## Response from the meeting of the Executive on F&A and O&S Committees' Comments – 17 December 2019

Item	9	Title	Financial Systen Replacement	าร	Requested by	Lib Dem Group
no.		<ul> <li>Because we want to understand the project interdependencies of the new finance system with other operational systems which are also being replaced; assuming there will be inter-operational ability.</li> <li>Specific Questions: <ul> <li>There are various references to Interfaces with other council systems. Will these other systems be impacted by project to replace various operational software used by HCP, NS, PSH and DS? How will the dependencies between these two projects be managed?</li> <li>Page 10 mentions PCI DSS: <ul> <li>What other security standards or frameworks are being sought from potential vendors?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Page 16 mentions a variety of potential cashable benefits: <ul> <li>How will the benefits be realised?</li> <li>Will any redundancy or other payments be required to facilitate their realisation?</li> </ul> </li> <li>Page 18 describes the project approach: <ul> <li>Would the RFP process and contact negotiation take place before the start of the plan or as part of one or more of the given milestones?</li> <li>Are there any annual events (for example audit) that would need to be</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>				
		<ul><li>avoided during specific stages of the project (for example user acceptance testing)?</li><li>Will there be any downtime for either users or residents during the data migration / implementation?</li></ul>				
Scrutiny Comme		The Overview & Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report.				ommendations in
Executive Response		The recommendation from the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee was approved. The recommendations in the report were approved, subject to an additional recommendation 2.3 (recommended by F&A) to read, "a non-Executive Member be appointed on the Project Board for the report".				