WARWICK - 15 April 2014 COUNCIL	Agenda Item No.		
Title	Comments from	n the Executive	
For further information about this	Peter Dixon		
report please contact	Committee Services Officer		
	01926 456114		
	committee@warv	<u>wickdc.gov.uk</u>	
Service Area	Civic & Committe	ee Services	
Wards of the District directly affected	N/A		
Is the report private and confidential	No		
and not for publication by virtue of a			
paragraph of schedule 12A of the			
Local Government Act 1972, following			
the Local Government (Access to			
Information) (Variation) Order 2006			
Date and meeting when issue was	N/A		
last considered and relevant minute			
number			
Background Papers		minutes 11/03/2014	
	Executive minutes 12/03/2014		
	Finance & Audit minutes 25/03/2014		
	Executive minute	es 26/03/2014	

Contrary to the policy framework:	No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:	No
Key Decision?	No
Included within the Forward Plan? (If yes include reference	No
number)	

Officer/Councillor Approval

With regard to officer approval all reports <u>must</u> be approved by the report authors relevant director, Finance, Legal Services and the relevant Portfolio Holder(s).

Date	Name
	Andrew Jones
	Mike Snow
_	Jenny Clayton
	Date

Consultation Undertaken	
N/A	
Final Decision?	Yes
Suggested next steps (if not final decision	please set out below)

1. SUMMARY

1.1 This report summarises the Executive's response to comments given by the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee on reports submitted to the Executive on 12 and 26 March 2014.

2. RECOMMENDATION

2.1 That the responses made by the Executive be noted.

3. REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION

3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee, ensuring that the Scrutiny Committee is formally made aware of the Executive's responses.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTIONS CONSIDERED

4.1 The Committee receives and notes the minutes of the Executive instead.

5. BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK

5.1 There is no impact on the budgetary framework. This is for the Committee's information only.

6. POLICY FRAMEWORK

The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly, efficiently and effectively.

7. BACKGROUND

- 7.1 As part of the scrutiny process, the Committee no longer considers the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 Councillors are emailed at the time of the publication of the Executive and Scrutiny Committee agendas, asking them to contact Committee Services by 9.00 am on the day of the Scrutiny Committee, to advise which Executive items they wish the Scrutiny Committee to pass comment on and the reasons why.
- 7.3 As a result, at its meetings on 11 and 25 March 2014, the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee considered the items detailed in the appendices. The responses which the Executive gave are also shown.

Responses from the meeting of the Executive held on 12 March 2014 to the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee's comments

Item no	4	Title	Treasury Management Strategy Plan for 2014/2015	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment	:	The Committee supported the recommendations in the report, thanked officers for attending and presenting the report so comprehensively.			
Executive Response					
Item no	7	Title	Corporate Property Repairs & Improvements Programme 2014/15	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment	:	The Committee recognised that the Council was moving to a more strategic approach to how it managed its portfolio and supported the recommendations in the report.			
Executive Response		The Co	ommittee was thanked for its comment	s and support.	
Item no	8	Title	Rural / Urban Capital Improvement Scheme Criteria	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment	Scrutiny Comment The Committee supported the recommendations in the report.				
Executive Response		-			
Item no	9B	Title	Discretionary Rate Relief	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment The Committee was concerned that the Government had yet to confidence whether it would meet the costs of this relief. There was no legislate covering this to date. After discussing whether to recommend not implementing these temporary measures and to try to give business some incentive to locate to the District, the Committee recommended the Executive that if it were minded to adopt the reliefs, the Executionly pursue Option B as detailed under paragraph 8.4. of the report			egislation not siness mended to xecutive		
The recommendation was not accepted because Central Government intended that the award be covered in its entirety, although officers we still waiting for confirmation that this would include administration charges. Also, the Executive recognised that the relief would provide a valuable support to the local business community.			ficers were tion		

Item no	9C	Title	Historic Buildings Grant Application Funding 2014/15	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment		The Co	ommittee supported the recommendati	ions in the report.	
Executive Response		-			

On 11 March the Committee also considered the following Executive agenda items which are detailed in a separate confidential appendix:

- 11 Lillington Area Action Plan
- 12 Proposed Development, Warwick
- 13 Housing and Property Services Contracts Update Report

Responses from the meeting of the Executive held on 26 March 2014 to the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee's comments

Item no	3	Title	Petition against High Speed Rail (London West Midlands) Bill	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment	The Committee considered the financial implications of the report and noted that funding for the petition would be made from the monies set aside to oppose HS2. One Member expressed the view that the petition should be funded separately. Members highlighted the point that if			onies set e petition hat if her	
Executive Response In accordance with a recommendation made by the Executive agreed that all Councillors should be serpetition document, for information, before it was serpetition.		pe sent a copy of t	•		

Item no 4	Title	Relocation of the Council's HQ offices – Part A report	Requested by	Chair
Scrutiny Comment	of the to the Recommaccord Recommassura	ommittee made a number of comments report) and supported the recommend following changes, which it recommend mendation 2.4 - that authority needs ance with procurement procedures. Immendation 2.6 - that this recommend ince that there would be no contractor Executive in February 2015 had been	lations in the repo ded to the Execut to be delegated in ation should includa appointed until th	rt subject ive: de an

The following amendments and additional recommendations were made:

Amended 2.4

That Executive approves a WDC project budget of £100,000, separate from the LLP forward funding, to cover 'client' project costs, subject to any expenditure being compliant with the Code of Procurement Practice.

Amended 2.6

That Executive notes, the proposed Project timetable, as set out at Appendix Four, and that this will require the presentation of a further report in February 2015 seeking final approval for the project once the financial appraisals have been undertaken and all necessary planning approvals gained, subject to a revision to Appendix Four to ensure that the award of the contract to a development partner is not made until after the Executive decision.

Executive Response

New 2.10

That, in parallel with the LLP funded detailed financial and design appraisals of the Spa Centre site, Executive instruct officers to formally review the potential use of other WDC town centre landholdings as alternative relocation sites and to report back no later than May 2014.

New 2.11

That Executive agree to establish a sub-group to the existing Member Reference Group, made up of 1 member of each Group who is not a member of Planning Committee (and will also become ineligible to be a substitute for any Planning Committee meeting relevant to this project) to review, with officers, the design specification and car parking arrangements for the proposed new HQ offices at the Spa Centre site.

On 25 March the Committee also considered the following Executive agenda item which is detailed in a separate confidential appendix:

6 - Relocation of the Council's HQ offices - Part B report