	Risk Description		Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR1	Staff Resources - Loss of key staff/skills, Appointment & Retention, ill health and other emergencies.  HCP restructure, Staff Transitions		Ill health inc infectious disease outbreaks, stress Staff turnover (finding work elsewhere, low pay, dissatisfaction, low morale, qualifications, poor working conditions,	<ul> <li>Skills shortage.         Unable to respond to service area matters.</li> <li>Insufficient resources to maintain adequate service/ reduced service/ service failure</li> <li>Lack of Proper</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effective staff         management, including         stress management, one         to ones/appraisals &amp; staff         development.</li> <li>Supportive, equitable,         transparent and         consistent corporate         culture.</li> </ul>	DMT HR HHCP CCO	<ul> <li>Appoint to key posts         (Regulatory Manager &amp;         Environmental         Sustainability Manager)</li> </ul>		MAR 16	Likelihood
	Terms and Conditions review	•	shortage of skilled staff, lack training opportunities, lack development opportunities, Redundancy, retirement) Lack of key staff/knowledge. Lack of adequate cover bank holidays/emergencies, including outbreaks/epidemics Lack of succession planning and Unfilled Vacancies External emergency situation (Flood, Act of Terrorism, Pandemic Flu, other hazard).	officer/officer with delegated authority/officer with relevant certification/ qualifications available to progress workflow.  • Failure to meet customer expectations  • Failure to meet statutory requirements  • Unable to respond to emergencies  • Low staff morale.  • Additional pressure on remaining staff  • Loss of additional Key Staff.  • Impacts on the Councils financial Profile.  • Loss of IIP award.  • Threat to business critical tasks.  • Financial penalties.  • Additional budgetary pressure if agency staff or overtime is required to fill the gap  • Breach of working time directive.  • Staff fatigue leading to increased risk of accident / stress /absence	<ul> <li>Adherence to the principles, aims and objectives of Investors in People, the Health &amp; Wellbeing Strategy and the Wellbeing Charter</li> <li>Succession planning as part of Service Planning process.</li> <li>Maintenance of competence, CPD,</li> <li>Knowledge pairing within department.</li> <li>Use of temporary staff as needed/appropriate</li> <li>Effective monitoring of performance.</li> <li>Enhance resilience by encouraging staff to train in additional competencies and certification, share knowledge.</li> <li>Encourage phased retirement if appropriate to enable transfer of knowledge</li> <li>Succession Planning Strategy</li> <li>Regular review of Business Continuity Plan</li> </ul>					Increase due to the restructure, retirement of key staff and key staff vacancies. Review of terms and conditions.

GEN	ERIC - STAFF/HUMAN RESOL	JRCES							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR2	Health and Safety risks to staff - evening/ night time enforcement, Lone working, staff safety, Injuries and abuse.	<ul> <li>Failure to implement safe systems of work</li> <li>Insufficient knowledge of required procedures</li> <li>Inadequate corporate systems in place</li> <li>System failure</li> <li>Lone working;</li> <li>Abusive applicants and disgruntled customers</li> <li>Exposure to drunk people, taxi drivers, publicans and customers</li> <li>Working outside daylight hours</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff at risk from accidents etc. Injury to staff</li> <li>Risk of prosecution/ litigation</li> <li>Insurance claim/ compensation</li> <li>Loss of reputation</li> <li>Physical and verbal activities.</li> <li>Staff reduction due to ill health.</li> <li>Accident outcome may be worsened by not being able to readily summon aid</li> <li>Increased perception of peril leading to stress</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Competent Corporate         Health &amp; Safety staff in         place.</li> <li>Work Procedures and         use of Lone Worker         system (Tunstall)</li> <li>Good health &amp; safety         practice through         management system</li> <li>Training at induction         and updating</li> <li>Risk assessments         completed. Dynamic         risk assessments         undertaken.</li> <li>Staff awareness and         training.</li> <li>Liaison with CCTV staff         and Street Marshals.</li> <li>Use of radio system to         remain in contact, panic         alarms and torches.</li> <li>Support from specialist         officers in locations         where specific problems         identified</li> <li>Office layout</li> <li>Regular review, use and         update of Staff Alert List</li> </ul>	H&S Advisor CMT DMT HHCP	<ul> <li>Lone worker training to be provided to community Development Workers</li> <li>Community Development team to be added to assess net</li> <li>Community Partnership team to gain access to staff alert list.</li> <li>Introduction of total mobile</li> <li>Introduction of new lone worker system.</li> </ul>	Staff time	FEB 16	Impact
GR3	Driving for work (council and personal vehicles) – Accidents.	<ul> <li>Poorly maintained vehicles</li> <li>Staff not trained in their responsibilities</li> <li>Stress/Tiredness</li> <li>Illness/ Medications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Damage to vehicle or property</li> <li>Personal injury, Legal action, insurance claims etc.</li> <li>Convictions for driving poor maintained vehicles.</li> <li>Accidents/ Unable to work as result.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Knowing where the council vehicles are.</li> <li>Regular maintenance and checks of council vehicles</li> <li>Staff training at commencement of job role.</li> <li>Effective health &amp; safety controls and risk assessments</li> <li>Staff Provision of own insurance for Business use of personal vehicles</li> </ul>	DMT	Recommend the implement system for checking corporately.	Staff time	MAR 16	Impact
GR4	Home & Mobile working – poor service delivery level and health and safety risks present.	<ul> <li>IT provision not working</li> <li>H&amp;S risks not mitigated</li> <li>Appropriate level of service delivery not maintained</li> <li>Loss of staff buy in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inability to deliver work programme</li> <li>Legal challenge</li> <li>Customer expectation not met</li> <li>Poor staff welfare/increased stress/ Isolation</li> <li>Insurance claim</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effective H&amp;S controls in place for work place assessment and lone working.</li> <li>Effective management of service delivery</li> </ul>	ННСР	Introduction and development of total mobile		MAR 17	Likelihood

GEN	ERIC - STAFF/HUMAN RESO	URCES							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR5	Inadequate training provided to staff	<ul> <li>Lack of time/money to invest in training</li> <li>Budget pressures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff not skilled to provide service</li> <li>Wrong advice/decisions</li> <li>Non-compliance with statutory instrument where specific qualifications or delegations are required.</li> <li>Loss of IIP status.</li> <li>Loss of professional competence and thus ability to do role</li> <li>Legal challenge on decisions</li> <li>Complaints</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>One to ones/appraisals personal development plans</li> <li>Legal support</li> <li>Budget for training</li> <li>Identify necessary training (via effective methods)</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>Continuing use of RDNA and GRIP tools</li> <li>Ongoing work with external bodies to identify training need/free training.</li> <li>Food Law Code of Practice Competences</li> </ul>			Impact
GR6	Failure to identify legislative changes	<ul> <li>Staff not keeping up to date</li> <li>Not being implemented or brought into force at proposed time.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Statutory procedures not followed</li> <li>Reviews</li> <li>Complaints upheld</li> <li>Transfer of budgets to new statutory authority</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>personal development plans</li> <li>Legal support</li> <li>Service planning</li> <li>Management practices</li> <li>Completing adequate training and CPD up to date</li> </ul>					Likelihood

GEN	NERIC - INFRASTRUCTURE &	ACCOMODATION							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GI1	Loss of IT and records Web-site Maintaining Computerised Systems and Records Integration of different software solutions	<ul> <li>Loss of Building,         Flood, Fire, external         emergency situation         affecting access</li> <li>Power and system         Failures</li> <li>Contractor failure</li> <li>Data Corruption</li> <li>IT security issue</li> <li>Staff resources</li> <li>Sabotage,         Malfunction,         Hacking/malicious         acts</li> <li>Poor Procedures,         Ineffective computer         systems for service         needs.</li> <li>IT not able to rectify         the problem</li> <li>Poor knowledge         /understanding</li> <li>Broadband         connections lost</li> <li>Loss of hardware</li> <li>Staff understanding         of software and         'drives'</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of access to data, Data loss.</li> <li>Operating systems not working</li> <li>Failure to meet customer expectations or to provide requested information</li> <li>Reduced service provision, failure to meet statutory requirements</li> <li>Unable to deliver online services</li> <li>Out of date information</li> <li>Adverse Publicity,</li> <li>Impacts on the Councils financial Profile.</li> <li>Systems not set up adequately resulting in additional work</li> <li>Unable to continue service</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Emergency and Business         Continuity Planning,         including arrangements         for Homeworking.</li> <li>Good procurement         procedures and contract         management</li> <li>Implementation of         effective backing up and         storage procedures.</li> <li>Introduction of checking         systems.</li> <li>On-going training and         engagement of staff         Adequate training and         resourcing of web         authors and editors</li> <li>Regular review         procedures and systems</li> <li>Insurance cover.</li> </ul>	SMT  DMT  ICT  HHCP	Refresher training on Civica APP use		Ongoing	Impact
GI2	Loss of accommodation/ Non availability of Office Building	<ul> <li>Flood, Fire, Gas explosion, Tempest etc.</li> <li>External emergency situation affecting access</li> <li>Power Failures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of access to data</li> <li>Loss of access to equipment and materials</li> <li>Restriction of service provision</li> <li>Danger to occupants.</li> <li>Non availability of service.</li> <li>Additional expenditure.</li> <li>Bad Publicity.</li> <li>Loss of records, IT equipment.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Emergency and Business Continuity Planning and their regular review.</li> <li>Normal operating procedure.</li> <li>Homeworking availability</li> </ul>	SMT				Impact

GEN	IERIC - SUPPLIERS								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GS1	Supplier failure or unable to provide services as agreed/ expected. I.e. call centre, royal mail, ADT, kennels i.e. Contractor, legal, Street Marshalls  Voluntary sector contractors – CAB, The Gap, Healthy Living centre	<ul> <li>Inability to provide service or providing a sub-standard service.</li> <li>Organisational changes not taken into account</li> <li>Partnership agreement breakdown</li> <li>Financial commitment not covered within budget</li> <li>Contractor goes out of business</li> <li>Emergency situation prevents contract delivery</li> <li>Disagreement as to contract delivery and /or cost</li> <li>External emergency situation, Flood, Fire affecting access</li> <li>Power and system failures</li> <li>Staff resources</li> <li>Full scope of works not included in contract tender</li> </ul>	Unacceptably poor service.  Legal challenge Loss of reputation Not meeting customer expectations Air Pollution monitoring interrupted Failure to meet customer expectations Unexpected additional expenditure	<ul> <li>Maintain contact with account managers/contractors.</li> <li>Effective budgetary control through management processes.</li> <li>Good lines of communication with key staff.</li> <li>Business Continuity planning</li> <li>Good communication with Call Centre and understanding of information and services they deal with.</li> <li>Good procurement practises</li> <li>Staff training</li> </ul>	CMT DMT SMT	Continuing monitoring of services delivered		Ongoing	Impact
GS2	Failure of other WDC/ partners/ stakeholders department to provide services as agreed / expected (neighbourhood/ property)	<ul> <li>Reorganisation of collaborative departments</li> <li>Failure to recognise workflow through other departments</li> <li>Insufficient staff / resource available</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Disjointed services to customers</li> <li>Missed opportunities</li> <li>Negative impact on staff morale</li> <li>Increased customer complaints</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Good lines of communication between key members of staff, partners and stakeholders</li> <li>Joint working groups</li> <li>SMT intervention</li> </ul>	DMT SMT	Monitoring of services delivered			Impact

GEN	ERIC - EMERGENCY SITUATION	ONS							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GE1	Increased demand on services due to Emergency situation; Emergency stops basic Council Operations	<ul> <li>Extreme Weather, Flood, Act of Terrorism, Fuel Strike, Pandemic outbreak, other realized hazard.</li> <li>Structural/Building failure.</li> <li>Fire/Loss of data/ Electronic Attack/Denial of Access.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Threat to business critical tasks.</li> <li>Inability to meet demand.</li> <li>Staff workload increases, leading to potential stress.</li> <li>Loss of public confidence.</li> <li>Inability to meet statutory duties.</li> <li>Loss of data/information/documents.</li> <li>Adverse effect on health and wellbeing of population</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Service Area plans for business interruptions.</li> <li>Regular review of Business Continuity Plan</li> <li>Training and exercises.</li> <li>Work towards BS25999.</li> <li>Implementation of building security and fire safety measures.</li> <li>ICT work to secure data.</li> </ul>	ННСР				Impact
GE2	Widespread disaster beyond local capabilities to cope and reasonable attempts to plan	<ul> <li>Risk Assessment process fails to detect significant risk.</li> <li>Extreme Weather, Flood, Act of Terrorism, Pandemic outbreak, other realized hazard.</li> <li>Structural/Building failure.</li> <li>Fire/Loss of data/ Electronic Attack/Denial of Access.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Damage to property/ environment in District.</li> <li>Threat to life and business critical tasks.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Risk Assessment process at Local Resilience Forum.</li> <li>Multi-Agency working/plans.</li> <li>Mutual Aid agreements.</li> <li>Emergency plan</li> <li>Regular review of Business Continuity Plan.</li> </ul>	ННСР				Impact

GENI	GENERIC - EMERGENCY SITUATIONS											
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating			
GE3	Ineffective Emergency preparedness	<ul> <li>Failure of Officers to carry out parts/all of responsibilities under the WDC Duty Officer Role/Normal business duties.</li> <li>Officers unavailable to fulfil duties</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of public confidence.</li> <li>Damage to property/environment in District.</li> <li>Ineffective use of resources.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Training of staff for emergency situations.</li> <li>24/7 Duty Officer role and Reserve Duty Officer, to offer support.</li> <li>Flood Plan.</li> <li>Continued Training and Exercising.</li> <li>Service Area Plans</li> <li>Business Continuity Plans</li> </ul>	ССО ННСР	Ongoing training		Jan 15	Impact			

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD1	Provision of incorrect/ poor quality information/ advice	<ul> <li>Error in transferring information</li> <li>Staff error</li> <li>Insufficiently trained staff</li> <li>Confrontation</li> <li>Advice not fit for purpose</li> <li>Poor decision making</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Failure to meet customer expectations.</li> <li>Corporate /LGO Complaints dissatisfaction of customers.         Reputational damage</li> <li>Poor working relationships</li> <li>Remedial actions and litigation costs</li> <li>Information Commissioner criticism or fine</li> <li>Staff stress</li> <li>Compensation claims</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Effective systems and procedures in place.</li> <li>Staff training and knowledge sharing</li> <li>Appraisals</li> <li>Performance monitoring</li> </ul>	DMT				Impact
GD2	Possibility of Fraud/ Corruption	Insufficient finance and IT security controls in place.	<ul><li>Loss of money</li><li>Loss of reputation</li><li>Legal action</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Abide by Finance and IT procedures and rules through effective internal management.</li> <li>Attendance of appropriate staff at training provided and refresher training</li> <li>Staff permissions/authorities/authorisati ons threshold limits</li> </ul>	SMT				Impact

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD3	Possibility of Non- compliant procurement	<ul> <li>Lack of awareness of issues</li> <li>Deliberate act</li> <li>Poor standard of</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Legal challenge</li><li>Loss of reputation</li><li>Failure to achieve best value</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Effective staff training and management of procurement processes</li> <li>Staff training</li> </ul>	DMT	<ul> <li>Continuing refresher training and training for new starters</li> <li>Continuing liaison with procurement</li> </ul>			Likelihood
	Ineffective procurement	documentation produced upon which procurement is based	<ul> <li>No commercial interest</li> <li>Bidder mistakes invalidating applications</li> </ul>						Impact
									Decreased due to recent training provided in procurement.
GD4	Failure to correctly monitor/ manage budgets	<ul> <li>Insufficient staff training</li> <li>Pressure of work leading to</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Uncertainty for corporate budgets</li><li>Unable to meet service</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Regular budget monitoring</li> <li>Regular meetings with Finance</li> <li>Training of Budget Managers</li> </ul>	ННСР	<ul> <li>Continuing training and for new starters.</li> <li>Active monthly monitoring</li> <li>Manual adjustments where fix</li> </ul>			poor
	Impact of the self service system upon budget control	insufficient resource being committed  Expanding use of self-service systems	commitments  • Monies coded to wrong budgets due to system of self service		DMT	cannot be identified in self- service system (temporary)  Seek permanent solution to miscoding through self service			Likelihood Marketin M
									Increase due to self-service system introduction and identification and manual reallocation of mis coded monies.

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD5	Compliance with legal duty. / Failure to deliver service within statutory timescales/ Failure to meet requirements of civil contingencies act.	<ul> <li>Insufficient resources</li> <li>Negligence</li> <li>Deliberate act</li> <li>Failure to understand or be aware of new/ changing legislation and regulations</li> <li>Staff workload, poor training, motivation,</li> <li>Inappropriate planning/preparedn ess works prior to event</li> <li>Failure of officers to carry out parts/ all of responsibilities under the WDC major incident plan and response.</li> <li>Scale of incident</li> <li>Temporary staff vacancy/seasonal shortages</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legal challenge</li> <li>Compensation claims</li> <li>Loss of reputation and public confidence.</li> <li>Impact on resources and finances</li> <li>Reduced service effectiveness, poor customer service, impacts on motivation and stress levels,</li> <li>Damage to property/environment in district</li> <li>Threat to life</li> <li>Public enquiry</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Sufficient resource of competent staff.</li> <li>Effective training and development for staff</li> <li>Effective management controls in place through service planning and monitoring</li> <li>Major Emergency Plan and Annual review.</li> <li>Training and exercising</li> <li>24/7 duty officer role</li> <li>Flood plan</li> <li>Local resilience forum</li> <li>Adherence to best practice advice.</li> <li>Performance management</li> <li>Regular review of Business Continuity Plan</li> </ul>	DMT CCO HHCP				Impact
GD6	Not applying Equality and Diversity principles	<ul> <li>Non-compliance         with legal         requirements</li> <li>Discrimination         against group or         person</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Challenge by Govt         Department or         external agency</li> <li>Legal action and/or         compensation claim         by group or         individual</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Staff training and awareness raising</li> <li>Regular review of Equality Impact assessments</li> </ul>	SMT				Impact
GD7	Changes to Economic climate	<ul> <li>National and International factors</li> <li>Changes in Govt policy which affect national and local issues</li> <li>Local factors affecting businesses</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Impact on service delivery generally either increase or decrease</li> <li>Loss of revenue, unexpected shortfall in budget surplus</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Service Planning and Business Continuity planning</li> <li>Budget monitoring</li> </ul>	CMT DMT				Impact

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD8	Unable to meet levels of service expected	<ul> <li>Insufficient staff</li> <li>Increase in demand from public or new work areas</li> <li>Pressure from external agencies</li> <li>Corporate issues</li> <li>Specific problem occurring in district e.g. pollution incident etc.</li> <li>New development e.g. impacting on contaminated land or noise, food poisoning outbreak</li> <li>through unexpected surge / Increased workload</li> <li>Terms and conditions review</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Customer dissatisfaction</li> <li>Complaints to Ombudsman</li> <li>Reputational damage</li> <li>Stress on staff</li> <li>Refusal of staff to use cars</li> <li>Strike action</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Service Planning</li> <li>Resource planning</li> <li>MoU with other Councils</li> <li>Stress Assessment</li> <li>One to one Meetings</li> <li>Open communication and discussion.</li> </ul>	DMT	Terms and conditions consultation			Impact  Increase due to the terms and conditions review consultation.
GD9	Failure to make progress on corporate and departmental priorities	<ul> <li>Unable to provide sufficient resources to support these areas of work</li> <li>Terms and conditions review</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reputation         undermined due to         failure to meet         commitments that         have been made         publically.</li> <li>Refusal of staff to         use cars</li> <li>Strike action</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regular prioritisation of work through services and corporate meetings</li> <li>Managing expectations by publishing and sticking to realistic time scales</li> <li>Continually monitor workloads</li> <li>Open communication</li> </ul>	DMT	<ul> <li>Terms and conditions consultation</li> </ul>			Increase due to the terms and conditions review consultation.
GD10	Inappropriate third party disclosure  Non-compliance with Sharing of Information Protocol	<ul> <li>Personal information shared with individuals not party to the WSIP</li> <li>Information becoming public which should not be.</li> <li>Poorly trained staff.</li> <li>Loss or theft of equipment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Legal Challenge</li> <li>Reputational damage to partnership working/ council</li> <li>Risk to vulnerable person increases</li> <li>Legal action and/or compensation claim by group or individual</li> <li>Failure of formal actions by council</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Check info going out under Freedom of Information Act.</li> <li>Training of appropriate staff</li> <li>Use of E-CINs software</li> <li>Mobile Device hardware locked to prevent unauthorised access to data.</li> <li>Administrative remote deactivation.</li> <li>Retention and disposal of records</li> </ul>	DMT	Respond to retention and disposal review			Impact

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY							1	
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD11	Failure to deliver projects to target e.g. complete design and construction projects on time	<ul> <li>Lack of support after Public consultation. Non Commitment by the Council due to no financial allocations. Executive Committee delays.</li> <li>Design delays due to:         Lack of Staff Resources, Inefficient/ineffective management processes, Lack of employee motivation/efficiency, printing delays of Contract Documentation.</li> <li>Refusal of Planning Permission, English Heritage/Archaeology restrictions.</li> <li>Poorly scoped project/programme</li> <li>Third party failure</li> <li>Support from other service areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Potential redundant workforce. Fails to meet the Social, Economic and Environmental &amp; Regeneration needs of the Community i.e. to mitigate flooding, reduce energy usage and enhance our town centres.</li> <li>Design delays resulting in Project slippage, impact on Service Delivery, the Council's Financial Profile, and Failure to Achieve Targets.</li> <li>Missed opportunities to regenerate areas of the District.</li> <li>Contracts not being assigned and started on time.</li> <li>Inability to implement change with improvements to enhancement the vitality to areas of the District.</li> <li>Failure to meet corporate strategy.</li> <li>Failure to meet DDA and equality standards.</li> <li>Failure to deliver expected savings</li> <li>Failure to meet customer expectations</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work Early with Members to gain Commitment.</li> <li>Resource management sufficient time in programme with SMART targets.</li> <li>Review of quality Manual to ensure compliance. Review the Equality &amp; Diversity partial Impact Assessment for Capital Works.</li> <li>Training requirements, having correct skills in team, and undertake duties aligned to experience.</li> <li>Outsource if in-house services cannot be provided in desired timescales.</li> <li>Appropriate training</li> <li>Effective management control and project management.</li> <li>Project management training</li> </ul>	HHCP				Impact

SER	VICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DE	LIVERY (REGULATOR	Y)					
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SR 1	Inappropriate issue of licence/ misuse by licensee Failure to properly determine licence	<ul> <li>Fraudulent         application</li> <li>Poor staff training</li> <li>Out of date         procedures</li> <li>Application of         incorrect or out         dated procedures</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reputation         Bad publicity</li> <li>Impact of challenges         /appeals.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain accurate controls and vigilance</li> <li>Proactive monitoring of staff training needs.</li> <li>Continues monitoring and improvement of procedures.</li> <li>Consulting with other responsible bodies and the Licensing Officers.</li> </ul>	RM	<ul> <li>Continuing Staff training &amp; training of new starters</li> <li>Quality monitoring scheme introduction</li> <li>Continuing procedural review</li> </ul>		Likelihood
SR 2	Incorrect conditions attached to licence	<ul> <li>Staff error</li> <li>incorrect information provided</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Injury and Nuisance</li> <li>Reputation damage</li> <li>Bad publicity</li> <li>Impact of challenge/ appeals</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Continues monitoring and improvement of procedures.</li> <li>Maintain accurate controls and vigilance</li> <li>Staff training</li> <li>Consulting with appropriate bodies</li> </ul>	RM	<ul> <li>Continuing Staff training &amp; training of new starters</li> <li>Quality monitoring scheme introduction</li> </ul>		Impact
SR 3	Failure to set appropriate fees	<ul> <li>Incorrect record keeping</li> <li>failure to follow guidance</li> <li>failure to advertise fees</li> <li>legislative and case law changes</li> </ul>	legal challenge     reputation damage	<ul> <li>Record keeping for time spent/ monies spent to allow accurate fee setting.</li> <li>Referral to guidance</li> <li>Use of well documented and challenged methodologies</li> <li>Staff training</li> </ul>	RM	<ul> <li>6 year account review</li> <li>Continuing Staff training &amp; training of new starters</li> </ul>		Impact  Increased due to changing guidance, case law and increased possibility of legal challenge
SR 4	Loss of Paper records	<ul> <li>Fire, flood</li> <li>Incorrect disposal</li> </ul>	Loss of history, plans, of premises.	Move to digital records in progress	RM	Continuing the programme of Scanning of all records	Mar 17	Impact
SR 5	Failure to carry out routine/ reactive work  Failure to deal with those failing to comply with licence conditions	<ul> <li>Staff levels</li> <li>Increase in reactive workload or required formal action</li> <li>Lack of respect by licence holders for the conditions of licence</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Failure to meet statutory targets</li> <li>Failure to act upon non compliance</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Prioritisation of workload to those businesses that are non-compliant.</li> <li>Incidents of mandatory nature prioritised.</li> <li>Fleet inspections of taxis</li> <li>Increased monitoring of taxis, premises through compliance inspections</li> </ul>	RM	Continuing review of policies associated with licensing and procedures	Mar 17	Impact

SER	VICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DE	LIVERY (REGULATOR	Y)						
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	<b>Due Date</b>	Residual Risk Rating
				<ul> <li>Routine fleet inspections</li> <li>Routine premises</li> <li>compliance inspections.</li> </ul>					
SR 6	Incorrect FHRS management	<ul> <li>Human error</li> <li>Poor staff training</li> <li>Out of date procedures</li> <li>Reference to out of date standards</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Reputation</li><li>Bad publicity</li><li>Appeals</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain accurate controls and vigilance</li> <li>Proactive monitoring of staff training needs.</li> <li>Continuous monitoring and improvement of procedures.</li> </ul>	RM				Likelihood
SR 7	Failure of Primary authority arrangements.  Provision of poor or incorrect assured guidance	<ul> <li>Staff Error</li> <li>Insufficiently trained staff.</li> <li>Failure to understand primary authority process and arrangements</li> <li>Advice not fit for purpose</li> <li>Poor decision making</li> <li>Poor service delivery</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Not meeting terms of primary authority arrangement</li> <li>Not recovering cost of the service provided</li> <li>Impact of advice implementation on business and WDC</li> <li>Poor reputation</li> <li>Revocation of arrangement</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Maintain accurate records</li> <li>Nominated named signatory for assured advice</li> <li>Advice provision monitoring</li> <li>Staff training</li> <li>Competency Professional development</li> <li>Effective systems and procedures.</li> </ul>	RM	Partnership arrangements documented			Impact
SR 8	Legal challenge to fee setting	Request from licence holder, previous licence holder or legal representative for evidence of six year accounts	<ul> <li>Unable to demonstrate that no profit of loss has been made for the services for which a fee is set.</li> <li>Unable to demonstrate that excess income was not entered into council funds rather than remaining ring-fenced.</li> <li>Refund licence holders the monies paid for six years.</li> <li>Legal challenge and costs associated</li> </ul>	Fee setting review annually     Account review	RM	Six years accounts review	RM	MAR 16	Impact

SER	VICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DE	ELIVERY (SAFER COMM	IUNITIES)						
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SS1	Non-compliance with Section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act (1998)	Community safety not a consideration in the design and delivery of services or the decision making process.	Legal Challenge	Input to relevant Committee Reports	CMT				Impact
SS2	Provision of incorrect information to police or other agencies	<ul> <li>Not following procedures</li> <li>Obtrusiveness.</li> <li>Wrongful arrest,</li> <li>Infringement to civil liberties</li> <li>Incorrect identification of offender on CCTV</li> </ul>	<ul><li>Reputation.</li><li>Claims.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Operating procedures.</li> <li>Trained staff.</li> <li>Partnerships with Police.</li> </ul>	CCTV Manager				Impact
SS3	Reduced Funding for Community Safety Partnership Initiative	Economic Climate	<ul> <li>Less money to spend on partnership priorities and greater pressure to mainstream.</li> <li>Unable to operate all initiatives</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Bid for alternative funding</li> <li>Funding from PCC and University of Warwick</li> </ul>	SCM				Impact
SS4	Loss of power to CCTV & Control room	Failure of electrical supply to CCTV and Control room	<ul> <li>Systems Failure</li> <li>Partners not Supported</li> <li>Increased response time to incidents/threats to life.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Extra police resource to be called</li> <li>Retail radio system</li> </ul>	SCM HHCP	<ul> <li>Relocation should assist as we would move to a different substation.</li> <li>Continued investgiation to identify a back up supply.</li> </ul>	Staff time & budget cost for new source	2017/18	Impact
SS5	Effectiveness of Dog Service	Current kennels going out of business	<ul> <li>Increased journey time to alternative kennels in Redditch.</li> <li>Increased cost of petrol and depreciation of vehicle.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work with kennels to ensure billing is accurate and on time.</li> <li>Meet regularly with kennels owner</li> </ul>	SCM				Tikelihood Impact
SS6	Theft of council pest control vehicles	<ul> <li>Poorly maintained vehicles</li> <li>Staff not trained in their responsibilities</li> <li>Stress/Tiredness</li> <li>Accidents</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Damage to vehicle or property</li> <li>Personal/wildlife injury</li> <li>Legal action, insurance claims etc.</li> <li>Pest control vehicles stolen and poison dumped.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Knowing where the vehicles are.</li> <li>Regular maintenance and checks of vehicles</li> <li>Staff training at commencement of job role.</li> <li>Effective health &amp; safety controls and risk</li> </ul>	DMT				Impact

SER	SERVICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DELIVERY (SAFER COMMUNITIES)								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
				assessments					
SS7	Unsuitable use of poisons	<ul> <li>Access to the poisons room by other staff members</li> <li>Use of poisons</li> <li>New brands/change of bait type</li> </ul>	Poisonings of human/animal/ wildlife and environment	<ul> <li>Staff training</li> <li>COSHH assessments</li> <li>H&amp;S procedures in place</li> <li>Locked storage</li> </ul>	SCM				Impact NEW

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SC1	Informal Partnership working with WCC fails	<ul> <li>Staff integration</li> <li>Reduced funding</li> <li>Reduced support</li> <li>Policy changes at WCC or WDC</li> <li>Further WDC restructure</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reduced resources available</li> <li>Reduced service level and or quality</li> <li>Reduced support for communities</li> </ul>	Regular dialogue & monitoring     Regular review of policy and changes	ICPTM	Revising and developing new partnerships in light of formal partnership concluding			Impact  Increased due to the ceassation of the formal partnership and the new arrangements of the informal partnership

SC2	Failure to or delay in payments to grants recipients  Incorrect amounts paid	<ul> <li>Untrained staff</li> <li>Loss of key staff</li> <li>Inaccurate data</li> <li>Lack of planning</li> <li>Resource Capacity</li> <li>Failure of the payment system</li> <li>Forget to make payment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Grant recipients         distressed</li> <li>Community activities         stopped or delayed due         to financial shortfall</li> <li>Negative publicity</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Monthly monitoring of budgets against SLA's</li> <li>Trained staff</li> <li>Forward planning</li> </ul>	ICPTM		Increased due to the ceassation of the formal partnership and the new arrangements of the informal partnership
-----	--	---	---	--	-------	--	--

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Action(s)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SE1 Fai	ilure of pumping stations	<ul> <li>Failure of Pumping         Stations in Leisure         Sports Facilities due         to: Failure to         outsource Contractor         to deliver the         service, Quality of         Workmanship, Bad         management of         partnership working,         poor performance         from Contractor.</li> <li>Unforeseen         Engineering         problems, Non –         Compliance with         Health &amp; Safety         Legislation.</li> <li>Foul sewage         flooding.</li> <li>Age of equipment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Inconvenience and lack of use of leisure facilities by the general public.</li> <li>Potential loss of Income to WDC through non usage of facilities due to closure.</li> <li>Pollution incident - EA would be involved; may result in prosecution and illness of the public.</li> <li>Bad publicity and media relations.</li> <li>Civil claims</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Review inspection regime.</li> <li>Review level of supervision.</li> <li>Review management systems.</li> <li>Training and inspection techniques.</li> <li>Installed telemetry to all pumping stations provide Remote warning of pump failure.</li> </ul>	ESM	New contract to be awarded to provide 24/7 cover.			Impact

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Action(s)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SE2	Identified Flood Alleviation Schemes unable to be delivered or supported	<ul> <li>Lack of support after Public consultation.</li> <li>Non Commitment by the Council due to no financial allocations.</li> <li>Executive Committee delays. Refusal of Planning Permission.</li> <li>Staff resource</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Possible flooding events.</li> <li>Potential redundant workforce. Fails to meet the Social, Economic and Environmental &amp; Regeneration needs of the Community i.e. to mitigate flooding, reduce energy usage and enhance our town centres.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Work Early with Members to gain Commitment.</li> <li>Joint Working with EA and WCC</li> </ul>	HHCP ESM				Impact
SE3	Breakdown of affiliated AURN monitoring station (Hamilton Terrace)	<ul> <li>Loss of connectivity.</li> <li>Failure to carry out routine servicing.</li> <li>Vandalism/ fire or theft</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Loss of data.</li> <li>Loss of DEFRA contract.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Regular service schedule.</li> <li>Maintenance contract with specialist supplier.</li> </ul>	ESM				Likelihood
SE4	Failure to maintain contaminated land database	Staff error     Inaccurate identification of land     Poor record maintenance	<ul> <li>Impact on human health and/or environmental damage.</li> <li>Financial liability.</li> <li>Reputation.</li> <li>Development of land without appropriate remediation.</li> <li>Incorrect information provided to land charges</li> <li>Failure to identify land in planning applications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Keeping database up to date.</li> <li>Effective assessment of relevant planning applications.</li> <li>Staff training</li> </ul>	ESM				Impact
SE5	Failure to improve air pollution (NO2) levels	<ul> <li>NO2 levels continue to exceed EU standards</li> <li>Failure to deliver air quality action plans</li> </ul>	Potential EU infraction fines against the UK are likely to be passed on to local authorities	<ul> <li>Partnership working with WCC to reduce traffic congestion in town centres</li> <li>Ongoing local air quality monitoring</li> <li>2015 Action Plan adopted</li> </ul>	p to	ontinuous working in artnership with WCC and PHE o deliver air quality action lan			Likelihood

Key:
CMT = Corporate Management Team
SMT = Senior Management Team
DMT = Departmental Management Team
HHCP = Head of Health and Community Protection
ESM = Environment Sustainability Manager

ICPTM = Interim Community Partnership Team manager RM = Regulatory Manager SCM = Safer Communities Manager CCO = Civil Contingencies Officer