

Executive
22 April 2021

Title: Health and Well Being Strategy and Associated Processes
Lead Officer: Chris Elliott, Chief Executive and Marianne Rolfe, Head of Health and Community Protection
Portfolio Holder: Judith Falp
Public report / Confidential report: Public
Wards of the District directly affected: All

Contrary to the policy framework: No
 Contrary to the budgetary framework: No
 Key Decision: Yes
 Included within the Forward Plan: Yes (Ref. No 1,217)
 Equality Impact Assessment Undertaken: No
 Consultation & Community Engagement: No
 Final Decision: Yes
 Accessibility checked: Yes

Officer/Councillor Approval

Officer Approval	Date	Name
Chief Executive	6 th April 2021	Chris Elliott
Head of Service	6 th April 2021	Marianne Rolfe
CMT	6 th April 2021	Chris Elliott, Andrew Jones, Bill Hunt
Section 151 Officer	6 th April 2021	Mike Snow
Monitoring Officer	6 th April 2021	Andrew Jones
Finance	6 th April 2021	Mike Snow
Portfolio Holder(s)	6 th April 2021	Councillor Judith Falp

1. Summary

- 1.1 This report seeks approval of the new Warwickshire wide Health and Well Being Strategy; notes the Director of Public Health's annual report; and seeks support for the development and implementation of a South Warwickshire Place Plan concerning health and well-being issues.

2. Recommendations

That the Executive:

- 2.1 Recommends to Council that the Warwickshire wide Health and Well Being Strategy at Appendix A is agreed and forms part of the Council's Policy

Framework;

- 2.2 Notes the Director of Public Health's Annual Report for 2020/21, which can be seen at www.warwickshire.gov.uk/publichealthannualreport;
- 2.3 Supports the proposal to develop the South Warwickshire Place Plan concerning health and wellbeing matters as set out at Appendix C.

3. Reasons for the Recommendations

Recommendation 2.1

- 3.1 Since October 2020, local government, health bodies and partner agencies have been working to develop a new Warwickshire wide Health and Well-Being Strategy for the period 2021 to 2026. This process has included public consultation. The resultant Strategy is attached at Appendix A for approval. It is proposed that this be recommended to Council for approval and to form part of the Council's Policy Framework. An easy to read version which very effectively summarises the Strategy is attached at Appendix B.

Recommendation 2.2

- 3.2 Alongside the Strategy the Warwickshire Director of Public Health has recently published her annual report. This is attached at www.warwickshire.gov.uk/publichealthannualreport . It contains a number of recommendations to improve the health of the Warwickshire population and to reduce the inequality of health. These recommendations will require a separate consideration and report.

Recommendation 2.3

- 3.3 The health and social care system that has been established for the Coventry and Warwickshire sub region has 2 Health and Well Being Strategies – one for Coventry and one for Warwickshire and has also identified 4 places within its overall system; these being Northern Warwickshire (North Warwickshire and Nuneaton and Bedworth Borough Council areas); Rugby (Rugby Borough Council's area); Coventry (Coventry City Council's area); and South Warwickshire (Stratford upon Avon and Warwick District Council areas). These "places" reflect the different places that exist from a health geography perspective within the sub region.
- 3.4 It is expected that most of the work to deliver the Warwickshire Health and Well Being Strategy will need to be delivered at Place level. The overall approach is to use the Kings Fund model as a framework within which agencies will seek to integrate tackling the pre-determinants of poor health as well as improving the health and social care sector services. There are several multi-agency groups which have been put in place over the past 2 years to try to take this approach forward.

- 3.5 Progress has been made this past year on a South Warwickshire Place Plan focusing on proposals involving the local health and social care sector led by South Warwickshire Foundation Trust (SWFT). However, discussions have led to the development of a proposal at Appendix C which would lead to a Place Plan for the population of South Warwickshire spanning all 4 quadrants of the Kings Fund model and would integrate some of the existing multi-agency groups.
- 3.6 This approach which, if agreed, would take the next year to put in place and would enhance joint working locally across a number of agencies for the benefit of the local community. There could also be potential organisational benefits in terms of sharing costs, premises etc. SWHT has also set up a South Warwickshire place web site so that the joint work of agencies involved can be easily accessed <http://www.southwarwickshiretogether.com>
- 3.7 The next year would see work on an action plan developed and that would involve the Council's services and projects. This process would then feed into the Councils' service area planning and budget setting processes.

4. Policy Framework

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several key projects.

The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The information below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy.

4.2.1 External impacts of proposal(s)

People - Health, Homes, Communities – The proposals will all help to address a key Council aspiration of improving the health and well-being.

Services - Green, Clean, Safe – None directly at this stage though the Council's own activities in this area will aid the improvement of health and well-being as a good environmental and safety record are one of the predetermining factors affecting health.

Money- Infrastructure, Enterprise, Employment – None directly though the Council's own activities in this area will aid the improvement of health and well-being as economic hardship is one of the predetermining factors affecting health.

4.2.2. Internal impacts of the proposal(s)

People - Effective Staff – No direct impacts at this stage but this approach could help with the further improvement of council staff health and well-being.

Services - Maintain or Improve Services – No direct impacts at this stage but the place plan may well lead to service changes enabling improvements in health and well-being.

Money - Firm Financial Footing over the Longer Term – No direct impacts but the Place Plan may well help with the better use of assets.

4.2 Supporting Strategies

A range of Council strategies support health and wellbeing though at this stage there is no specific strategy to refer to.

4.3 Changes to Existing Policies

The Health and Well Being Strategy is proposed to be included in the Council's Policy Framework which is a material change and it may subsequently have implications for particular strategies, policies, projects and services.

4.4 Impact Assessments

Not at this stage.

5. Budgetary Framework

- 5.1 There is no implication at this stage of these recommendations upon the Council's budgetary framework. The issue may arise when the action plan for the South Warwickshire Place Plan is worked up and the implications for the Council's services and activities are better known and understood.

6. Risks

- 6.1 At this stage any risks are small in impact and low in probability in respect of the Warwickshire wide strategy and the Annual Report of the Director of Public Health. In so far as recommendation 2.3 is concerned the risks and mitigation are set out in Appendix C.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

- 7.1 The Council could decide not to adopt the Health and Well Being Strategy but given the extent of work upon it, that is not a course of action that is recommended. In respect of the Annual Report from the Director of Public Health there are no real alternative actions to consider at this stage.
- 7.2 The Council could consider not agreeing to the proposal at Appendix C but this would leave a multi-agency structure that is duplicating and overly burdensome on staff and portfolio holder time. Given the added emphasis to deliver the wider Strategy at a place level, then a more effective approach is needed as is proposed.