultural and geographical ties to Leamington and to Warwick, which are much more limited in respect of Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>On this basis I support the idea of as much of Budbrooke as possible as allowed by the number of electors staying within Warwick and Leamington, which would mean Barford, Budbrooke and Norton Lindsey staying in Warwick and Leamington.</p>
BCE-82439	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>I am nearly 79, lived in Warwick until the age of 24, moved to Barford on marriage for 32 years then returned to reside in Warwick for the past 22 years. Throughout my time in Barford, all essential services - eg. medical, pharmacy, library, supermarket, sports and social activities - were sought in Warwick as our nearest town. Our children only attended the village school until the age of 8 years and had then to transfer to Middle School and Secondary School in Warwick. As far as I am concerned Barford is a 'suburb' of Warwick and has no significant automatic links to Kenilworth and Southam, so to lump it and the surrounding villages into the latter named constituency is illogical.</p> <p>Current housing development around Warwick and Leamington is based on flawed figures used for the Local Plan, including providing overspill housing for Coventry which, it is now admitted, is no longer required, so to argue that this development will skew the electoral figures in future is not a strong basis for changing the status quo. Also the very significant number of students from Warwick University living in Leamington are only here for part of the year, contribute nothing to the local authority funds and traditionally decline to vote, so are hardly likely to affect voting figures either.</p> <p>I feel very strongly that Warwick and Leamington constituency should remain as it is, as support for the two main political parties is pretty evenly balanced and ensures that people exercise their right to vote at national and local elections. To change things could upset the voter balance of both constituencies and engender an attitude of 'why bother if we can't change the outcome' in the voting public.</p> <p>Please reconsider your decision.</p>
BCE-82508	Member of the public	Barford	<p>Barford has very little in common with Kenilworth and Southam. It makes no sense to try and force a fit where there is no appetite or common ground for one.</p> <p>Work commute is usually to Warwick or Leamington. Traffic congestion when going to Kenilworth is a major problem and is avoided when possible.</p> <p>Barford residents shop in Warwick or Leamington and do not use Kenilworth or Southam. The environment also benefits from unnecessary commutes.</p>

BCE-82557	Member of the public	Barford	<p>Barford has nothing in common with Kenilworth or Southam. I can not remember the last time I travelled to either Kenilworth or Southam.</p> <p>Any services we require such as shopping, car repair, dentist, doctor etc are either in Warwick or Leamington. Southam is too far away and Kenilworth is not the easiest of places to access due to traffic congestion.</p> <p>We would only use Warwick or Leamington to go out to a restaurant or pub. Kenilworth is never an option and Southam is simply too far away and its restaurants are limited.</p>
BCE-82564	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>We have hosted a number of charity events in Warwick over recent years to combat social isolation. Those attending have included people who live in Budbrooke and Barford. They feel that they are part of the wider Warwick Community and I feel that it would lead to them feeling more isolated if they were "cut off" from Warwick.</p>
BCE-82604	Local councillor or other	Warwick	<p>â€ Residents in Barford tend to use GP surgeries and dental practices in Warwick or Bishopâ€™s Tachbrook; local hospital is in Warwick;</p> <p>â€ Work commute is either to Warwick &amp; Leamington or further afield, not to Kenilworth &amp; Southam;</p> <p>â€ The Barford Joint Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington and the local newspaper is the Warwick Courier; Warwick and Leamington provide the main shopping centres;</p> <p>â€ The Budbrooke Parish Council area has always been part of Warwick and Leamington. Children living there attend Budbrooke Primary School and are then expected to go to Aylesford School in Warwick. (In contrast, children in Hatton Green and the North part of Hatton Park attend Ferncumb Primary School and are then expected to go to Henley-in-Arden School. This is in line with the official Warwickshire Education catchment areas);</p> <p>â€ Residents in Norton Lindsey tend to use the library in Warwick. If they play hockey, they will tend to play for Warwick Hockey Club; if they play tennis, it will be at the Warwick Boat Club; residents are members of Leamington &amp; County Golf Club based in Whitnash; gym facilities are in Warwick and Leamington;</p> <p>â€ In all the above cases, residents have much more of a natural link to Warwick than they do to Kenilworth, let alone Southam and so it makes no sense to suggest these areas should be transferred from Warwick and Leamington;</p>
BCE-82854	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I am a resident of the Warwick and Leamington constituency and I support the initial proposal from the Boundary Commission. As the two towns are contiguous, it is important they remain part of the same constituency. Leamington is also contiguous with Lillington so it is sensible for them to remain within the same constituency. Allocating the Budbrooke ward and the west of the Leek Wootton ward to the Kenilworth and Southam ensures that constituency is more consistently rural, while Warwick and Leamington is predominantly towns and suburbs. This will facilitate effective representation for constituents' differing needs.</p>
BCE-83401	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I thank the boundaries commission for this opportunity to comment on the proposed new boundaries. I am pleased with the proposals. Leamington and Warwick have been in one constituency for many years -- since 1885, I think, so should clearly remain together. And outlying places should be included. So I am pleased to see that Bishops Tachbrook is still in the constituency and places like Whitnash which although a separate town merges with Leamington Spa. The Leamington cemetery is very close to the boundary between the two towns and is well frequented by people from both towns.</p> <p>It is good to see Blackdown included as North Leamington School is within Blackdown and many pupils from Leamington attend the school. New Cubbington merges with Lillington so it is good to see its inclusion proposed within the constituency.</p>
BCE-83579	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I broadly support the proposals. The most important thing is to keep Warwick, Leamington, Whitnash and Bishop's Tachbrook in the same constituency as they are closely tied. I grew up in both Warwick and Leamington. I went to primary school in Leamington and secondary school in Warwick. I have worked in both towns. My grandparents lived in Whitnash so have spent lots of time there too. Growing up I had a close friend in Bishop's Tachbrook and have visited the pub there a few times as is close to Whitnash. They are all effectively one place. It is a shame to lose some of the surrounding villages, but they do feel less connected to Warwick and Leamington. I've personally known very few people who live in those rural areas and rarely have reason to visit. It makes a lot of sense for North Leamington school to be included in the constituency as it's in Leamington and most people who attend would live in Warwick &amp; Leamington constituency. It's much more closely connected with the secondary schools in Warwick &amp; Leamington than elsewhere.</p>
BCE-83966	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>We live just outside Warwick and see Warwick as the town we live in and shop in. We have no association with Kenilworth or Southam. It appears that we are a narrow section just outside Warwick which is being used to join Kenilworth with Southam for a reason nothing to do with the residents that live there. I find it quite ridiculous and feel there will be no point in voting in future as potentially our MP will have nothing to do with where we live. Please leave us in Warwick!</p>
BCE-84381	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Budbrooke and Barford should be kept as part of Warwick and Leamington. These villages are very closely connected to Warwick and residents will use services (e.g. health, education, leisure) in Warwick. Being part of Kenilworth and Southam will mean those residents are not properly represented by their local MP when it comes to decisions about critical services. At least Hatton is somewhat closer to Kenilworth so that could make more sense.</p>
BCE-84850	Member of the public	Warwick	<p>Do not understand the rationale behind this. Iâ€™m concerned that my voice that has been recognised will now be drowned. Also Iâ€™m definitely worried about decline in services as they'll now be spread across a wider area. Finally, â€ Warwickâ€™ is part of my address, so feels absolutely bizarre that Kenilworth and Southam will be my council - especially as Southam is miles away!</p>



WARWICK & LEAMINGTON CONSTITUENCY IN THE WEST MIDLANDS

As a part Mayor fo the town of Warwick I am wiring in support of the submission made by the Town Council regarding the planned changes to the Warwick & Leamingotn Constituency.

The Council, like many residents, is very concerned that the current proposal will produce a new constituency marooned within another constituency and has little acknowledgment of the physical, cultural, recreational, educational, and historical links that those small Parish Council areas adjacent to Warwick town have with this part of the Constituency. The Council believes and I support, council these established and long-standing settlements should be included in the new Constituency. Budbrook parish like Warwick itself was mentioned in the Domesday book long before Leamington came into being.

These villages identify with Warwick and should remain so, in allowing these villages to switch constituencies there would be a break in these historic and current connections which would have harm upon the culture and social aspect of the current boundary arrangement. The disparity will add to confusion within local politics which has reduced over recent years.

The current residents of Hampton-on-the-Hill, Hampton Magna, Norton Lindsey, Sherbourne, Barford and Wasperton all look to Warwick for their daily economic, educational, and recreational needs. By including these villages within the new Warwick & Leamington Constituency, the commision would remove the "donut" effect as the Constituency will run directly along the Stratford on Avon constituency border as it does now.