WARWICK SApril 2018	Agenda Item No. 10	
Title	New Village Hall at Norton Lindsey	
For further information about this	Chris Elliott	
report please contact	Chris.elliott@warwickdc.gov.uk	
	01926 456003	
Wards of the District directly affected	Budbrooke	
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006?	No	
Date and meeting when issue was last considered and relevant minute number	N/A	
Background Papers	Planning Application Ref No W/16/2330	

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	Yes
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference	No
number)	
Equality Impact Assessment Undertaken	No

Officer/Councillor Approval				
Officer Approval	Date	Name		
Chief Executive	19/03/18	Chris Elliott		
Head of Service	19/03/18	Marianne Rolfe, Mike Snow		
СМТ	19/03/18	Andrew Jones, Bill Hunt		
Section 151 Officer	19/03/18	Mike Snow		
Monitoring Officer	19/03/18	Andrew Jones		
Finance	19/03/18	Mike Snow, Jon Dawson		
Portfolio Holder(s)	19/03/18	Andrew Thompson, Peter Whiting		
Consultation & Community Engagement				
The proposal for a new village hall has been developed very much by the local community. The planning application was subject to the Council's normal consultation proposals.				
Final Decision?		No		

1. Summary

1.1 This report seeks agreement in principle for the Council to make a financial contribution of £85,000 towards the overall costs of just under £500,000 for a new village hall to replace the existing one which is now unusable. It is proposed that the Council would make its contribution from the Community Project Reserve. This reserve is funded by monies received as part of the New Homes Bonus Scheme. That scheme was intended as a financial reward to Councils for accepting new housing development that could and should be reinvested in the local community. A business plan is in the course of preparation and this will be reported back to Executive for approval. At that point agreement to release any monies can be made.

2. **Recommendations**

2.1 That the Executive agrees in principle to contribute no more than £85,000 toward the cost of constructing a new village hall in Norton Lindsey subject to:

2.1.1 Receiving written confirmation that all the matching funds required have been received;

2.1.2 Receiving a final and acceptable version of the business plan for the scheme;

2.1.3 The conditions that normally apply to the administration of RUCIS scheme grants are met.

2.2 That a further report is made to the Executive to seek agreement to the business plan referred to in recommendation 2.1.2 above.

3. **Reasons for the Recommendations**

- 3.1 Norton Lindsey Village Hall is no longer able to be used. The local community has however, sought and obtained planning permission for a new facility on the same site.
- 3.2 The local community estimate that the scheme will cost £495,000. Around £175,000 has been raised or pledged, including the pledge from the Parish Council of £35,000 but £20,000 has had to be expended to get the scheme progressed to date. A national charitable grant making body has indicated that if the local community can raise half of the estimated costs then it may be well disposed to providing the remainder. On this basis the local community is £85,000 short of being able to cover 50% of the estimated build costs. A request has been made to the Council to provide this sum of money.
- 3.3 Normally a grant application for village halls would normally be dealt with under the Council's RUCIS scheme. However, the sum sought is well outside of the parameters of that scheme. In similar situations the Council has taken the approach of considering the request in the light of the use of its Community Projects Reserve. Therefore, it is proposed that the Council administer the request as if it were a RUCIS scheme grant application but fund it from the Community Project Reserve subject to:
 - the other sources of funding being agreed and confirmation that the funding such as pledges will materialise;
 - the signing off of a finalised Business Plan (a draft has been prepared but requires improvements);
 - that the administration of the application meets all the usual criteria of the administration of a RUCIS grant application.

4. **Policy Framework**

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several Key projects.

The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The table below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy.

FFF Strands				
People	Services	Money		
External	·	· · · · ·		
Health, Homes,	Green, Clean, Safe	Infrastructure,		
Communities		Enterprise,		
		Employment		
Intended outcomes:	Intended outcomes:	Intended outcomes:		
Improved health for all	Area has well looked	Dynamic and diverse		
Housing needs for all	after public spaces	local economy		
met	All communities have	Vibrant town centres		
Impressive cultural and	access to decent open	Improved performance/		
sports activities Cohesive and active	space	productivity of local		
communities	Improved air quality Low levels of crime and	economy Increased employment		
communicies	ASB	and income levels		
Impacts of Proposal				
This will enable a rural	None directly but the new	None directly but a		
community to enjoy a	village hall will also help	construction contract will		
range of community,	the use of the adjoining	have multiplier effects on		
sporting and related	open spaces.	the local economy.		
activities, all of which				
will contribute to a				
cohesive and active local				
community.				
Internal				
Effective Staff	Maintain or Improve	Firm Financial Footing		
	Services	over the Longer Term		
Intended outcomes:	Intended outcomes:	Intended outcomes:		
All staff are properly	Focusing on our	Better return/use of our		
trained	customers' needs	assets		
All staff have the	Continuously improve	Full Cost accounting		
appropriate tools	our processes	Continued cost		
All staff are engaged,	Increase the digital	management		
empowered and	provision of services	Maximise income		
supported		earning opportunities		
The right people are in		Seek best value for		
the right job with the		money		
right skills and right				
behaviours				
Impacts of Proposal	Net englisch!	Net englisch!		
Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable		

4.2 Supporting Strategies

Each strand of the FFF Strategy has several supporting strategies. However, there are none which are especially relevant in this case.

4.3 **Changes to Existing Policies**

Not applicable

4.4 Impact Assessments

Not applicable

5. Budgetary Framework

5.1 The Community Project Reserve currently stands at £1.447m before any other demands on it are considered.

6. Risks

6.1 The risks in this scheme lie with the local community in so far as risks about budget estimates, construction timetables and realisation of other funds all falling to the local community. However, it may be the situation is that an expectation may be placed on the Council to step in if the other sources of funding do not materialise or do not materialise at the level needed. This is proposed to be mitigated by the Council making it clear that it will not consider any further funding demands for this scheme.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

- 7.1 The Council could decide to refuse the request, but the purpose of the request is unique – an unusable village hall and so it would seem churlish to refuse to help, especially as it would lever in much more investment into the District.
- 7.2 The Council could offer a larger contribution but given what has been suggested there would be no need to do that if 50% of the remaining estimated costs can be met locally and the other 50% can be provided by a national charitable grant giving body.
- 7.3 The Council could offer a smaller contribution but if this were the case it would not be of sufficient assistance to meet the shortfall between the cost needed to construct a new village hall and the projected sums that can be raised by the local community.