WARWICK III OUNCIL III COUNCIL III Finance & Audit Scrutin 3 November 2015	y Committee Agenda Item No. 6		
Title	Comments from the Executive		
For further information about this	Jess Brownlee		
report please contact	Democratic Services Assistant		
	01926 456106		
	committee@warwickdc.gov.uk		
Service Area	Civic & Committee Services		
Wards of the District directly affected	n/a		
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006	No		
Date and meeting when issue was last considered and relevant minute number	n/a		
Background Papers	Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee minutes 29/9/2015 Executive minutes from 30/9/2015		

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference	No
number)	

Officer/Councillor Approval

With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).

Officer Approval	Date	Name	
Deputy Chief Executive			
Chief Executive			
СМТ			
Section 151 Officer			
Legal			
Finance			
Portfolio Holders			

Consultation Undertaken		
n/a		
Final Decision?	Yes	
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)		

1. Summary

1.1 This report summarises the Executive's response to comments given by the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee on reports submitted to the Executive on 30 September 2015.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That the responses made by the Executive be noted, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee, ensuring that the Scrutiny Committee is formally made aware of the Executive's responses.

4. Alternative Options Considered

4.1 The Committee receives and notes the minutes of the Executive instead.

5. Budgetary Framework

5.1 There is no impact on the budgetary framework. This is for the Committee's information only.

6. Policy Framework

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly, efficiently and effectively.

7. Background

- 7.1 As part of the scrutiny process, the Committee no longer considers the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 Councillors are emailed at the time of the publication of the Executive and Scrutiny Committee agendas, asking them to contact Committee Services by 9.00 am on the day of the Scrutiny Committee, to advise which Executive items they wish the Scrutiny Committee to pass comment on and the reasons why.
- 7.3 As a result, at its meeting on 29 September 2015, the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee considered the items detailed in the appendices. The responses which the Executive gave are also shown.

Responses from the meeting of the Executive held on 30 September 2015 to the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee's comments

Item no	3	Title	Fees and Charges	
Scrutiny Commer				
Executiv Respons	-	The Portfolio Holder for Neighbourhood Services explained that there was a need to recognise the individual towns circumstances and competition but at the same time there was a need to bring income from these sites. Therefore charges were increasing and there was variation in charges but at the same time Kenilworth was coming into line with Old Town Leamington and charges in Warwick were now cheaper.		

Item no	4	Title	Review of WDC/WCC Customer Service Centre & Digital Transformation Initiatives
Scrutiny Commer			
Executive Response		No respo	nse was made by the Executive

Item no	8A	Title	Significant Business Risk Register
Scrutiny Comment		why the position f	nce & Audit Scrutiny Committee noted the report but queried risk relating to the Local Plan had been placed in a different from that in its own Development Services risk register and an additional significant medium-term risk was emerging of or major projects being identified.
Executive Response		scoring b	er of the Executive explained that the importance was not the ut ensuring we were recording and mitigating the risks, which utive would continue to manage.

Item no	11	Title	Council HQ Relocation Project – Part B
Scrutiny Comment		recomme position, governme projected	nce & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the indations but raised concerns about the overall capital funding the priority to be given to this project, not least if local ent is to be reorganised, and the impact of funding costs on the annual net benefit. Members noted that future reports should hem with a wider picture of the capital position.
Executive ResponseNo response was made by the Executive.			