

Local Plan Submission

Addendum to the Report to Council/Executive 28th January

Proposed Amendments to the Table of Amendments (Appendix 1)

Ref	Publication Draft Local Plan Page number	Paragraph Number	Proposed Modification	Reason	Source
D38	P27	2.52	<p>Insert the following sentence after the third sentence of para 2.52:</p> <p>In order to ensure the delivery of housing and associated uses in Kenilworth land-at, the Council will consider the use of its Compulsory Purchase Powers.</p>	<p>The Council wishes to deliver the Local Plan without recourse to CPO. However it is recognised that there may be circumstances where this is necessary to deliver the Plan's proposals.</p>	<p>65205 - Kenilworth Society (Mrs Patricia Cain) [5617]</p>
D43	P32	DS16	<p>Add the following to the end of Policy DS16</p> <p>... and in particular will require to be satisfied that:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High quality landscaping and open space is provided. This should have several functions; to minimise the visual and noise impacts of new development on local communities, to improve public recreational access to remaining open land and to help mitigate for any loss in biodiversity. For this reason, part of this allocation is retained within Green Belt. This area (totalling 111 ha) will be required to form part of any proposal for a sub-regional employment use however will remain as open space. • The impact of traffic on local communities and the local road network is minimised. At the same time, access to the site by public transport and by walking and cycling is to be improved including access to communities in deprivation.. • A satisfactory solution has been developed to deal with contaminated land on the site. There is a significant 	<p>To strengthen the requirements regarding the comprehensive development of the site and to strengthen link between the proposal and area of deprivation</p>	<p>66721 - Baginton Parish Council (Mr Steve Williams) [726]</p>

			amount of contamination on the site, including former sewerage beds. The Council welcomes the opportunity that the development offers to remediate this land, however will need to be satisfied that this is done effectively without affecting the quality of mitigating landscape.		
D43	P33	2.75	<p>Delete the following from para 2.75</p> <p>“... and in particular will require to be satisfied that:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High quality landscaping and open space is provided. This should have several functions; to minimise the visual and noise impacts of new development on local communities, to improve public recreational access to remaining open land and to help mitigate for any loss in biodiversity. For this reason, part of this allocation is retained within Green Belt. This area (totalling 111 ha) will be required to form part of any proposal for a sub-regional employment use however will remain as open space. • The impact of traffic on local communities and the local road network is minimised. At the same time, access to the site by public transport and by walking and cycling is to be improved including access to communities in deprivation.. • A satisfactory solution has been developed to deal with contaminated land on the site. There is a significant amount of contamination on the site, including former sewerage beds. The Council welcomes the opportunity that the development offers to remediate this land, however will need to be satisfied that this is done effectively. 	Text inserted in main body of Policy DS16, so no longer required here	
S16	P116	TR2	<p>Amend Policy TR2 to add a new paragraph after the existing 2nd para to read:</p> <p>"Development will not be approved that results in a significant increase in traffic and results in associated measures to facilitate this increase in traffic which harms the significance of the heritage assets, unless appropriate mitigation can be achieved, or be justified in accordance with national planning policy."</p>	To address the impact that access arrangements can have on heritage assets.	66072 - English Heritage (Mr Rohan Torkildsen) [205]

Proposed Amendments to the IDP (Appendix 2)

	Infrastructure Type / Project	Project Detail	Scheme Grading	Total Cost New Estimate	Estimate of Funding Type			Total CIL / s.106 / s.278	Estimate of Other Funding
					CIL	s.106	s.278		Other
E6	Kenilworth	New secondary school and 6th form centre provided on land at Southcrest Farm. Potential to co-locate primary school on this site	1	£38,194,534		£9,600,000		£9,600,000	£39,176,700*
ISF 2	Improvements to sports hall and swimming pools	Refurbishment and expansion of swimming pools, sports halls and gym facilities in Kenilworth, Leamington and Warwick. This is required partly as a result of population growth: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • a deficit of 5 badminton courts in total • by 2022 swimming pools will be full. Therefore there is a need to modernise, increase the capacity of the swimming pools and improve the changing and circulation areas to improve the quality of the swimming pools.		£25,000,000	£2,068,673	£1,931,327		£4,000,000	£21,000,000

* Further work needs to be done on land values to establish the exact level of other funding that may be available. To allow for flexibility, this project is therefore showing a funding surplus at present. This will be refined as further information becomes available

Local Plan Key Issues –~~Draft~~

Submission Draft Local Plan: Key Issues Arising from the Publication Draft Consultation undertaken in May and June 2014

Key Issue 1: The Housing Requirement

Policy DS6 sets out a housing requirement of 12860 new dwellings over the Plan Period (2011-2029) or 714 per annum

Nature of the issue

- a) Has the Council had sufficient regard to the 2012 ONS population projections? Do these projections justify a lower objectively assessed need (OAN) for the District?
- b) Is the methodology used in the Joint SHMA reasonable and robust?
- c) Has the Council had sufficient regard to environmental and viability constraints in setting the housing requirement? Does the extent of the green belt and other constraints such as heritage, landscape and the cost of infrastructure suggest that it is reasonable for the Council to plan for a lower requirement for the District?
- d) Should unmet housing need arising elsewhere (in particular Coventry and Birmingham) be further addressed within the District? As a result, should the proposed housing requirement be higher than set out in Policy DS6?
- e) Has the Council had sufficient regard to employment projections? Does the proposed housing requirement provide for the projected growth in jobs particularly in light of the CWLEP's growth ambitions and the proposed sub-regional employment site?
- f) Has the Council taken account of market signals in setting its housing requirement, or is there a case for a higher requirement to take these in to account?

Key Issue 2: Duty to Cooperate

The Council has prepared a Duty to Cooperate Topic Paper which sets out its activities and the agreements that have been reached to demonstrate fulfilment of the Duty to Cooperate.

Nature of Issue

- a) Has the Council met the legal requirements of the Duty to Cooperate?
- b) Has the Council identified the correct strategic issues on which cooperation needs to focus?
- c) Have the Council's Duty to Cooperate activities been effective in planning for issues with cross boundary impacts?