Public Consultation comments received

Consultee	Remark	Comment
Responsible Authority	Suggested change to the title of Section 7 to Cumulative Impact Assessment. (P. 6)	Title Changed.
	Provision of a new contact number for responsible authority. (P. 32)	Contact details updated.
Responsible Authority	Request for the inclusion of a specific statement on sexual entertainment (as premises can hold up to 11 sexual entertainment events in a calendar year).	Not accepted. All sexual entertainment is covered by the Sexual Entertainment Venues policy and legislation. The section 182 guidance is clear that where issues are covered by other legislation that will be applied.
Trade Representative	Paragraph 1.10 – overreaching to include unconsciousness and alcohol poisoning.	Paragraph altered to remove 'unconsciousness and alcohol poisoning'.
	Paragraph 4.2 – factually incorrect, a licensing authority may add conditions without objections in certain circumstances.	Paragraph altered to specify that conditions may also be added where they are consistent with the operating schedule.
	Paragraphs 4.4 and 4.5 – contradictory, to say that we will not implement standard conditions and then to include a list of model conditions as part of the policy.	Paragraph 4.4 altered to state that the licensing authority will not impose standard conditions rather than implement . Paragraph 4.5 not altered.
	Paragraph 7.5 – questioning the inclusion of a statement re: the review of the CIZ and asking whether the review would be completed before the final policy document is agreed.	Paragraph altered to remove reference to review process. CIZ consultation process will be undertaken separately to Policy review.
	Paragraph 7.9 – overreaching to require applicants to demonstrate that they are actively reducing incidents of crime and disorder through their operating schedule.	Paragraph altered to reflect that applicants are expected to demonstrate that their activities will not disproportionately impact on crime and disorder.
	Paragraph 8.1 – question raised about whether 'large numbers of people' should be included.	Paragraph altered to remove 'large numbers of people'.
	Paragraph 8.2 – question asked about the applicant's requirement to 'promote' the prevention of crime and disorder.	Paragraph altered to reflect that applicants are expected to demonstrate that their activities will not disproportionately impact on crime and disorder.

Consultee	Remark	Comment
	Paragraph 8.5 – suggested that this should be updated to match latest section 182 guidance.	Paragraph updated to include all responsible authorities.
	Paragraph 8.12 – overreaching in paragraph 1.4 would impact on this.	No change to this paragraph as paragraph 1.4 has been altered.
	Paragraph 8.18 – question asked about 'Agent of Change' principle in planning. Should/could this be included in this section.	Not accepted. The section 182 guidance is clear that where issues are covered by other legislation that will be applied.
	Paragraph 9.1 – should be expanded to include all premises not just alcohol licenced premises.	Paragraph altered to include all appropriate licence types.
	Paragraph 10.6 – question asked about whether an incomplete application could be refused legally. Could lead to legal challenge.	Paragraph altered to remove statement.
	Paragraph 10.7 – same question as 4.2, factually incorrect.	Paragraph altered to specify that conditions may also be added where they are consistent with the operating schedule.
	Paragraph 14.3 – question over wording re: agreeing to proceed without a hearing.	Paragraph altered.
Public	Paragraph 10.3 – question asked about the confusion caused by earlier opening hours also.	Paragraph altered to include 'operating hours' rather than 'terminal hour'.
	Paragraphs 10.7 and 12.5 – question of duplication raised.	No changes made. Both paragraphs are important in the specific context of application processing and integration with other policies and strategies.
	Paragraphs 14.1 to 14.3 – request to rewrite all three paragraphs to better reflect the constitution of Warwick District Council.	All paragraphs altered.
Town Council.	Town Council have discussed the document and have no comment to make.	
Town Council	Town Council have discussed the document and have no comment to make.	
Town Council	The Council found the revised Statement to be a clear and logical approach and welcomed the inclusion of the model conditions which adds clarity to the Policy.	