

Agenda Item No.

Title	Work Plan
For further information about this report	Graham Leach, Senior Committee Services
please contact	Officer, (01926 456114) email
	graham.leach@warwickdc.gov.uk
Service Area	Members' Services
Wards of the District directly affected	None
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of	N <sub>O</sub>
schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government	
(Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006	
Date and meeting when issue was last	February 2010
considered and relevant minute number	
Background Papers	None

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	Vo
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number)   No	do

## Officer/Councillor Approval

۵ <	With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the redirector, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).	Il reports <u>must</u> be and the relevant	With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).
$\overline{}$	Officer Approval	Date	Name
T	Relevant Director		
$\sim$	Chief Executive		
$\bigcirc$	CMT		
'n	Section 151 Officer		
_	Legal		
Т	Finance		
Т	Portfolio Holder(s)		
_	Consultation Hadortakon		

### Consultation Undertaken

Standards Committee Final Decision? | No
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)
This report contains a rolling work plan which will be considered at every meeting of the

### 1. SUMMARY

<u>-</u> for their meetings along with a constant training programme This report outlines the Committee's work plan to allow them to plan the work load

### 2. RECOMMENDATION

- 2.1 The work plan, as set out at Appendix 1, be amended as required
- 2.2 noted. The log of complaints under the code of conduct, as set out at Appendix 2, be

# 3. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION

- <u>ა</u> add or remove items as it sees fit. The work plan is the responsibility of the Committee and it is entitled to amend it.
- 3.2 The log of complaints is a public register available via the website and cannot be amended.

# 4. ALTERNATIVE OPTION CONSIDERED

<u>4</u> -2 alternative options considered The Committee is responsible for its own work load and therefore there can be no

## 5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5 The content of the report has no budgetary impact

### 6. POLICY FRAMEWORK

ი 1 The content of the report does not impact upon the Policy Framework for the Council.

### 7. BACKGROUND

- 7.1 any changes in the areas it is responsible for. also programme in regular training to allow them be kept up to date with regards to The work plan was produced to allow the Committee to monitor its work load and
- 7.2 log of complaints on the Council's website under the Standards Committee web were informed of what complaints had been made page. The Committee requested to see this so that all members of the Committee Conduct and to ensure it is publicly available. Warwick District Council publish their The Council is obliged to hold a log of complaints made to it under the

### STANDARDS COMMITTEE WORKPLAN - 2010/11

### 17 November 2010

Item to be considered	Lead Officer
Annual Governance Statement - Action Plan half year review	Andrew Jones
Update on the future for Standards For England	Andrew Jones

### **16 February 2011**

Item to be considered	Lead Officer
Draft Induction Programme for new members	Tracy Leonard
Code of Conduct for employees of the Council	Andrew Jones

### **TBC**

Item to be considered	Lead Officer
Members' Register of Financial and other Interests under the Mandatory Code of Conduct - The register	Graham Leach
containing details of financial and other interests notified by individual members will be available for inspection by	
members of the Committee.	
Review of Development Review Forum	Development Control
	Manager
Annual Governance Statement - Action Plan end of year report	Andrew Jones

### **Warwick District Council - Local Standards Framework**

Register of complaints made to the Monitoring Officer of Warwick District about Warwick District Councillors and Town/Parish Councillors of Town/Parish Council's within Warwick District

If a complaint is made about a Councillor and it is found not to be a breach of the code of conduct, the details of the complaint will be listed but without the names of the individuals concerned. This list will be maintained in accordance with the Local Assessment of Complaints and Review Procedures adopted by this Council.

Ref	Date received	Complaint	Outcome
LSF.01.2009	8 January 2009	No response to complaint made re noise problem	Monitoring Officer believed that there had been no breach of Code of Conduct, an apology was offered by the Warwick District Councillor and this was accepted by the complainant, and the complaint was withdrawn.
LSF.02.2009	4 February 2009	Conduct of a Warwick District Councillor regarding a survey into low cost housing and a subsequent planning application.	This matter was considered by an Assessment Sub-Committee. There were a number of individual aspects to this case. Some were referred to the Monitoring Officer to undertake further action and the others were agreed that no further action should be taken. The decision was reviewed, at the request of the complainant by a Review Sub-Committee and it confirmed the previous decision.

LSF.03.2009	9 April 2009	No support had been given by a ward councillor to an elector of the ward regarding a housing benefits claim.	This complaint is now considered to be closed. The complaint is unresolved as the complainant has not clarified the aspects of the complaint. However, over six months have passed since the date of submission and no further action seems likely.
LSF.04.2009	10 June 2009	A Warwick District Councillor had published comments on a website community forum which could bring the council into disrepute and used the authority's resources incorrectly.	The matter was considered by an Assessment Sub-Committee and was referred for investigation. The Consideration and Hearing Sub-Committee received the subsequent report from the external investigator and accepted the conclusion that there had been no breach of the Code of Conduct.
LSF.05.2009	2 September 2009	A Warwick District Councillor did not declare an interest in a piece of land owned by the Thomas Oken and Nicholas Eyffler Charity, of which they were a Trustee appointed by WDC which had been identified as a potential site for housing	No further action to be taken regarding the complaint. However, Councillors to be reminded of the need to declare any interests at the appropriate time and that this should also apply to working parties and other informal meetings. Following a request from the complainant this matter was considered by a Review Sub-Committee who agreed that no further action should be taken but asked the Monitoring officer to bring forward a report to the Standards Committee on declarations of interest at informal meetings.

LSF.06.2010	12 April 2010	Two Warwick District Councillors had failed to meet the Council's obligations to comply with the Discrimination Act 2005	The Assessment Sub-Committee agreed that no further action should be taken present as discussions were ongoing with the parties involved.
LSF.07.2010	22 April 2010	A Warwick District Councillor had taken various actions which had brought the Council into disrepute, and had caused discrimination	The matter was considered by the Assessment Sub-Committee and was referred for investigation. The investigation has been suspended for the time being due to a legal issue arsing,
LSF.08.2010	16 June 2010	A Warwick Town Councillor had acted without showing due respect and politeness for a local resident	The complainant agreed that he would accept mediation by the Monitoring Officer which has taken place. A response from the complainant is awaited.
LSF.09.2010	20 August 2010	A Warwick District Councillor has made comments, including at committee meetings open to the public, which appear to have been bullying and showing lack of respect to officers	The matter was considered by the Assessment Sub-Committee and was referred for investigation.