| WARWICK UISTRICT COUNCIL | Agenda Item No. 11 | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--|
| Title | Extension of Navigation on the Avon from | |
| | Stratford to Warwick | |
| For further information about this | Chris Elliott | |
| report please contact | 01926 456003 | |
| | chris.elliott@warwickdc.gov.uk | |
| Wards of the District directly affected | Emscote, Myton and Heathcote, and | |
| | Budbrooke | |
| Is the report private and confidential and not for publication by virtue of a paragraph of schedule 12A of the | No | |
| Local Government Act 1972, following | | |
| the Local Government (Access to | | |
| Information) (Variation) Order 2006? | | |
| Date and meeting when issue was | Not applicable | |
| last considered and relevant minute number | | |
| Background Papers | Not applicable | |

| Contrary to the policy framework: | No |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Contrary to the budgetary framework: | No |
| Key Decision? | No |
| Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference number) | No |
| Equality Impact Assessment Undertaken | No (If No state why below) |
| Not needed at this stage. | |

| Officer/Councillor Approval | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|--|--|
| Officer Approval | Date | Name | | |
| Chief Executive | 06/06/17 | Chris Elliott | | |
| Head of Service | 06/06/17 | Tracy Darke; | | |
| CMT | 06/06/17 | Chris Elliott, Andrew Jones, Bill Hunt | | |
| Section 151 Officer | 06/06/17 | Mike Snow | | |
| Monitoring Officer | 06/06/17 | Andrew Jones | | |
| Finance | 06/06/17 | Mike Snow | | |
| Portfolio Holder(s) | 12/06/17 | Noel Butler | | |
| Consultation & Community Engagement | | | | |
| If the project progresses then detailed consultation will be required. | | | | |
| Final Decision? | | No | | |
| Suggested next steps (if r | | on please set out below) | | |

Further work will need to be done to assess the impacts of such a proposal and its financial feasibility before it can progress.

1. Summary

1.1 This report considers a request for the Council to support in principle for the extension of navigation on the River Avon from Stratford to the Grand Union Canal at Warwick.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 That the Council agrees to the request from the Avon Navigation Trust for support to look further at the principle of the proposal for the extension of navigation on the River Avon from Stratford to the Grand Union Canal at Warwick.

3. **Reasons for the Recommendation**

- 3.1 Attached at Appendix 1 to this report is a letter and a project summary outlining the justification and potential impact of being able to extend the navigation on the River Avon from Stratford to the Grand Union Canal at Warwick.
- 3.2 The proposal is a long term one but could generate significant economic benefits for Warwick town and the District by boosting the tourism sector of the local economy. There appears to be significant potential but the scheme will not progress in the absence of the Council's support. Stratford District Council considered the same request at its Cabinet meeting on 5th June and decided it would offer that support. The County Council is understood to be similarly considering the request.

4. **Policy Framework**

4.1 **Sustainable Community Strategy**

The proposal if implemented would accord with the Council's policy priority in respect of promoting prosperity and the tourism sector. However, there are also potential health and well-being benefits if the extension of the navigation was accompanied by additional footpaths and cycleways between Warwick and Stratford.

4.2 Fit for the Future

Indirectly the proposal could assist the Council's Fit for the Future strategy money strand by generating more income from parking and from visits to its parks in Warwick by the added attraction of canal boats being able to navigate the riverside. It is unlikely that there would be any impact on the Service and People strands.

4.3 The proposal may fit well with the Council's declared intention to designate the canal through the District a Conservation Area as it could deliver some resources to help maintain or upgrade the canal infrastructure.

4.3 Impact Assessments

At this stage, no work has been undertaken but if the scheme progresses then at the design stages further work would be needed especially for disabled access.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

- 5.1 At this stage the report does not generate any budgetary impact. The next piece of work that the ANT proposes to undertake is a feasibility assessment including of funding options. It may well be that the Council may be asked to contribute to the cost of undertaking research but it is not known how much that might be. A separate report and decision would be required.
- 5.2 Now the only resource implication would be staff time but this can be managed within existing resources at this time.

6. Risks

6.1 At this stage of the process there are no real risks as the Council is not committing itself to anything other than some staff time. Clearly this could change over time as the scheme progresses and develops and so the risk assessment would need to be updated as the scheme moves on.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 The Executive could decide not to offer such support. It would be difficult for the scheme to progress without this Council's support and as it may offer significant economic benefits this course of action is not advocated.