	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR1	Staff Resources - Loss of key staff/skills, Appointment & Retention, ill health and other emergencies. Staff Transitions, Settling in of restructure arrangements, vacant post	 Ill health inc infectious disease outbreaks, stress Staff turnover (finding work elsewhere, low pay, dissatisfaction, low morale, qualifications, poor working conditions, shortage of skilled staff, lack training opportunities, lack development opportunities, Redundancy, retirement) Lack of key staff/knowledge. Lack of adequate cover bank holidays/emergencies, including outbreaks/epidemics Lack of succession planning and Unfilled Vacancies External emergency situation (Flood, Act of Terrorism, Pandemic Flu, other hazard). Retirements or other duties (elections) 	 Skills shortage. Unable to respond to service area matters. Insufficient resources to maintain adequate service/ reduced service/ service failure Lack of Proper officer/officer with delegated authority/officer with relevant certification/ qualifications available to progress workflow. Failure to meet customer expectations Failure to meet statutory requirements Unable to respond to emergencies Low staff morale. Additional pressure on remaining staff Loss of additional Key Staff. Impacts on the Councils financial Profile. Loss of IIP award. Threat to business critical tasks. Financial penalties. Additional budgetary pressure if agency staff or overtime is required to fill the gap Breach of working 	 Effective staff management, including stress management, one to ones/appraisals & staff development. Supportive, equitable, transparent and consistent corporate culture. Adherence to the principles, aims and objectives of Investors in People, the Health & Wellbeing Strategy and the Wellbeing Charter Succession planning as part of Service Planning process. Maintenance of competence, CPD, Knowledge pairing within department. Use of temporary staff as needed/appropriate Effective monitoring of performance. Enhance resilience by encouraging staff to train in additional competencies and certification, share knowledge. Encourage phased retirement if appropriate to enable transfer of knowledge Regular review of Business Continuity Plan 	DMT HR HHCP STL	 (if appropriate) Awaited start of persons recruited (9th Oct) Active recruitment process for 3 posts One post not under active recruitment process at this time. 		ASAP	Decreased with current changes inc restructuring, vacancy filling numbers. However, posts filled in October.

GEN	ERIC – STAFF/HUMAN RESO	URCES							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR2	Health and Safety risks to staff - evening/ night time enforcement, Lone working, staff safety, Injuries and abuse.	 Failure to implement safe systems of work Insufficient knowledge of required procedures Inadequate corporate systems in place System failure Lone working; Abusive applicants and disgruntled customers Exposure to drunk people, taxi drivers, publicans and customers Working outside daylight hours Condition of premise being visited 	 Staff at risk from accidents etc. Injury to staff Risk of prosecution/ litigation Insurance claim/ compensation Loss of reputation Physical and verbal activities. Staff reduction due to ill health. Accident outcome may be worsened by not being able to readily summon aid Increased perception of peril leading to stress 	 Competent Corporate Health & Safety staff in place. Work Procedures and use of Lone Worker system (Tunstall) Good health & safety practice through management system Training at induction and updating Risk assessments completed. Dynamic risk assessments undertaken. Staff awareness and training. Liaison with CCTV staff and Street Marshals. Use of radio system to remain in contact, panic alarms and torches. Support from specialist officers in locations where specific problems identified Office layout Regular review, use and update of Staff Alert List Updated ICE lists 	H&S Advisor CMT DMT HHCP	 Introduction of Total mobile technologies Participation and lead in the lone worker review activities Re enforce use of the current system whilst reviews under way. 	Staff time	APR 18	Likelihood
GR3	Driving for work (council and personal vehicles) – Accidents.	 Poorly maintained vehicles Staff not trained in their responsibilities Stress/Tiredness Illness/ Medications 	 Damage to vehicle or property Personal injury, Legal action, insurance claims etc. Convictions for driving poor maintained vehicles. Accidents/ Unable to work as result. 	 Knowing where the council vehicles are. Regular maintenance and checks of council vehicles Staff training at commencement of job role. Effective health & safety controls and risk assessments Staff Provision of own insurance for Business use of personal vehicles 	DMT	Insurance and driving licence to be checked.	Staff Time	DEC 17	Impact

GEN	ERIC - STAFF/HUMAN RESO	URCES							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GR4	Home & Mobile working – poor service delivery level and health and safety risks present.	 IT provision not working H&S risks not mitigated Appropriate level of service delivery not maintained Loss of staff buy in Condition of premises 	 Inability to deliver work programme Legal challenge Customer expectation not met Poor staff welfare/increased stress/ Isolation Insurance claim Accident 	 Effective H&S controls in place for work place assessment and lone working. Effective management of service delivery 	DMT HHCP	Introduction and development of total mobile systems		MAR 18	Likelihood
GR5	Inadequate training provided to staff	 Lack of time/money to invest in training Budget pressures Non identified skills gaps 	 Staff not skilled to provide service Wrong advice/decisions Non-compliance with statutory instrument where specific qualifications or delegations are required. Loss of IIP status. Loss of professional competence and thus ability to do role Legal challenge on decisions Complaints 	 One to ones/appraisals personal development plans Legal support Budget for training Identify necessary training (via effective methods) 	DMT	 Continuing use of RDNA and GRIP tools Ongoing work with external bodies to identify training need/free training. Food Law Code of Practice Competences Ongoing legal skills training to maintain competences 			Eikelihood Food Officers completed food law competences. SMT Enforcement project. HCP training matrix and PDP training planner. Legal Skills enforcement training provided
GR6	Failure to identify legislative changes	 Staff not keeping up to date (awareness/ competence/ short notice implementation etc) Not being implemented or brought into force at proposed time. 	 Statutory procedures not followed Reviews Complaints upheld Transfer of budgets to new statutory authority 	 personal development plans Legal support Service planning Management practices Completing adequate training and CPD up to date Attendance at regional and county technical groups 	DMT				Likelihood

GEN	ENERIC - INFRASTRUCTURE & ACCOMODATION								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GI1	Loss of IT and records Web-site Maintaining Computerised Systems and Records Integration of different software solutions	 Loss of Building, Flood, Fire, external emergency situation affecting access Power and system Failures Contractor failure Data Corruption IT security issue Staff resources Sabotage, Malfunction, Hacking/malicious acts Poor Procedures, Ineffective computer systems for service needs. IT not able to rectify the problem Poor knowledge /understanding Broadband connections lost Loss of hardware Staff understanding of software and 'drives' 	 Loss of access to data, Data loss. Operating systems not working Failure to meet customer expectations or to provide requested information Reduced service provision, failure to meet statutory requirements Unable to deliver online services Out of date information Adverse Publicity, Impacts on the Councils financial Profile. Systems not set up adequately resulting in additional work Unable to continue service Complaints & claims 	 Emergency and Business Continuity Planning, including arrangements for Homeworking. Good procurement procedures and contract management Implementation of effective backing up and storage procedures. Introduction of checking systems. On-going training and engagement of staff Adequate training and resourcing of web authors and editors Regular review procedures and systems Insurance cover. 	SMT DMT ICT HHCP	Review number of web editors and provide required training		Ongoing	Likelihood Refresher training on CIVICA app provided. Software testing activities provided. Phased integrations
GI2	Loss of accommodation/ Non availability of Office Building Non availability of CCTV control room	 Flood, Fire, Gas explosion, Tempest etc. External emergency situation affecting access Power Failures 	 Loss of access to data Loss of access to equipment and materials Restriction of service provision Danger to occupants. Non availability of service. Additional expenditure. Bad Publicity. Loss of records, IT equipment. 	 Emergency and Business Continuity Planning and their regular review. Normal operating procedure. Homeworking availability 	SMT DMT				Likelihood

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GS1	Supplier failure or unable to provide services as agreed/expected. I.e., royal mail, ADT, kennels i.e. Contractor, legal, Street Marshalls Voluntary sector contractors – CAB, The Gap, Healthy Living centre	 Inability to provide service or providing a sub-standard service. Organisational changes not taken into account Partnership agreement breakdown Financial commitment not covered within budget Contractor goes out of business Emergency situation prevents contract delivery Disagreement as to contract delivery and /or cost External emergency situation, Flood, Fire affecting access Power and system failures Staff resources Full scope of works not included in contract tender Contractor resource (kennels full) 	Unacceptably poor service. Legal challenge Loss of reputation Not meeting customer expectations Air Pollution monitoring interrupted Failure to meet customer expectations Unexpected additional expenditure	 Maintain contact with account managers/contractors. Effective budgetary control through management processes. Good lines of communication with key staff. Business Continuity planning Good procurement practises Staff training Contract terms & contract monitoring 	CMT DMT SMT	Continuing monitoring of services delivered		Ongoing	Likelihood
GS2	Failure of other WDC/ partners/ stakeholders department to provide services as agreed / expected (neighbourhood/ property)	 Reorganisation of collaborative departments Failure to recognise workflow through other departments Insufficient staff / resource available 	 Disjointed services to customers Missed opportunities Negative impact on staff morale Increased customer complaints Reputational damage 	communication between key members of staff, partners and stakeholders Joint working groups SMT intervention	DMT SMT	Monitoring of services delivered			Likelihood

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GE1	Increased demand on services due to Emergency situation; Emergency stops basic Council Operations	 Extreme Weather, Flood, Act of Terrorism, Fuel Strike, Pandemic outbreak, other realized hazard. Structural/Building failure. Fire/Loss of data/ Electronic Attack/Denial of Access. Loss of internet service/wifi/phones 	 Threat to business critical tasks. Inability to meet demand. Staff workload increases, leading to potential stress. Loss of public confidence. Inability to meet statutory duties. Loss of data/information/documents. Adverse effect on health and wellbeing of population 	 Service Area plans for business interruptions. Regular review of Business Continuity Plan Training and exercises. Work towards BS25999. Implementation of building security and fire safety measures. ICT work to secure data. 	STL				Likelihood
GE2	Widespread disaster beyond local capabilities to cope and reasonable attempts to plan	 Risk Assessment process fails to detect significant risk. Extreme Weather, Flood, Act of Terrorism, Pandemic outbreak, other realized hazard. Structural/Building failure. Fire/Loss of data/ Electronic Attack/Denial of Access. 	 Damage to property/ Environment in District. Threat to life and business critical tasks. 	 Risk Assessment process at Local Resilience Forum. Multi-Agency working/plans. Mutual Aid agreements. Emergency plan Regular review of Business Continuity Plan. 	STL				Likelihood

GEN	GENERIC - EMERGENCY SITUATIONS												
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating				
GE3	Ineffective Emergency preparedness	 Failure of Officers to carry out parts/all of responsibilities under the WDC Duty Officer Role/Normal business duties. Officers unavailable to fulfil duties 	 Loss of public confidence. Damage to property/environment in District. Ineffective use of resources. 	 Training of staff for emergency situations. 24/7 Duty Officer role and Reserve Duty Officer, to offer support. Flood Plan. Continued Training and Exercising. Service Area Plans Business Continuity Plans Major Emergency Plan and standard operating procedures 	STL	 Ongoing training of named staff Phased review of MEP, SOP etc in light of incidents 		APR 18	Impact				

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD1	Provision of incorrect/poor quality information/advice	 Error in transferring information Staff error Insufficiently trained staff Confrontation Advice not fit for purpose Poor decision making 	 Failure to meet customer expectations. Corporate /LGO Complaints dissatisfaction of customers. Reputational damage Poor working relationships Remedial actions and litigation costs Information Commissioner criticism or fine Staff stress Compensation claims 	 Effective systems and procedures in place. Staff training and knowledge sharing Appraisals Performance monitoring Specialist area of Flood Engineering transferred to SLA with WCC. 	DMT	Contract monitoring of specialist SLA contractor	Staff time	Ongoing	Likelihood

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY								
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD2	Possibility of Fraud/ Corruption	Insufficient finance and IT security controls in place.	Loss of moneyLoss of reputationLegal action	 Abide by Finance and IT procedures and rules through effective internal management. Attendance of appropriate staff at training provided and refresher training Staff permissions/authorities/authorisati ons threshold limits 	SMT				Likelihood
GD3	Possibility of Non- compliant procurement Ineffective procurement	 Lack of awareness of issues Deliberate act Poor standard of documentation produced upon which procurement is based Insufficient time allowed 	 Legal challenge Loss of reputation Failure to achieve best value No commercial interest Bidder mistakes invalidating applications Failure to have contract in place 	 Effective staff training and management of procurement processes Staff training Regular review of contracts register and forecast of future procurement needs. 	DMT	 Continuing refresher training and training for new starters Continuing liaison with procurement 			Likelihood
GD4	Failure to correctly monitor/ manage budgets Impact of the self-service system upon budget control	 Insufficient staff training Pressure of work leading to insufficient resource being committed Expanding use of self-service systems 	 Uncertainty for corporate budgets Unable to meet service commitments Monies coded to wrong budgets due to system of self service 	 Regular budget monitoring Regular meetings with Finance Training of Budget Managers 	HHCP DMT	 Continuing training and for new starters. Active monthly monitoring Testing of solution to miscoding through self serve 			Likelihood Manual adjustments replaced with solution.

GENE	RIC - SERVICE DELIVERY	,							
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
GD5	Compliance with legal duty. / Failure to deliver service within statutory timescales/ Failure to meet requirements of civil contingencies act.	 Insufficient resources Negligence Deliberate act Failure to understand or be aware of new/ changing legislation and regulations Staff workload, poor training, motivation, Inappropriate planning/preparedn ess works prior to event Failure of officers to carry out parts/ all of responsibilities under the WDC major incident plan and response. Scale of incident Temporary staff vacancy/seasonal shortages Long term absence/vacancy 	 Legal challenge Compensation claims Loss of reputation and public confidence. Impact on resources and finances Reduced service effectiveness, poor customer service, impacts on motivation and stress levels, Damage to property/environment in district Threat to life Public enquiry 	 Sufficient resource of competent staff. Effective training and development for staff Effective management controls in place through service planning and monitoring Major Emergency Plan and Annual review. Training and exercising 24/7 duty officer role Flood plan Local resilience forum Adherence to best practice advice. Performance management Regular review of Business Continuity Plan 	DMT STL HHCP				Likelihood
GD6	Not applying Equality and Diversity principles	 Non-compliance with legal requirements Discrimination against group or person Policies not suitable 	 Challenge by Govt Department or external agency Legal action and/or compensation claim by group or individual 	 Staff training and awareness raising Regular review of Equality Impact assessments 	SMT				Likelihood Staff attendance on EIA training.
GD7	Changes to Economic climate	 National and International factors Changes in Govt policy which affect national and local issues Local factors affecting businesses 	 Impact on service delivery generally either increase or decrease Loss of revenue, unexpected shortfall in budget surplus 	 Service Planning and Business Continuity planning Budget monitoring 	CMT DMT				Likelihood

GENE	GENERIC - SERVICE DELIVERY										
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating		
GD8	Unable to meet levels of service expected Responding to statutory consultations within time HS2 workload	 Insufficient staff Increase in demand from public or new work areas Pressure from external agencies Corporate issues Specific problem occurring in district e.g. pollution incident etc. New development e.g. impacting on contaminated land or noise, food poisoning outbreak Through unexpected surge / Increased workload HS2 workload 	 Customer dissatisfaction Complaints to Ombudsman Reputational damage Stress on staff 	 Service Planning Resource planning MoU with other Councils Stress Assessment One to one Meetings Open communication and discussion. Resources for planning application responses merged. Restructured service to address the changing demands. 	DMT	 Review of service delivery methods Continue to review the resource requirement from HS2 Recruiting to the carried vacancies. Evidence being collated in order to evidence resource need. 		ongoing	Likelihood		
GD9	Failure to make progress on corporate and departmental priorities	Unable to provide sufficient resources to support these areas of work	 Reputation undermined due to failure to meet commitments that have been made publically. Refusal of staff to use cars Strike action 	 Regular prioritisation of work through services and corporate meetings Managing expectations by publishing and sticking to realistic time scales Continually monitor workloads Open communication 	DMT				Likelihood Decrease due to time passed since Terms and Conditions Review, restructure.		
GD10	Inappropriate third party disclosure Non-compliance with Sharing of Information Protocol	 Personal information shared with individuals not party to the WSIP Information becoming public which should not be. Poorly trained staff. Loss or theft of equipment 	 Legal Challenge Reputational damage to partnership working/ council Risk to vulnerable person increases Legal action and/or compensation claim by group or individual Failure of formal actions by council 	 Check info going out under Freedom of Information Act. Training of appropriate staff Use of E-CINs software Mobile Device hardware locked to prevent unauthorised access to data. Administrative remote deactivation. Retention and disposal of records 	DMT	Complete review of HCP retention policy	Staff Time	MAR 18	Impact		

GENE	GENERIC - SERVICE DELIVERY									
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating	
GD11	Failure to deliver projects to target	 Lack of support after Public consultation. Non Commitment by the Council due to no financial allocations. Executive Committee delays. Poorly scoped project/programme Third party failure Support from other service areas 	 Design delays resulting in Project slippage, impact on Service Delivery, the Council's Financial Profile, and Failure to Achieve Targets. Contracts not being assigned and started on time. Failure to meet corporate strategy. Failure to meet DDA and equality standards. Failure to deliver expected savings Failure to meet customer expectations 	 Work Early with Members to gain Commitment. Resource management sufficient time in programme with SMART targets. Review of quality Manual to ensure compliance. Review the Equality & Diversity Impact Assessments Training requirements, having correct skills in team, and undertake duties aligned to experience. Outsource if in-house services cannot be provided in desired timescales. Appropriate training Effective management control and project management. Project management training 	HHCP				Likelihood No longer delivering construction projects May 2017	
GD12	Failure to maintain equipment calibration e.g. sound level meters, thermometers, test calibrators.	 Human error missing the test dates. Equipment Company going out of business. Failure to follow work instructions. 	 Inaccurate/ unreliable data produced 	 Work instructions Regular supervision of the calibration activities by supervisor Diarise calibration retests dates. 					Impact	

SER	VICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DE	LIVERY (REGULATOR)	()					
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SR 1	Inappropriate issue of licence/ misuse by licensee Failure to properly determine licence	 Fraudulent application Poor staff training Out of date procedures Application of incorrect or out dated procedures 	 Reputation Bad publicity Impact of challenges /appeals. 	 Maintain accurate controls and vigilance Proactive monitoring of staff training needs. Continues monitoring and improvement of procedures. Consulting with other responsible bodies and the Licensing Officers. 	RM	 Continuing Staff training & training of new starters Continuing Quality monitoring Continuing procedural review 		Impact
SR 2	Incorrect conditions attached to licence	 Staff error incorrect information provided 	 Injury and Nuisance Reputation damage Bad publicity Impact of challenge/ appeals 	 Continues monitoring and improvement of procedures. Maintain accurate controls and vigilance Staff training Consulting with appropriate bodies 	RM	 Continuing Staff training & training of new starters Quality monitoring scheme 		Likelihood
SR 3	Failure to set appropriate fees	 Incorrect record keeping failure to follow guidance failure to advertise fees legislative and case law changes 	 legal challenge reputation damage 	 Record keeping for time spent/ monies spent to allow accurate fee setting. Referral to guidance Use of well documented and challenged methodologies Staff training Councillor challenge of fees Advertisement of fees 	RM	 Continuing Staff training & training of new starters Systems development to introduce time monitoring Annual review 		Likelihood Historical 6 year review completed
SR 4	Loss of Paper records	 Fire, flood Incorrect disposal 	Loss of history, plans, of premises.	Move to digital competed	RM			Likelihood Project to digitise records completed.

	1							1	
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SR S	Failure to carry out routine/ reactive work Failure to deal with those failing to comply with licence conditions	 Staff levels, capacity, Inadequate staff knowledge or experience Increase in reactive workload or required formal action Lack of respect by licence holders for the conditions of licence 	 Failure to meet statutory targets Failure to act upon non compliance 	 Prioritisation of workload to those businesses that are non-compliant. Incidents of mandatory nature prioritised. Fleet inspections of taxis Increased monitoring of taxis, premises through compliance inspections Routine fleet inspections Routine premises compliance inspections. Policies review completed for street trading, sex establishments, taxi trade in 2016. 	RM	Ongoing review of policies associated with licensing and procedures.			Likelihood Policies reviewed new enforcement policy and method implemented.
SR 6	Incorrect FHRS management	 Human error Poor staff training Out of date procedures Reference to out of date standards Software integration 	ReputationBad publicityAppeals	 Maintain accurate controls and vigilance Proactive monitoring of staff training needs. Continuous monitoring and improvement of procedures. 	RM				Impact
SR 7	Failure of Primary authority arrangements. Provision of poor or incorrect assured guidance	 Staff Error Insufficiently trained staff. Failure to understand primary authority process and arrangements Advice not fit for purpose Poor decision making Poor service delivery 	 Not meeting terms of primary authority arrangement Not recovering cost of the service provided Impact of advice implementation on business and WDC Poor reputation Revocation of arrangement 	 Maintain accurate records Nominated named signatory for assured advice Advice provision monitoring Staff training Competency Professional development Effective systems and procedures. 	RM				Impact
SR 8	Legal challenge to fee setting	Request from licence holder, previous licence holder or legal representative for evidence of six year accounts	 Unable to demonstrate that no profit or loss has been made for the services for which a fee is set. Unable to demonstrate that excess income was not entered into council funds rather than remaining ring-fenced. Refund licence holders 	 Fee setting review annually Account review Contained within separate budget 	RM	 Ongoing annual fee reviews review of animal licensing budgets 			Likelihood Completed historic

SER	SERVICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DELIVERY (REGULATORY)											
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating			
			the monies paid for six years. • Legal challenge and costs associated						6 year review.			

					Further Action(s) Resour			Due	
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	(if appropriate)	Resource	Date	Residual Risk Rating
SS1	Non-compliance with Section 17 of the Crime & Disorder Act (1998)	 Community safety not a consideration in the design and delivery of services or the decision making process. 	• Legal Challenge	Input to relevant Committee Reports	CMT				Likelihood
S2	Provision of incorrect information to police or other agencies	 Not following procedures Obtrusiveness. Wrongful arrest, Infringement to civil liberties Incorrect identification of offender on CCTV 	Reputation.Claims.	 Operating procedures. Trained staff. Partnerships with Police. 	CCTV Manager				Likelihood
S3	Reduced Funding for Community Safety Partnership Initiative	Economic Climate	 Less money to spend on partnership priorities and greater pressure to mainstream. Unable to operate all initiatives 	 Bid for alternative funding Funding from PCC and University of Warwick 	SCM				Likelihood
54	Loss of power to CCTV & Control room	Failure of electrical supply to CCTV and Control room	 Systems Failure Partners not Supported Increased response time to incidents/threats to life. 	 Extra police resource to be called Retail radio system Some cameras now Wi-Fi 	SCM HHCP				Impact Likelihood
S5	Effectiveness of Dog Service	Current kennels going out of business	 Increased journey time to alternative kennels in Redditch. Increased cost of petrol and depreciation of vehicle. 	 Work with kennels to ensure billing is accurate and on time. Meet regularly with kennels owner 	SCM				Likelihood
SS6	Theft of council pest control vehicles	 Poorly maintained vehicles allowing access Staff not trained in their responsibilities Stress/Tiredness Accidents 	 Damage to vehicle or property Personal/wildlife injury Legal action, insurance claims etc. Pest control vehicles stolen Poison & contents stolen and or dumped. 	 Knowing where the vehicles are. Trackers Regular maintenance and checks of vehicles Staff training at commencement of job role. Effective health & safety controls and risk 	DMT				Likelihood

Risk Description	Access to the poisons room by other staff	Possible Consequences • Poisonings of	Risk Mitigation/Control assessments	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	 Poisonings of 						
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						JI
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						
ble use of poisons	room by other staff	Poisonings of						
	membersUse of poisonsNew brands/change of bait type	human/animal/ wildlife and environmentUse of inappropriate poison	Staff trainingCOSHH assessmentsH&S procedures in placeLocked storage	SCM	Keeping up to date with changes in the legislation surrounding poison application.			Likelihood
	Change in legislation/guidance							
ed Flood Alleviation is unable to be ed or supported	 Lack of support after Public consultation. Non Commitment by the Council due to no financial allocations. Executive Committee delays. Refusal of Planning Permission. Staff resource 	 Possible flooding events. 	 Work Early with Members to gain Commitment. Joint Working with EA and WCC Engage suitable contractor to deliver projects. 	ННСР	Work in partnership with corporate assets team and head of housing			Likelihood
								Decrease as no current projects for delivery by WDC. However supporting those delivered by partners.
own of affiliated AURN ring station (Hamilton)	 Loss of connectivity. Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or theft 	 Loss of data. Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA equipment. 	 Regular service schedule. Maintenance contract with specialist supplier. 	SCM EPTL				Impact
i		 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or 	 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA equipment. 	 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA equipment. Maintenance contract with specialist supplier. 	 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA with specialist supplier. Waintenance contract with specialist supplier. EPTL 	 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA equipment. Maintenance contract with specialist supplier. EPTL 	 Failure to carry out routine servicing. Vandalism/ fire or Loss of DEFRA contract. Removal of DEFRA equipment. Maintenance contract with specialist supplier. EPTL 	• Failure to carry out routine servicing. • Vandalism/ fire or • Loss of DEFRA contract. • Removal of DEFRA equipment. • Maintenance contract with specialist supplier. • Waintenance contract with specialist supplier.

	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SS 10	Failure to maintain contaminated land database	 Staff error Inaccurate identification of land Poor record maintenance Change or software and poor integration 	 Impact on human health and/or environmental damage. Financial liability. Reputation. Development of land without appropriate remediation. Incorrect information provided to land charges Failure to identify land in planning applications 	 Keeping database up to date. Effective assessment of relevant planning applications. Staff training Effective integration of data 	SCM EPTL				Likelihood
SS 11	Failure to improve air pollution (NO2) levels	 NO2 levels continue to exceed EU standards Failure to deliver air quality action plans 	Potential EU infraction fines against the UK are likely to be passed on to local authorities	 Partnership working with WCC to reduce traffic congestion in town centres Ongoing local air quality monitoring 2015 Action Plan adopted 	SCM EPTL	Continuous working in partnership with WCC and PHE to deliver air quality action plan			Likelihood

SER	VICE SPECIFIC - SERVICE DE	ELIVERY (COMMUNITY	PARTNERSHIP)						
	Risk Description	Possible Triggers	Possible Consequences	Risk Mitigation/Control	Officer	Further Action(s) (if appropriate)	Resource	Due Date	Residual Risk Rating
SC1	Informal Partnership working with WCC fails	 Staff integration Reduced funding Reduced support Policy changes at WCC or WDC Further WDC restructure 	 Reduced resources available Reduced service level and or quality Reduced support for communities 	 Regular dialogue & monitoring Regular review of policy and changes 	СРТМ	Revising and developing new partnerships			Decrease due to Informal partnership in place for 18+ months

SC2	Failure to or delay in payments to grants recipients Incorrect amounts paid	 Untrained staff Loss of key staff Inaccurate data Lack of planning Resource Capacity Failure of the payment system Forget to make payment 	 Grant recipients distressed Community activities stopped or delayed due to financial shortfall Negative publicity 	 Monthly monitoring of budgets against SLA's Trained staff Forward planning 	СРТМ		Impact
-----	--	---	---	--	------	--	--------

Key:

CMT = Corporate Management Team
CPTM = Community Partnership Team Manager

DMT = Departmental Management Team

ESTL = **Environment Protection Team Leader**

HHCP = Head of Health and Community Protection

RM = Regulatory Manager

SCM = Safer Communities Manager

SMT = Senior Management Team

STL = **Service Team Leader**