

Comment ID	Type of respondent	City/Town	Comment
BCE-52237	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	When we moved to Radford Semele in 1983, we were part of the Leamington and Warwick constituency, the village is only separated from Leamington by a field and Leamington is where we shop, socialise and use the public facilities so why are we lumped in with Kenilworth, it makes no sense.
BCE-52753	Member of the public	Dunchurch	<p>I find it ludicrous that my MP is miles away from my village which is roughly 3 miles from the centre of Rugby but with the current idiotic trend for building unaffordable housing here will in effect be 15 metres from it and its thoroughly useless MP.</p> <p>I pay council tax to Rugby, all of my services are run from Rugby, my local council is Rugby and yet my MP serves an area that has zero influence or responsibility for my village.</p> <p>I can only imagine that this so called evening up of constituency areas has more to do with cementing a certain political party's position in the houses of commons rather than the needs and requirements of the people they purport to represent.</p> <p>I disagree and totally oppose this so called boundary change and question it's whole raison d'etre.</p>
BCE-54409	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Radford Semele is a village that is closely tied to Leamington Spa, barely two miles away. Only a handful of fields maintain any open space between Radford and Leamington. People shop in Leamington and look to Leamington for culture and entertainment.</p> <p>Radford has few links with Southam and virtually none with Kenilworth.</p> <p>Continuing housing development is strengthening the links further. The village is part of Warwick District Council and local planning is tied in strongly to that of Warwick and Leamington.</p>
BCE-55830	Member of the public	Rugby	<p>The village really ought to be incorporated into the Warwick and Leamington constituency if at all possible.</p> <p>Your lines on the southern boundary in Rugby go through existing built -up areas that are logically connected to Rugby such as Cawston and Dunchurch. The map does not show the large amount of development around Bilton Road and Cawston.</p> <p>I'd suggest a line following the A45 / M45 as this will also pickup the large new development of Ashlawn Road, also attached to Rugby.</p> <p>On the Northwest of the boundary, Bulkington fits more logically with Nuneaton and Bedworth, so the M69 could serve as a boundary.</p> <p>With the addition of Dunchurch, Cawston and Ashlawn Road, this should compensate for the loss of Bulkington and ensure our neighbours in the same town are all served by the same MP.</p>
BCE-56454	Member of the public	Coventry	Silly to split the University of Warwick campus in two
BCE-56809	Member of the public	Southam	Rigged constituencies are clearly visible on the map. Rugby, Banbury Daventry Bicester all look natural a large town and its natural hinterland. Kenilworth is not large and has no connection to the southern part of the constituency from which it is distanced by two large towns either of which would be a natural centre for our area given that Banbury and Stratford are not available

BCE-57682	Member of the public	WARWICK	It is absurd that Cubbington is not part of the W and L constituency. Culturally, organisationally, geographically, it is a contiguous conurbation with Leamington/Lillington. Spend 5 minutes driving from Leamington centre through Lillington into Cubbington. It is part of Leamington.
BCE-57683	Member of the public	WARWICK	Radford Semele is a suburb of Leamington. Not of Southam. If its inhabitants were to 'go into town', they would be speaking about Leamington, half a mile away. All cultural social and community links derive from Leamington. Cutting up constituencies for the sake of equalising populations is utterly misguided.
BCE-58520	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>The proposed boundaries split Cubbington into two: the area previously known as New Cubbington is included in Warwick and Leamington and Cubbington village is in Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>Up until the last boundary change Cubbington village was in the the Warwick and Leamington constituency and has far more connections with that constituency than Kenilworth and Southam.</p> <p>Those of us that live in Cubbington village consider ourselves part of Warwick and Leamington, not Kenilworth and Southam. Most of the amenities we access and use are in Warwick and Leamington e.g. doctors, hospital, dentists, secondary schools etc. and the majority that work commute to Warwick and Leamington.</p> <p>We need to be able to contact an MP that represents our interests, which is Warwick and Leamington.</p>
BCE-58693	Member of the public	Warwick	We were in the Leamington and Warwick constituency, but have been moved to Kenilworth and Southam, we have nothing in common with those places, and our votes are lost in a safe conservative constituency. Leamington changes hands regularly, thus our votes can influence matters making it more relevant to us. We shop in Warwick or Leamington, and in the thirty years I have lived here, I have hardly been to Southam, which is more attached to Rugby than kenilworth. Also the parliamentary boundaries do not coincide with the local authority boundary. Southam is in Stratford (!) we are in Warwick district. This confusing!
BCE-58938	Local councillor or other elector	Oxon	Shotteswell was previously in Stratford upon Avon constituency. Weâ€™ve no idea why we got saddled with kenilworth as we have nothing in common with them. We were told that we would go back to Stratford and now youâ€™ve welched on that promise. We come under their district council . Kenilworth is the other side of Leamington. Weâ€™d prefer to come under Stratford with whom we have some form of relationship and things in common
BCE-59240	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>The proposal of including Cubbington in Kenilworth and Southam is not logical. You are proposing New Cubbington is in the Leamington and Warwick boundary, which is ridiculous considering how close they are together. Leamington is closer to Cubbington 3 miles. Kenilworth is 4.9 miles. My postal address does not read Kenilworth, it reads Leamington Spa.</p>

BCE-59273	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>My postcode is CV32 - Leamington.</p> <p>I shop mostly in Leamington and Warwick.</p> <p>I worked the majority of my working life in Leamington.</p> <p>My relatives live in Leamington and Warwick.</p> <p>My GP is in Leamington and has always been.</p> <p>Both my children went to school in Leamington.</p> <p>I can walk to Leamington in 30 mins. I definitely could not walk to Kenilworth or Southam in that time.</p> <p>This makes no sense. This proposed change does not represent me.</p>
BCE-59337	Member of the public	Leamington spa	<p>I would oppose the move to Kenilworth and southam constituency. Our postal address is leamington therefore we should be within Leamington and Warwick District like those deemed to be in "New cubbington".</p>
BCE-59637	Member of the public	Wellesbourne	<p>Both Kenilworth and Southam are both too far from Wellesbourne to have a meaningful connection - naturally Wellesbourne has closer ties to Stratford Upon Avon.</p>
BCE-59913	Member of the public	W	<p>Local all my life, hospital, doctor, dentist, schooling for myself and my children, shopping, public transport, bin collections, all on my doorstep why would I want to have controls moved out of backyard, absolute nonsense idea.</p>
BCE-60718	Member of the public	Solihull	<p>Our address says that we are in knowle (post office website) but actually we are in kenilworth for politics and Warwick for council tax and bin lorries etc.</p> <p>The fact we are in different boundaries for different things is rather strange. Can we just be in one place please</p>
BCE-61429	Member of the public	Rugby	<p>To move [RD:8] to the general Rugby constituency rather than Southam and Kenilworth.</p>
BCE-61437	Member of the public	Rugby	<p>My property has been placed in the Kenilworth and south and area and yet I assume my council with still be classed as rugby</p> <p>When I vote I want to reflect my views based on my council</p> <p>My MP will have nothing to do or say with the area I live in or pay council tax to</p> <p>Move the boundary to the A45</p>
BCE-61451	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Kenilworth Road should be within the Leamington and Warwick constituency for all boundaries, not Kenilworth and Southam. We have strong community ties with Leamington Spa and none with Kenilworth or Southam.</p>
BCE-62741	Member of the public	Kineton	<p>We used to be in the Stratford-upon-Avon constituency and have much more to do with Stratford. We never go to Kenilworth. The motorway is a barrier and would be a good dividing line. We would all like to be back in the Stratford ward- as we are for the District Council.</p>
BCE-66742	Member of the public	Coventry	<p>I fully endorse the change projected for Warwick/Leamington and Kenilworth/Southam i.e. the transfer of Budbrooke from the former to the latter.</p>

BCE-67467	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>Offchurch is a popular satellite village of Leamington. The residents identify as being part of the larger and wider Leamington community and countless numbers of people from Leamington enjoy walks to and around this neighbouring village.</p> <p>This is the case to the extent that residents of Offchurch feel they have a stake in local politics and decisions in Leamington and identify with it as an intimate part of their local geographic identity. Similarly, those from Leamington who come to Offchurch see it as part of their wider area, itâ€™s amenities and activities as available to them as they are to the villagers.</p> <p>Residents of Offchurch have been touched by the activism and support shown by friends from Leamington in recent upsets and campaigns with regard to HS2, the removal of hedgerows and the felling of ancient ancient oaks.</p> <p>The paths and lanes in the countryside around Leamington are the back garden of the town. The town is the nerve centre and star around which Off hutch and other villages orbit. Our lives are each otherâ€™s, our bonds are close and our territories are linked. In short, our interests are both aligned and intertwined. They should be represented with a single voice.</p>
BCE-67799	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	<p>I believe that the boundary proposed dissects Kenilworth Road[RD:23] I will have a different MP to my neighbours on the opposite side of the road.</p> <p>It is my understanding that this arrangement means that the existing Parish of Cubbington which includes New Cubbington, straddles the proposed boundary line. Please refer your map.</p> <p>The residents of the Parish end up with twice as many MP's, two County Councillors and four District Councillors and just the one Parish Council. A surfeit of bureaucracy leads to the delivery of conflicting information.</p> <p>We are on the edge of a large rural constituency area and we see little of the incumbent Member of Parliament in the district despite us being on the route of HS2. Please reconsider your proposal.</p>

BCE-69103	Member of the public	Leamington Spa	I live in Cubbington and feel strongly that Cubbington should be part of the Warwick and Leamington Constituency and not Kenilworth and Southam. We are more aligned with Leamington and most residents will affiliate with Leamington rather than Kenilworth or Southam and the majority of children will attend secondary school in Leamington. We are geographically closer to Leamington than either Kenilworth or Southam. It would be far more logical that Cubbington is part of the Warwick and Leamington Constituency and I want to see this change. I do not feel part of the community of Kenilworth or Southam but do feel part of the community of Leamington and think our political system should reflect this. I want to make a vote that I feel can impact on the area in which I live and currently do not feel this boundary is correctly set.
BCE-69864	Member of the public	Lincoln	Multiple residences constructed by the University of Warwick to accomodate it's expanding student base are now split across two consistencies. The Boundary should be updated to include all university accommodations into the Coventry South constituency.
BCE-73215	Member of the public	Warwick	Wellesbourne relates to Stratford and has nothing in common with Kenilworth or Southam. It should be transferred back to the Stratford on Avon Constituency, as it used to be.
BCE-73609	Member of the public	Coventry	As a University of Warwick student, it would be hugely useful to have all of campus within one constituency, f.ex. in Cov South, because otherwise it gets confusing which campus accommodation building falls under what constituency. As it stands right now, campus is divided roughly in half and people aren't sure which MP they're represented by.
BCE-74131	Member of the public	Kenilworth	I support the proposal for the changes to the Kenilworth & Southam constituency. I think it important the constituencies align with the community boundaries that people naturally recognise. I know this is often hard to achieve within the constraints imposed but for this constituency I think it has been achieved and the solution offered is better than alternatives which have been floated in the past.
BCE-78946	Member of the public	Southam	The link between Kenilworth and Southam in the current and proposed constituency is very weak. It has little historic tie as either a geographic / cultural link or a constituency (having only existed since 2010, as a way to give Warwickshire a new constituency).
BCE-81342	Member of the public	Warwick	A better proposal would be to put the towns of West Warwickshire together with Kenilworth (in the West Midlands conurbation 'hinterland'), so that the south of the county then stays with Stratford-upon-Avon. I think that the north western corner of Kenilworth and Southam CC should in fact be within the Warwick and Leamington CC as it used to be years ago.
BCE-81599	Member of the public	Kenilworth	I have studied the proposed changes to the Kenilworth and Southam Constituency and support them. They are consistent with maintaining the largely rural nature of the constituency. They also allow neighbouring constituencies in Warwick and Leamington and Coventry to retain their more urban character too.

BCE-83100

Member of the public

Coventry

I wish to support the BCE's Initial Proposals for the sub-region of Coventry and Warwickshire (9 seats). BCE propose minimal changes to this sub-region, confining themselves to some transfers of whole wards - such as Budbrooke to the Kenilworth and Southam seat - and the incorporation into the Warwick and Leamington seat of the whole of the recently-revised wards of Leamington Lillington and Leamington Milverton. This is an appropriate way of bringing each parliamentary seat within the permitted electorate numbers while adjusting to local government boundary changes.

Though Section 47 of the BCE's proposals also discusses "an alternative arrangement" which might theoretically produce a set of constituencies more conformable with "their" local authority boundaries, the unavoidable consequences of such an exercise, like the breaking of local ties and the split-up of wards, make this an alternative which creates many more problems than it solves.