

**Summary of Comments made by the Overview & Scrutiny Committee on Item 4  
on the Cabinet Agenda for Thursday 9 December 2021**

**4 Proposal to create a South Warwickshire District Council**

The Overview & Scrutiny Committee meeting discussed the report using the themes that the Scrutiny Chairs had established at the outset of the process. Five main themes were identified:

1. Consultation
2. Services
3. Climate Emergency
4. Democratic Representation
5. Finance & Risk

Overview & Scrutiny would focus on themes (1) to (4). At the meeting each theme was discussed in turn and any comments and recommendations made at the end of discussion of each theme.

Consultation:

The Committee asked that where issues had been raised by residents, there should be a summary of the issues raised and drilled down to provide the split between Councils. It also requested that the way that information was given to residents, should both Councils agree to merge on 13 December, be strengthened so that residents are clear about the aims and objectives of the new Council. There should be an ongoing communications plan. It requested that stakeholder submissions should be circulated to all Councillors ahead of 13 December.

It recommended to Cabinet that a clearer statistical summary of the evidence base should be published providing clarity upfront on the differences between results in respect of the Residents' Telephone Survey and the Open Consultation Questionnaire and how these evidence bases would be used to shape the future strategy.

**The Cabinet is required to vote on this because it forms a recommendation to it.**

Services:

The Committee noted the importance of communication with residents and how the council engages with them as Services develop.

The Committee made two recommendations to Cabinet:

1. There should be Councillor engagement when developing the Service Area Plans, this should include involvement in metrics and how measures would be set. (Councillors would not be involved in deciding the mechanism for providing this.)
2. More information should be provided on how to treat the risk logs (the Deloitte Risk Register and the Programme Risk Register devised by

officers) and the relationship between the two, after it had been explained that the differences were a result of the timings when the Risk Registers had been prepared, with Deloitte's being at the very start of the process.

**The Cabinet is required to vote on this because it forms a recommendation to it.**

Climate Emergency:

The Overview and Scrutiny Committee did not make any comments or recommendations in respect of Climate Emergency.

Democratic Representation:

The Committee recommended to Cabinet that:

1. It should be made clear that the Council would work with all parish and town councils in the district, not just those which were members of the Warwickshire Association of Local Councils (WALC).
2. The implications of reference to the "Quality Parish" mark (Item 4/Appendix 10/Page 4 in the agenda papers or page 6 in the actual document) should be reviewed because it was too restrictive. Councillors expressed their scepticism about the advantages being a "Quality Parish" Council might bring.
3. The Shadow Council, should, as one of the first things it focusses on, create a framework for how parish and town councils will be supported and how this Council would engage with them with a view to looking at how powers might be devolved to them in the future where there was interest in so doing.

**The Cabinet is required to vote on this because it forms a recommendation to it.**