WARWICK LICENSING & Regulatory Committee UISTRICT LICENSING & Regulatory Committee 1 June 2020		Agenda Item No. 7
Title		ent to procedure for Julatory Panels
For further information about this report please contact	Licensing & Regulatory Panels Graham Leach Democratic Services Manager & Deputy Monitoring Officer 01926 456114 graham.leach@warwickdc.gov.uk	
Wards of the District directly affected Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006?	None No	
Date and meeting when issue was last considered and relevant minute number Background Papers		

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference	No
number)	
Equality Impact Assessment Undertaken	No

Officer/Councillor Approval

Officer Approval	Date	Name		
Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	21/5/2020	Andrew Jones		
Executive				
Head of Service	21/5/2020	Marianne Rolfe		
СМТ				
Section 151 Officer	21/5/2020	Mike Snow		
Monitoring Officer	21/5/2020	Andrew Jones		
Finance	21/5/2020	Lorraine Henson		
Portfolio Holder(s)	21/5/2020	Andrew Day		
Consultation & Community Engagement				

WCC Legal

Councillor Heath as Chair of Licensing & Regulatory Committee

Final Decision?

Yes

Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)

1. Summary

1.1 The report brings forward a minor amendment to the process for Licensing & Regulatory Panels in association with holding the meetings remotely.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 The Licensing & Regulatory Committee agree that the requirement for all parties to be invited back into the meeting, once the decision is taken, to notify them of the decision, is suspended until this Committee meets on 28 September 2020.

3. **Reasons for the Recommendation**

- 3.1 At present when determining an item the Licensing & Regulatory Panel will retire to deliberate a decision with only the Committee Services Officer and Legal Services Officer present to record the decision. Once the decision is agreed all interested parties (and if a public meeting the public as well) are invited back into the meeting and informed of the decision.
- 3.2 With the advent of remote meetings this provides a technical challenge in terms of stopping the live broadcast (for an undefined period of time) then starting it again for a matter of moments while the decision is announced. There will also be a need to hold a separate remote meeting with only the Panel and relevant officers attending to ensure interested parties cannot re-join (unknown) to hear the deliberation (potentially through the requirement of setting up a separate meeting. This second part is not technically possible to hold while still live broadcasting the original meeting (even if it is paused).
- 3.3 As an interim it is proposed that the broadcast of the meeting will end when the Panel go into deliberation. Followed by the Panel moving to a new meeting to undertake the deliberation, which will not be recorded. Once a decision is reached a summary of the decision will be published online and sent to all interested parties via email with, as at present, the full decision notice following within the next 7 days.
- 3.4 While most Hackney Carriage/Private Hire Driver hearings are in confidential session a similar principal applies to ensure that no unauthorised person can attend/record the meeting.
- 3.5 Officers have checked the legislative requirement, as well as practice from other Councils, and there is no requirement for the formal announcement of the decision to the interested parties and not all Councils provide this.
- 3.6 This proposal also removes the need for interested parties to wait for the decision (which they often feel obliged to do) which can take anywhere from a few minutes to a number of hours.
- 3.7 Councillors may have similar questions about other Council meetings where confidential business is discussed. However, in all cases (less Council) this is at the end of the meeting so the live stream can be stopped and for the majority of these meetings there will not be interested parties (i.e. the public) making submissions. Equally there will also be at least two Officers on duty monitoring who has entered the meeting. This is with the exception of Council where

Confidential items come before the Common Seal. In this instance it is intended to have more officers available to support Council and monitor who is present.

4. **Policy Framework**

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several Key projects.

The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The table below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy.

FFF Strands				
People	Services	Money		
External	-	· ·		
Health, Homes, Communities	Green, Clean, Safe	Infrastructure, Enterprise, Employment		
Intended outcomes: Improved health for all Housing needs for all met Impressive cultural and sports activities Cohesive and active communities	Intended outcomes: Becoming a net-zero carbon organisation by 2025 Total carbon emissions within Warwick District are as close to zero as possible by 2030 Area has well looked after public spaces All communities have access to decent open space Improved air quality Low levels of crime and ASB	Intended outcomes: Dynamic and diverse local economy Vibrant town centres Improved performance/ productivity of local economy Increased employment and income levels		
Impacts of Proposal				
None	None	None		
Internal				
Effective Staff	Maintain or Improve Services	Firm Financial Footing over the Longer Term		
Intended outcomes: All staff are properly trained All staff have the appropriate tools All staff are engaged, empowered and supported The right people are in the right job with the right skills and right behaviours	Intended outcomes: Focusing on our customers' needs Continuously improve our processes Increase the digital provision of services	Intended outcomes: Better return/use of our assets Full Cost accounting Continued cost management Maximise income earning opportunities Seek best value for money		

Impacts of Proposal		
This will reduce the	This proposal makes the	None
demand/need for the	process easier for the	
officer formally	customer as they do not	
broadcasting the	need to wait online for a,	
meeting to wait for the	potentially significant,	
decision to be taken to	amount of time. They	
restart the broadcast	will be notified the	
therefore using less	decision electronically as	
Council resources.	soon as it is taken.	

- 4.2 **Supporting Strategies** This report does not impact on any of the Council's supporting strategies.
- 4.3 **Changes to Existing Policies -** This report does not propose any changes to any of the Council's policies
- 4.4 **Impact Assessments** This does not require an impact assessment as all parties will communicated the decision in writing which is required by law.

5. Budgetary Framework

5.1 The report does not impact on the budget of the Council.

6. Risks

6.1 The report brings forward proposals to mitigate risks associated with the process of remote Licensing & Regulatory Panels. The most significant risk to associated with the report is not to make the proposed changes.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 Alternative options were considered and tested for running a single continuous meeting and having interested parties leave. However, depending on their invite to the meeting and if they had already been in the meeting they could rejoin without needing approval (in box WebEx and MS Teams). Therefore, officers considered this was the best technical solution to minimising the risks for Licensing Panels.