WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Overview and Scrutiny 29 July 2014	Committee - Ag	enda Item No. 6		
Title	Comments from th	e Executive		
For further information about this report please contact	Lesley Dury, Comm 01926 456114 or	Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer,		
report picase contact	committee@warwig	ckdc gov uk		
Service Area	Democratic Service	25		
Wards of the District directly affected	N/A			
Is the report private and confidential	No			
and not for publication by virtue of a				
paragraph of schedule 12A of the				
Local Government Act 1972, following				
the Local Government (Access to				
Information) (Variation) Order 2006				
Date and meeting when issue was	1 July 2014			
last considered and relevant minute				
number				
Background Papers	Executive Minutes	- 2 July 2014		
Contrary to the policy framework:		No		
Contrary to the budgetary framework:		No		
Key Decision?		No		
Included within the Forward Plan? (If	yes include referer	nce No		
number)				
Officer/Councillor Approval				
With regard to officer approval all reports in relevant director, Finance, Legal Services a				
Date	Name			
Relevant Director	Italiic			
Chief Executive				
CMT				
Section 151 Officer				
Legal				
Legal				
Finance				
Portfolio Holders				
Consultation Undertaken				
N/A				
Final Decision?	Yes			
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)				

1. **Summary**

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in July.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. Alternative Option considered

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **Policy Framework**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **Background**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meetings of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 1 July 2014

Item no.	3	Title	Review of Warwick District Council / Waterloo Housing Group JV (W2)	Requested by	Labour Group / Liberal Democrat Group
Labour Group – Members were concerned about some issu report. Reason considered Liberal Democrat Group – Members need to fully understar Venture with Waterloo Housing Group given the importance our partner and major provider of social housing in the Discontinuous concerned about some issu report.		stand the Joint ance that it has as			
Scrutiny Comme		The Overview & Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report. In respect of item 5.7.10 in the report, the Committee urged the Executive to closely monitor the Mortgagee in Possession clause for any impact on the Council and requested that the Finance Portfolio Holder kept close watch on this issue.			
Executiv Respons	_	In response to the comments, the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Property Services, Councillor Vincett, stated that he had always had a close working relationship with Waterloo and kept himself up to speed with progress. In addition, the Shadow Portfolio Holder, Councillor Pittarello, had shown great interest and had attended a number of meetings. Councillor Vincett felt that Shadow Portfolio Holders should know what was happening in their portfolio area and they should be able to give this feedback to their own Groups. With regard to the Mortgagee in Possession issue, advice was provided as to the difference between Right to Buy and Right to Acquire and the relevance of this when applying it to social housing.			

Item no.	4	Title	Service Area Plan/FFF Performance 2013/2014	Requested by	Labour Group / Independent Group / Liberal Democrat Group
Labour Group – Members had some questions about resources particular in Neighbourhood Services. Independent Group – Further information required. Liberal Democrat Group – This is a key report of performance which should be used by O & S to decide how we will improve our key role of scrutinising the performance of the Administration and the holding to account of portfolio holders in the future.				rmance which e our key role of	
Scrutiny Comme	_	The Overview and Scrutiny Committee noted the report and advise the Executive that it will be planning which issues to scrutinise at future			

	meetings.	
Executive Response	The Overview and Scrutiny Committee noted the report and advised the Executive that it would be planning which issues to scrutinise at future meetings.	
	Members discussed the issue of Shadow Portfolio Holders and felt that Group Leaders needed to address this to ensure that effective scrutiny continued. It was suggested that the scrutiny committees may wish to challenge this although some Members felt that it depended on who was given the role in the first place.	
	The Leader, Councillor Mobbs, advised Members that further information on FFF financial projections and savings would be provided in the report being submitted to the 30 July meeting. He also reminded Members that the Shadow Portfolio Holder issue was a two way street and Councillors needed to be invited to attend meetings.	

ItemUrgno.ent	Title	Bowls 2014 Additional Marketing	Requested by	Councillor Mrs Higgins
Reason considered	Councillor Mrs Higgins felt that the budget allocated might be insufficient and that all four towns should be included.			
Scrutiny Comment The Overview and Scrutiny Committee support and asked that the Executive advertised in all four towns and that this if necessary.			d the recommend that the	dations in the he event be
Executive Response	The Leader, Councillor Mobbs, apologised for the lateness of the report and accepted responsibility for the late circulation. However, he had felt it important to ensure that all Members were aware of the situation and included in the decision making process. Members were in agreement that national publicity was needed to encourage as many visitors to the District during the event. It was appreciated that a lot of work had been taking place 'behind the scenes' but such a high profile event should be showcased to residents and businesses within the District.			