| WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Overview and Science 30 June 2015 | crutiny C | ommittee – | Agenda I | tem No. | |
|---|-----------|--|--------------|-------------|--|
| Title | | Comments fron | n the Execu | tive | |
| For further information about th | nis | Lesley Dury, Committee Services Officer, | | | |
| report please contact | | 01926 456114 | or | | |
| | | committee@wa | rwickdc.gov | <u>/.uk</u> | |
| | | | | | |
| Service Area | | Democratic Ser | vices | | |
| Wards of the District directly aff | fected | N/A | | | |
| Is the report private and confidence | | No | | | |
| and not for publication by virtue | | | | | |
| paragraph of schedule 12A of th | | | | | |
| Local Government Act 1972, foll | | | | | |
| the Local Government (Access t | | | | | |
| Information) (Variation) Order | | | | | |
| Date and meeting when issue w | | 8 April 2015 | | | |
| last considered and relevant min | | · / .p | | | |
| number | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Background Papers | | Executive Minus | tes – 9 Anri | l 2015 | |
| Ducking Carra Capelo | | <u> </u> | 3 / (p.) | . 2015 | |
| Contrary to the policy framewor | ·k· | | | No | |
| | | | | No | |
| Contrary to the budgetary framework: | | | | | |
| Key Decision? No Included within the Ferward Plan? (If was include reference. | | | | | |
| Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference No | | | | | |
| number) | | | | | |
| Officer/Counciller Approval | | | | | |
| Officer/Councillor Approval | | | | | |
| With regard to officer approval all re relevant director, Finance, Legal Se | | | | | |
| Dat | te | Name | | | |
| Relevant Director | | | | | |
| Chief Executive | | | | | |
| CMT | | | | | |
| Section 151 Officer | | | | | |
| Legal | | | | | |
| Legal | | | | | |
| Finance | | | | | |
| Portfolio Holders | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Consultation Undertaken | | | | | |
| N/A | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Final Decision? | | Yes | | | |
| Suggested next steps (if not fine | al decisi | | out below |) | |
| onggotte lient steps (ii liet liller decision pieuse set out below) | | | | | |

1. **Summary**

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in April.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. Alternative Option considered

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **Policy Framework**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **Background**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meetings of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 9 April 2015

| Title | Revisions to the Constitution | Requested by | Independent Group | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| Comments and concerns. | | | | | |
| The O state a Portfo | which point cons e required before | ultation with the e going ahead. | | | |
| Page 22 – MO (8) the wording is altered to read "Appoint the membership of any additional Licensing & Regulatory Sub-Committees known as Licensing & Regulatory Panels"; and Page 23 – MO (13) the wording is altered to read "Initiate reviews of the Members' Allowances Scheme". The words "in accordance with that Scheme" should | | | | | |
| The Democratic Services Manager & Deputy Monitoring Officer explained to the Executive that Overview & Scrutiny Committee had informally asked officers to look at DS4(ii) to ensure the wording was correct. Following discussions with the Head of Development Services, it was agreed that the Executive should be advised to remove this delegation a it was not required. The Leader welcomed the comments from the Scrutiny Committee and recommendations in the report were agreed, subject to the changes the proposed along with the removal of delegation DS4(ii) being made. The Executive also asked the Monitoring Officer to consider the comments | | | | | |
| | Page 2 The O state a Portfo The O Page 2 the wo Licens Panels Page 2 the wo Allowa be del The D to the asked Follow agree it was The Lorecom propo Execut from | Comments and concerns. Page 17 – A(3) The Overview & Scrutiny Committee we state an indicative upper cost limit at a Portfolio Holder or the Leader would be the Overview & Scrutiny Committee responsible to the Wording is altered to read "Appoint Licensing & Regulatory Sub-Committee Panels"; and Page 23 – MO (13) the wording is altered to read "Initiate Allowances Scheme". The words "in active deleted. The Democratic Services Manager & Double to the Executive that Overview & Scruting asked officers to look at DS4(ii) to ensign following discussions with the Head of agreed that the Executive should be active asked officers to look at DS4(ii) to ensign following discussions with the Head of agreed that the Executive should be active as not required. The Leader welcomed the comments for recommendations in the report were approposed along with the removal of definition of the Scrutiny Committee regarding the state of the Scrutiny Committee regarding the Scrutiny Committee rega | Comments and concerns. Page 17 – A(3) The Overview & Scrutiny Committee was surprised that state an indicative upper cost limit at which point constant and point in the Overview & Scrutiny Committee recommends that the Overview & Scrutiny Committee recommends that Page 22 – MO (8) The Overview & Scrutiny Committee recommends that Licensing & Regulatory Sub-Committees known as Licensing & Regulatory Sub-Committees known as Licensing & Regulatory Sub-Committees known as Licensing is altered to read "Initiate reviews of the Mallowances Scheme". The words "in accordance with the deleted. The Democratic Services Manager & Deputy Monitoring to the Executive that Overview & Scrutiny Committee asked officers to look at DS4(ii) to ensure the wording Following discussions with the Head of Development Sagreed that the Executive should be advised to removit was not required. The Leader welcomed the comments from the Scruting recommendations in the report were agreed, subject to proposed along with the removal of delegation DS4(ii) | | |

| Item no. | 4 | Title | IT Provisions for Councillors from May 2015 | Requested by | Labour Group / Independent Group | |
|--|--|-------|---|--|--|--|
| Reason considered Labour Group - Questions about operation of this change. Independent Group - Questions re accessibility to those with disabilities. | | | | | | |
| | The Overview & Scrutiny Committee recommends that all recommendations in the report are removed and replaced with: | | | | | |
| Scrutiny Comment 2.1 - That the Executive awaits a detailed update on the results of the iPad trial, and, if necessary, extends the trial. | | | | | e results of the | |
| 2.2 - That the Executive agrees that further work be undertooling improve the system prior to the submission of a report to the a later date. | | | | e the system prior to the submission of a report to the Executive at | | |

| | 2.3 - That the Executive is assured that adequate IT provision arrangements are in place for new councillors. |
|-----------------------|---|
| Executive Response | The Leader recognised the proposals were the way forward for the Council and it did offer a number of benefits. That said, there were concerns from members with regard to this proposal and the Leader knew that before it progressed, a significant majority of members needed to be comfortable with the new technology. |
| | In response to the comments from Overview & Scrutiny Committee, the Leader accepted the concerns of members of this Council and those of the Overview & Scrutiny Committee and therefore proposed and agreed that: |
| | 1 - The Executive notes the concerns of members with regard to moving away from paper agendas and reports; 2 - The Executive authorises the current trial of iPads to be continued; 3 - The Executive receives a further report in the Autumn of 2015 detailing the progress of the trial and how the issues raised have been resolved and recommending a way forward; and 4 - Officers make sufficient IT provision for Councillors after the election in May 2015. |

| Item no. | 7 | Title | Smoking Policy | Requested by | Labour Group |
|---|---|-------|----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| Reason considered Questions about enforcement. | | | | | |
| Scrutiny Comment The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the recommendations in the report. | | | | nendations in the | |
| | Executive Response The recommendations in the report were agreed. | | | | |

| Item no. | 8 | Title | The way forward for scrutinising Health Issues at Warwick DC | Requested by | Labour Group |
|---|---|-------|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| Reason conside | Reason considered To clarify Terms of Reference | | | | |
| 1 | Scrutiny The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the recommendations in the report. | | | | |
| Executive Response The Executive agreed that the recommendations in the report go forwa to Council for approval. | | | | | report go forward |