WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Overview and Scrutiny 10 September 2013	Committee -	Agenda I	tem No. 5		
Title	Comments from	n the Execu	tive		
For further information about this	Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer,				
report please contact	01926 456114 or				
	committee@wa	rwickdc.gov	<u>ı.uk</u>		
Service Area	Civic & Committee Services				
Wards of the District directly affected	N/A				
Is the report private and confidential	No				
and not for publication by virtue of a					
paragraph of schedule 12A of the					
Local Government Act 1972, following					
the Local Government (Access to					
Information) (Variation) Order 2006					
Date and meeting when issue was	6 August 2013				
last considered and relevant minute					
number					
Background Papers	Executive Minut	tes – 7 Aug	ust 2013		
			,0.00 _ 0 _ 0		
Contrary to the policy framework:			No		
Contrary to the budgetary framework:			No		
Key Decision?			No		
	vos includo rofo	ronco	No		
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number)					
number)					
Officer/Councillor Approval					
,					
With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).					
Date	Name				
Relevant Director	_				
Chief Executive					
CMT					
Section 151 Officer					
Legal					
Finance					
Portfolio Holders					
Consultation Undertaken					
N/A					
Final Decision?					
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)					

1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in August.

2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION**

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **BACKGROUND**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meeting of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 7 August 2013

Item		<u> </u>	Pudget Deview to 20 June	Doguested	T		
no.	4	Title	Budget Review to 30 June 2013	Requested by	Labour Group		
Reason conside	red	The Labour Members requested this item because of the implications for services of the financial issues raised in the report.					
Scrutiny Comme	-		verview and Scrutiny Committee viability of some parts of the Co er.				
Executi Respons		The Portfolio Holder, Councillor Mobbs, advised that the October report would lay out the plans for the major savings ahead.					
Item no.	6	Title	Proposal for the delivery of on-street Enforcement across Warwickshire	Requested by	Labour and Liberal Democrat Groups		
Reason conside	red	Labour Members requested this item because of the importance particularly to Town Centres of well-run parking services and to discuss possible further steps. The Liberal Democrat Members requested this item as a follow-up to the last report.					
Scrutiny Comme		The Overview and Scrutiny Committee recommended that the staff who worked on the report should be complimented. The Committee accepted the report in its entirety and gave full support to the delegation.					
Executiv Respons		Councillor Mrs Grainger supported the recommendations and stated that this was a good example of the local Councils working together, led by the political heads, which was a good sign. She felt it was important the residents received a fair service and did not want to see a private enterprise take the service over. Councillor Coker endorsed the report and was hopeful that a strong partnership was starting to build with the County Council. He reminded Members that this service, if handled poorly, could ruin a town centre's reputation.					
Item		l		Requested	Liberal Democrat		
no.	9b	Title	Local List of Historic Buildings	by	Group		
Reason considered Members could not quite understand what the status of these properties would be and what enforceability these proposals had.							

Scrutiny Comment	The Overview and Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report but had reservations about how it will operate in practice.
Executive Response	In response, the Portfolio Holder for Development Services, thanked the Overview and Scrutiny Committee for their comments and hoped that it would be possible to assist some groups.