1 (after 1	- 0
WARWICK	
DISTRICT	
COUNCIL	

7

COUNCIL	
Title	Internal Audit Quarter 3 2012/13
	Progress Report
For further information about this	Richard Barr
report please contact	Tel: (01926) 456815
	E Mail: richard.barr@warwickdc.gov.uk
Service Area	Finance
Wards of the District directly affected	Not applicable
Is the report private and confidential	No
and not for publication by virtue of a	
paragraph of schedule 12A of the	
Local Government Act 1972, following	
the Local Government (Access to	
Information) (Variation) Order 2006	
Date and meeting when issue was	Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee –
last considered and relevant minute	11 <sup>th</sup> December 2012
number	
Background Papers	Internal Audit Reports

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference	No
number)	

Officer/Councillor Approval				
		be approved by the report author's		
relevant director, Finance, Leg	al Services and t	he relevant Portfolio Holder(s).		
Officer Approval	Date	Name		
Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	22 Feb 2012	Androw Jones		
Executive	22 Feb 2012	Andrew Jones		
Head of Service	22 Feb 2012	Mike Snow		
CMT				
Section 151 Officer	22 Feb 2012	Mike Snow		
Monitoring Officer				
Finance	22 Feb 2012	As S151 Officer		
Portfolio Holder	22 Feb 2012	Councillor Mobbs		
<b>Consultation Undertaken</b>				
Not applicable.				
Final Decision?		Yes		
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)				

#### 1 SUMMARY

1.1 Report advises on progress in achieving the Internal Audit Plan 2012/13, summarises the audit work completed in the third quarter and provides assurance that action has been taken by managers in respect of the issues raised by Internal Audit.

### 2 **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 That the report be noted and its contents be accepted or, where appropriate, acted upon.

### 3 **REASON FOR THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

3.1 Members have responsibility for corporate governance, of which internal audit forms a key part.

#### 4 **ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

4.1 This report is not concerned with recommending a particular option in preference to others so this section is not applicable.

## 5 **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 Although there are no direct budgetary implications arising from this report, Internal Audit provides a view on all aspects of governance including that of the Budgetary Framework. An effective control framework ensures that the Authority manages its resources and achieves its objectives economically, efficiently and effectively.

#### 6 **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

6.1 Although there are no direct policy implications, Internal Audit provides a view on all aspects of governance and will take into account the Council's policies.

#### 7 **ROLE AND RESPONSIBILITIES OF AUDIT COMMITTEES**

- 7.1 Guidance on the role and responsibilities of audit committees is available from a number of sources. That which relates to audit committees' relationship with internal audit and in particular the type and content of reports they should receive from internal audit is summarised in Appendix 1.
- 7.2 Essentially, the purpose of an audit committee is:
  - To provide independent assurance of the associated control environment.
  - To provide independent scrutiny of the authority's financial and non-financial performance to the extent that it affects the authority's exposure to risk and weakens the control environment.
- 7.3 To help fulfil these responsibilities audit committees should review summary internal audit reports and the main issues arising, and seek assurance that action has been taken where necessary.
- 7.4 The following sections provide information to satisfy these requirements.

## 8 PROGRESS AGAINST PLAN

- 8.1 At the start of each year Members approve the Audit Plan setting out the audit assignments to be undertaken. An analysis of progress made in completing the Audit Plan for 2012/13 is set out as Appendix 2.
- 8.2 In the first quarter of this year it was reported that an investigation arose necessitating a diversion of resources away from planned audits. It was reported that, although the impact of this on the Audit Plan was yet to be assessed fully, it would be likely to result in the need for the Audit Plan to be revised. So far it can be reported that this additional work is being absorbed and that it looks unlikely than an amendment to the Plan will be necessary.
- 8.3 Due to staff absence within the Department and the unavailability of other staff due to their involvement in the letting of some major contracts, the completion of the Housing Investment and Maintenance Programmes audit has been delayed until 2013/14. In order to account for the removal of time from the 2012/13 plan, another audit (Mortgages) has been brought forward.

#### 9 ASSURANCE

- 9.1 Management is responsible for the system of internal control and should set in place policies and procedures to help ensure that the system is functioning correctly. On behalf of the Authority, Internal Audit review, appraise and report on the efficiency, effectiveness and economy of financial and other management controls.
- 9.2 Each audit report gives an overall opinion on the level of assurance provided by the controls within the area audited. The bands of assurance are shown in Table 1.

Level of Assurance	Definition
Substantial Assurance	There is a sound system of control with evidence of compliance with all the key controls. There is a sound system of control but there is evidence of non-compliance with some of the controls.
Moderate Assurance	Whilst there is a basically sound system of control there is evidence of non-compliance with several of the key controls.
Limited Assurance	The system of control is weak. There may also be evidence of non-compliance with the controls that do exist.

#### Table 1: Assurance Levels

These definitions have been developed following extensive investigation of other organisations' practices (including commercial operations).

## 10 INTERNAL AUDIT ASSIGNMENTS COMPLETED DURING QUARTER

10.1 Eight audits were completed in the third quarter. Copies of all the reports issued during the quarter are available on request or for viewing on the following hyper-link: <u>Reports</u>.

- 10.2 The action plans accompanying all Internal Audit reports issued in the quarter are set out as Appendix 3. These detail the recommendations arising from the audits together with management responses, including target implementation dates.
- 10.3 As can be seen, responses have been received from nearly all managers to recommendations contained in audit reports issued during the last quarter. However, responses to two recommendations relating to the Corporate Property and Portfolio Management audit, issued 31 December 2012, are outstanding despite reminders. This is the first time for many years that a response to an audit report has not been received and therefore is unable to be shown to Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee. In accordance with protocol, the Committee may require the attendance of the manager at its next meeting to provide an oral response as well as instruct that officer to provide a written response that can be included in the action plan.
- 10.4 Two of the audits completed during the quarter were awarded less than substantial assurance opinions. The reports relating to these audits are set out as Appendix 4.

# 11 IMPLEMENTATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS ISSUED PREVIOUSLY

- 11.1 Managers are required to implement recommendations within the following timescales:
  - (a) Recommendations involving controls assessed as high risk to be implemented within three months.
  - (b) Recommendations involving controls assessed as low or medium risk to be implemented within nine months.
- 11.2 The state of implementation of low and medium risk recommendations made in the fourth quarter of 2011/12 is set out as Appendix 5 to this report. One high risk recommendation was issued in the second quarter of 2012/13.
- 11.3 As can be seen, responses have been received from nearly all managers in order to provide the position on the state of implementation of recommendations issued previously. However, responses recording the state of implementation of recommendations relating to the ICT Business Applications: Acolaid audit, issued 24 January 2012, are outstanding from Development Services, despite reminders. Similar to the situation set out in paragraph 10.3 above, this is the first time for many years that responses setting out the state of implementation of recommendations issued previously have not been received and consequently unable to be shown to Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee. In accordance with protocol, the Committee may require the attendance of the manager at its next meeting to provide an oral response as well as instruct that officer to provide a written response that can be included in the action plan.

## 12 **REVIEW**

12.1 Members are reminded that they may see any files produced by Internal Audit that may help to confirm the level of internal control or help to verify the performance of Internal Audit.