


You are here: Home > Policies > Economic development > Regional Growth Fund > Summary of RGF first round hids


## Summary of RGF first round bids

## **Applications by Amount Requested**



Amount Requested	No. Bids	Percentage of Bids
<£1m	19	4%
£1-5m	299	64%
£5-10m	80	17%
£10-15m	24	5%
£15-20m	13	3%
£20-25m	11	2%
>£25m	18	4%
Total bids: 464 Total value: £2.7	'8bn	

## **Applications by Area**



Region	No. Bids	% of Bids
North West	89	19%
West Midlands	72	16%
North East	69.5	15%
East Midlands	62	13%
Yorkshire & The Humber	56.5	12%
South West	39	8%
South East	33.4	7%
East of England	23.6	5%
London	19	4%

The figures relate to the location where RGF funds will be received, rather than the area over which a project will have an impact.

A single project may cover multiple areas resulting in fractions of bids having been recorded in these results.

## Applications - Local Enterprise Partnership (LEP) Area

Local Enterprise Partnership	Number of Bids
North Eastern	42
Nottingham, Nottinghamshire, Derby, Derbyshire	38
Liverpool City Region	32
Leeds City Region	30
Tees Valley	27

Greater Manchester	24
Birmingham and Solihull with East Staffordshire, Lichfield and Tamworth	21
Sheffield City Region	21
Kent-Essex	17
Stoke-on-Trent and Staffordshire	15
South East Midlands	14
Coventry and Warwickshire	12
Cheshire and Warrington	11
The Black Country	10
Leicester and Leicestershire	9
The Marches Enterprise Partnership - Shropshire and Herefordshire	8
West of England	8
Worcestershire	8
Cumbria	7
New Anglia	7
Solent	7
Brighton and Hove, Croydon, the Gatwick Diamond and West Sussex - Coast to Capital	6
Empowering Enterprise - Cornwall and the Isle of Scilly	6
Greater Cambridge & Greater Peterborough	6
Lincolnshire	5 .
Hertfordshire	2
Oxfordshire City Region	2
Thames Valley Berkshire	2
Not in a LEP	76

The table summarises the locations of bids by the LEP using the location of the project rather than the area over which the project is said to impact.

The results do not indicate the number of projects endorsed by a LEP. Further, because LEPs can overlap in an area, the same project may have been counted in multiple partnerships.