

Note: This is a summary of decisions and is not the formal minutes of the Planning Committee. It is intended to give early notice of the decisions taken.

Part A – General

1. **Apologies and Substitutes** – to be detailed in the minutes.
2. **Declarations of Interest** - to be detailed in the minutes.
3. **Site Visits** – to be detailed in the minutes.

Part B - Planning Applications

5. **W/22/1228 – Surface Car Park, Talisman Square, Kenilworth**

The application was refused contrary to the recommendation in the report on the grounds that the proposals would conflict with policies BE1, TC1, TR3 and KP12 in the Warwick District Local Plan and Kenilworth Neighbourhood Plan respectively.

9. **W/23/1115 – Land at Warwickshire Police HQ, Woodcote Lane, Leek Wootton**

The application was refused contrary to the recommendation in the report on the grounds of harm to the setting of the Grade II Listed Building and locally listed park. There were no public benefits to outweigh this harm and the proposals would conflict with Local Plan Policy DS22, which imposed a stricter test for development proposals on this particular site. Criteria (a) (b) (c) and (h) required proposals to protect and enhance the historic assets and their setting and to contribute positively to the landscape character. Furthermore, the proposals were therefore contrary to Local Plan Policy HE1 and the NPPF.

Members resolved that the proposal was contrary to Policy DS22 as it would not bring about a comprehensive redevelopment of the site as a whole through a masterplan as envisaged by that policy, and as a direct result of this, the biodiversity offsetting was not able to be achieved on site, and therefore the proposal was also contrary to Local Plan Policy NE3.

4. **W/22/1077 – Land to the east of Stratford Road, Longbridge, Warwick**

The application was granted in accordance with the recommendation in the report, subject to the signing of a Section 106 agreement, along with the additional condition contained within the addendum, and subject to the removal of condition 20 (1).

The Committee also requested that authority be delegated to officers to review the wording of the BREEAM condition to ensure that detailed plans which come forward comply with any higher standards in the Net Zero Carbon Development Plan document, if adopted when detailed proposals are submitted. The wording of the revised condition to be agreed by the Chairman.