| WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Overview and Sci | _ | ommittee – | Agenda I | tem No. 5 | | |
|--|----------|--|-------------|-----------|--|--|
| Title | | Comments from the Executive | | | | |
| For further information about the | | Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer, | | | | |
| report please contact | | 01926 456114 or | | | | |
| | | committee@warwickdc.gov.uk | | | | |
| Comice Aven | | Civia O Camanait | too Comico | | | |
| Service Area Wards of the District directly affe | | Civic & Committee Services | | | | |
| Is the report private and confide | | N/A No | | | | |
| · - | | INO | | | | |
| and not for publication by virtue | | | | | | |
| paragraph of schedule 12A of the | | | | | | |
| Local Government Act 1972, follo | _ | | | | | |
| the Local Government (Access to | | | | | | |
| Information) (Variation) Order 2 | | | | | | |
| Date and meeting when issue wa | | 8 January 2013 | | | | |
| last considered and relevant min | nute | | | | | |
| number | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Background Papers | | Executive Minut | tes – 9 Jan | uary 2013 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Contrary to the policy frameworl | k: | | | No | | |
| Contrary to the budgetary frame | work: | | | No | | |
| Key Decision? | | | | No | | |
| Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference No | | | | | | |
| number) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Officer/Councillor Approval | | | | | | |
| With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s). | | | | | | |
| Date | | Name | | | | |
| Relevant Director | <u> </u> | Haille | | | | |
| Chief Executive | | | | | | |
| CMT | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Section 151 Officer | | | | | | |
| Legal | | | | | | |
| Finance | | | | | | |
| Portfolio Holders | | | | | | |
| | | I | | | | |
| Consultation Undertaken | | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Final Decision? Yes | | | | | | |
| Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below) | | | | | | |

1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in January.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION**

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **BACKGROUND**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meeting of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 9 January 2013

| Item no. | 6 | Title | Provisions of WDC Legal Services | Requested by | Labour Group | |
|----------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--|
| Reason considered | | Members had some questions about WDC service use and cost management. | | | | |
| Scrutiny Comme | | The Overview and Scrutiny Committee felt that the arrangement for legal services with WCC had worked well when advice was required by our Planning, Licensing and Regulatory Committees. The Overview and Scrutiny Committee suggested that it would be useful if half-yearly meetings between the Chairman of our Planning, Licensing and Regulatory Committees could be arranged with Ian Marriott, if the Chairmen felt it helpful. | | | | |
| Executive Response | _ | The Executive thanked the Committee for its comments and agreed the recommendations as set out in the report. | | | | |

| Item no. | 7 | Title | Annual Monitoring Report and Local Development Scheme | Requested by | Labour Group | |
|---|---|---|---|--------------|-------------------|--|
| Reason considered | | These are important overviews of planning in the District and Members had some comments about priorities. | | | | |
| Scrutiny Comme | that the timetable erride the Town e Leamington Spa orce. E Kenilworth Action the Leamington eccutive in 2017. | | | | | |
| The Chief Executive, Chris Elliot, advised Members that the Ken Town Council consultation results regarding the Local Plan woul through and ultimately reside in their Town Action Plan but advited the LDS and the Local Plan were not the same thing. | | | | | Plan would be fed | |