WARWICK III DISTRICT III COUNCIL	2014	Agenda Item No. 6
Title		hop's Tachbrook
	Community Cer	ntre
For further information about this	Chris Elliott, Ch	nief Executive
report please contact	01926 456000	
• •	Chris.elliott@w	arwickdc.gov.uk
Wards of the District directly affected	Bishop's Tachb	rook
Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972, following the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006?	No	
Date and meeting when issue was	Executive Com	mittee Meeting 30 th May
last considered and relevant minute number	2012 Item 6	
Background Papers	As above	

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	Yes
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number)	No
Equality Impact Assessment Undertaken	Yes/No (If No state why below)
None required for the provision of the Community Centre	

Officer/Councillor Approval			
Officer Approval	Date	Name	
Chief Executive	16.10.14	Chris Elliott	
Head of Service		N/A	
СМТ	16.10.14	Andy Jones, Bill Hunt	
Section 151 Officer	16.10.14	Mike Snow	
Monitoring Officer	16.10.14	Andy Jones	
Finance	16.10.14	Mike Snow	
Portfolio Holder(s)	16.10.14	Councillors Michael Coker and Stephen Cross	
Consultation & Commu	nity Engagement		

Consultation & Community Engagement

The proposal has emanated from a significant amount of consultation undertaken by the Parish Council and the Trust.

Final Decision?YesSuggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)

1. Summary

1.1 This report seeks authority to provide funding and to underwrite other funding in order to allow the construction of a community centre in Bishop's Tachbrook village.

2. **Recommendation**

- 2.1 That the Executive determines whether it wishes to meet the request of St Chad's Trust with the support of Bishop's Tachbrook Parish Council to provide £300,000 of funding and to underwrite a further £150,000 in order to allow the construction of a community centre in the village of Bishop's Tachbrook.
- 2.2 In the case that the Executive does wish to meet the funding request then it is advised that it should agree that:
 - 2.2.1 The funding is made available from the New Homes Bonus Scheme award received in 2015/16 and that no more than the requested will be forthcoming in the event of any cost overrun.
 - 2.2.2 The funding is only available for 24 months (from the date of this Executive) before being drawn down in whole.
 - 2.2.3 Payments are only to be made on supply of verified invoices of work in proportion to Council/overall funding.
 - 2.2.4 It withdraws the current RUCIS funding commitment to the scheme of 27% of the overall project costs up to a maximum of £50,000, which should be returned to the RUCIS pot.
 - 2.2.5 The funding is approved when it is agreed by the Parish Council and St Chad's Trust that public acknowledgement of the Council's support for the scheme is given in publicity about the scheme at all stages.
 - 2.2.6 The funding is approved only when a full acceptable Business Plan for the centre is received, detailing how the future running costs will be met and how genuine community access is ensured.
 - 2.2.7 The funding is approved only when all other funding bids have been completed and determined so enabling confirmation of how the capital costs of the project will be met.

Items 2.2.5, 2.2.6 and 2.2.7 should be delegated to the Chief Executive, Head of Health and Community Protection and Head of Finance in consultation with the portfolio-holders for Finance and Health and Community Protection.

2.3 Officers give consideration to a process for determining funding requests for similar such schemes emanating in the context of the Local or Neighbourhood Plans.

3. **Reasons for the Recommendation**

3.1 St Chad's Trust with the support Bishop's Tachbrook Parish Council has been developing the concept of a new community centre over a period of time. A summary of the scheme is attached at Appendix A as are site location and related plans. A site adjacent to the Parish Church has been agreed and a lease issued; planning permission for the new centre has been given; the construction has been tendered and subject to an evaluation report; and indeed some works on site have been undertaken. The scheme costs are:

£89,073 on the professional fees (inc. VAT) to undertake the detailed design work and tender evaluation (already raised and spent): £510,396 for construction of the scheme including further professional fees (inc VAT) (outcome of a tendering exercise and an evaluation report.

£50,000 for furniture, equipment and kitchen fit out.

The scheme needs a further \pounds 560, 396 (on top of the \pounds 89,073 raised and spent) in order to be completed and so far \pounds 50,000 has been raised toward it.

- 3.2 St Chad's Trust with the support of Bishops Tachbrook Parish Council has approached the District Council in respect of funding to help it construct this proposed new community centre in the village. The Council has previously awarded 27% of the overall project costs up to a maximum of £50,000 towards the scheme via its RUCIS grant scheme (this is not included within the £50,000 referred to in paragraph 3.1 above).
- 3.3 The Trust and the Parish Council estimate that construction could begin in April 2015 and the works be completed by November 2015. However, they cannot commit to that timetable at present without more confirmation of funding. A commitment by the Council to fund £300,000 and to agree to underwrite a further £150,000 (in case the other bids being made are not successful) would with the addition of the £50,000 already raised take the Trust and Parish Council to within £10,000 of what they need overall and that should be achievable if in the worst case all of the funding bids come to nought and the underwriting guarantee has to be called upon. The other funding bids being made are listed at Appendix B.
- 3.4 The Parish Council and the St Chad's Trust set up to manage the new centre, have sought to obtain funding from the developers for the housing scheme of 150 homes that has recently been granted planning permission. Regrettably this proved not possible under the current Section 106 regime. On the other hand, that new housing scheme will, if the New Homes Bonus Scheme (NHBS) continues, generate over £1 million for the District and County Councils over 6 years.
- 3.5 The District Council has taken the view that the NHBS should not be used to support the general running costs of the Council but should be used to reinvest in the community in a variety of ways. The new community centre at Chase Meadow in Warwick is one such example of community reinvestment. This scheme would be similar to that one, although the Council would not be involved in any form of project management for its implementation.
- 3.6 Bishops Tachbrook as a parish area will expand significantly, as planning permissions amounting to over 600 new homes have been granted within the last year and the Local Plan if adopted in its current shape would envisage several hundred more. It should be noted however, that the parish is at the forefront locally of also developing a statutory Neighbourhood Plan (out to consultation at the moment) to help guide more locally the development of the Parish. It is not untypical that such plans would want to develop or create new community facilities and a Parish Council with an adopted Neighbourhood Plan would be entitled to 25% of any Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) proceeds of development occurring in the Neighbourhood Plan area to use to fund them. However, a CIL charging regime cannot be put in place until a Local Plan is adopted and that is not anticipated now for Warwick District until later next

year. This in turn means that even if the Parish had an adopted Neighbourhood Plan, it could not yet require its proportion of CIL to be paid over. As a result of this timing of permission being granted now before a CIL regime is in place, the parish will as a consequence lose out.

- 3.7 Community infrastructure provision or rather the lack of it is an underlying concern of local communities in response to the prospect of such large scale development. Whilst it is possible to ensure that developers will pay for most if not all of the necessary infrastructure even under the current Section 106 regime, it is also clear that the District Council also has an opportunity to help ensure some provision as well. Helping to fund the Community Centre would be a clear demonstration that the Council is sincere in its desire to ensure that new development is accompanied by appropriate community infrastructure and it accords with the Council's record on reinvesting NHBS back into community infrastructure.
- 3.8 Should the Executive be so minded to support the funding request then there are some practical questions that need to be addressed. These are as follows along with the answers:

Where will the funding actually come from?

The funding can be made available from the New Homes Bonus Scheme award for 2015/16.

How long is it available for?

The funding offer is only available for 24 months (from the date of this Executive) before being drawn down in whole.

How are payments to be made?

Payments are only to be made on supply of verified invoices of work in proportion to the Council's overall financial contribution;

Will the Council still pay via the RUCIS scheme as well?

To avoid double counting and to highlight that this is an exceptional scheme the Council should withdraw the current RUCIS funding commitment to the Scheme of 27% of the overall project costs up to a maximum of \pm 50,000, which should be returned to the RUCUS pot.

Will the Council's role be acknowledged?

Public acknowledgement of the Council's support for the scheme should be given in publicity about the scheme at all stages and ought to be a condition of any award.

How do we know that the building will be sustainable financially?

There is no absolute certainty but the Council can and should require a full business plan to be presented for approval before releasing any of its funds.

Where will the other capital money come from to fund the scheme?

A number of other funding bids have been made. The Council can withhold its funds until it has been demonstrated that the other bids have been made and the outcome known.

What happens if there is a cost overrun?

The Council should make it clear that it is the responsibility of the Trust and the Parish Council to deal with any cost overruns and not this Council. No further financial support should be made beyond that referred to in this report.

Will this set a precedent for this sort of schemes?

Probably it will, so officers need to give consideration to a process for determining funding requests for similar such schemes emanating in the context of the Local or Neighbourhood Plans.

4. **Policy Framework**

- 4.1 **Policy Framework** this proposal does not affect any part of the Council's Policy Framework as it is an implementation proposal not a policy one but the Council's wider policy position on dealing with such requests requires further thought and so the Policy Framework may be affected in the future.
- 4.2 **Fit for the Future** the proposals would contribute to helping to make Warwick District a great place to live work and visit as set out in the Sustainable Community Strategy by significantly enhancing the local community facilities available in the village. In this respect it will assist with the key policy priority areas of Health and Well Being, Community Protection and Sustainability and the cross cutting theme of community involvement/cohesion.
- 4.3 The proposal will not have an internal impact on the Council in respect of the 3 strands of Service, People and Money.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

- 5.1 The total costs of the scheme are $\pounds 649,468$ of which $\pounds 139,073$ has been raised. This includes the $\pounds 89,073$ expended so far.
- 5.2 As the request is for use of public funds, it is important that the Council shows due diligence before agreeing to release any funds for the project. Accordingly, no funds should be released for the project until:-

Full confirmed total funding for all the capital costs have been received.

A full business plan has been received that demonstrates how the project will be financially sustainable into the future and that community access can be ensured.

- 5.3 In considering the funding request, members should be aware of other known and potential demands upon the Council's resources which have all been subject to reports to the Executive. These include:-
 - Equipment Renewals and ICT Reserves (see item 5 on this agenda)

- Maintenance liabilities for the current asset base of £4.29m to March 2018 and £22.79m for the 30 year period to March 2044.new ICT Reserve
- Maintaining the fabric of the Council's three multi storey car parks
- Funding for the Open Spaces Strategy
- Work on the Council's Play Areas
- 5.4 With the Council still needing to find over £1m to balance the Council's Medium Term Financial Strategy, it will not be possible to fund any of this work from revenue.
- 5.5 The Council is expecting to receive \pounds 1.xm New Homes Bonus in 2015/16. The Council treats this as one off money as there is uncertainty as to whether the NHB will continue in future years.
- 5.6 The Council does hold some reserves which may be able to go towards funding the items highlighted in paragraph 5.3. The Council will consider this funding will be used, along with the New Homes Bonus in February. The Council does not have sufficient funds at present to finance all the items within paragraph 5.3.

6. Risks

- 6.1 The main risks arising from this scheme is that in the event the Executive agree to fund the scheme include:-
 - its implementation is poor
 - The costs of the scheme escalate
 - funding is not secured to complete the project
 - the project is not financially sustainable into the future
- 6.2 The Council's mitigation financially is to make it clear that cost over runs are the responsibility of the Trust and Parish Council not this Council, that it should only pay in arrears on the basis of verified invoices. The other conditions in the recommendation suggested would mitigate the risks referred to.
- 6.3 There is a risk in that expectations will be raised by this decision amongst other communities and the Council may not be able to fund all or indeed any other similar proposals. The suggested recommendation to report back on how the Council may deal with such proposals resulting for the Local Plan and Neighbourhood plans will help to mitigate this risk. However, should a new Government decide to discontinue the NHBS, it would put at risk the Council's position.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 The Executive may be minded not to support the Funding request in which case it may be some time before the local community can raise enough funds to build the community centre. This is an option before it.