Budget Setting Review Group

Record of the meeting held on Wednesday 8 February 2023 in the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa at 6.00pm.

Present: Councillors: Cullinan, Davison, J Dearing, R Dickson, Jacques, King,

Kohler and Wright.

Also Present: Councillor Hales, Portfolio Holder - Resources.

1. Appointment of Chair

Councillor Davison was appointed to Chair the meeting.

2. Apologies and Substitutes

There were no apologies for absence.

3. Declarations of Interest

There were no declarations of interest made.

4. Cabinet Agenda (Non-Confidential items and reports) – Thursday 9 February 2023

<u>Item 5 - Local Council Tax Reduction Scheme</u>

The Budget Review Group supported the recommendations, in doing so recognising the administration burden for the Council of chasing the demand for any percentage of Council Tax to be paid against the balance of lost income.

The Group encouraged the Cabinet to ensure the message on eligibility was communicated clearly and simply to all residents and targeted to those who the Council considered to be eligible.

The Group welcomed the assurance from the Portfolio Holder to provide, to District Councillors, a one page eligibility sheet so they could help direct/filter enquiries.

The Group highlighted that any communication that was issued should highlight the process for making a claim, including an in person option.

The Group requested that all members be provided with a breakdown of the number of properties within each Council Tax Band to supplement the Table as set out in paragraph 1.4 in the report.

Item 6 - Council Tax Support Fund

The Group supported the recommendations in the report

Item 8 - HRA Budget and Rent Setting

The Group supported the recommendations in the report.

The Group were concerned that while the HRA was on target for delivering its plans for 2023/24, in the following financial years the Council may have

to reduce the ambitions in terms of development, decarbonising, decent home standards and support to customers, because of the impact in rental income reduction. While at this time there was not a proposal for cuts, if the restrictions on rent continued there may be a need to.

The Group welcomed the agreement that the Head of Housing would share contact details of the Housing Team Financial Inclusion Officers with all Councillors to help them filter and direct cases.

<u>Item 7 - General Fund Revenue and Capital Budget</u>

The Group did not take a formal view on supporting or not the budget proposals.

The Group raised a number of points that the Cabinet and Council should take into consideration when finalising the budget and Council Tax setting for the 2023/24 financial year:

- The Council only had sufficient reserves for about two years (23/24 and 24/25) to sustain projected deficit of £3.5million
- The change management strategy, from 24/25 onwards, was a key with proposing a positive budget effect of £1.5million recurring reducing the demand on Council reserves
- Not increasing Council tax had a net adverse effect on the budget of just under £300,000 per annum for the Council
- Assumptions had been in the budgeting on a lower anticipated number of new homes and inflation based on OBR, Government, and advisors
- The budget assumed no government funding in 2024/25 but the Chancellor had indicated (with no detail or figures) that this would not be the case
- CEAP reserve of £500k per annum had not been increased to allow for inflation and would be used to fund £70k work on biodiversity (as set on Cabinet agenda)
- There was no further news on the business rate retention reset proposal

The Group noted that:

- Further details of the proposed £160k for Abbey Fields Cycle route would be shared with all Councillors
- There was an error on the totalling in Appendix 5b and a revised one would be circulated to all Councillors
- Officers would share the split of right to buy receipts between the one to one budget and any purpose capital budget
- Officers would share with all Councillors the assumptions and calculations that lead to the MTFS forecasts

(The meeting ended at 7.05pm)