WARWICK March 5 th 20 DISTRICT COUNCIL	RICT I		
Title		Cultural Services	- Service Review
For further information about this		Rose Winship	
report please contact		Head of Cultural Services	
		rose.winship@wa	arwickdc.gov.uk
		01926 456223	
Wards of the District directly affected		All	
Is the report private and co	No		
and not for publication by virtue of a			
paragraph of schedule 12A			
Local Government Act 1972	,		
the Local Government (Acc			
Information) (Variation) O		NIA	
Date and meeting when iss		NA	
last considered and relevan number	it minute		
		NA	
Background Papers		IVA	
Contrary to the policy fram	ework:		No
Contrary to the budgetary framework:			No
Key Decision?		No	
Included within the Forwar	es include refer		
	a riaii: (11 y	es include relei	clice 110
number)			
	nt Undertake	n	No
number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA	nt Undertake	n	No
	nt Undertake	n	No
Equality Impact Assessmen	nt Undertake	n	No
Equality Impact Assessmen		n	No
Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approva			No
Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval		Name	No
Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approva	l Date	Name	No
Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive	l Date	Name 19 Chris Elliott	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approvation Officer Approvation Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service	Date 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT	Date 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones	
Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer	Date 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approvation Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow	
Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	
Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 14th Feb 20	Name 19 Chris Elliott 19 Rose Winship 19 Andy Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Andrew Jones 19 Mike Snow 19 Cllr Coker	

1. Summary

1.1 This report brings together the Cultural Services contract register, risk register and budget.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That Finance and Audit Committee should review the Cultural Services contract register, risk register and budget.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 Following several years of reviewing service contract and risk registers, it has been requested by members that the two registers for each Service Area should be considered together, along with details of the budget and performance for the relevant service.
- 3.2 Cultural Services are presenting a report to the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee that brings together:
 - Cultural Services risk register
 - Cultural Services contract register
 - Cultural Services budget outline
- 3.3 Risk Register
- 3.3.1 The latest version of the risk register is set out as Appendix A to this report.
- 3.3.2 The scoring criteria for the risk register are subjective and are based on an assessment of the likelihood of something occurring, and the impact that might occur.
- 3.3.3 In line with the traditional risk matrix approach, greater concern should be focused on those risks plotted towards the top right corner of the matrix whilst the converse is true for those risks plotted towards the bottom left corner of the matrix. If viewed in colour, the former-described set of risks are within the area shaded red, whilst the latter-described set of risks are within the area shaded green; the mid-range are in the area seen as yellow.
- 3.3.4 Cultural Services are responsible for a wide range of services which consequently lead to a number of potential risks. There are 36 risks contained in the risk register.
- 3.3.5 There are 5 risks rated "green", 29 rated "amber", and 2 rated "red", in accordance with the Council's risk scoring matrix.

 As with all the risks in the register, it is the controls and mitigations that are being undertaken to control the risks that are of importance. These reflect the tangible actions over which there is more control.
- 3.4 Contract Register
- 3.4.1 The latest version of the Cultural Services contract register is set out in Appendix B.

There are 20 live contracts listed.

3.5 Budget

3.5.1 Details of Cultural Services budgets are included as Appendix C. The figures shown compare the net position for the main cost centres within Cultural Services at the end of period 9 (December) for the current year and the comparative position in 2017/18/ Also shown is the final position at the end of 2017/18. Appendix C also includes comments on major variances when comparing the 2 years performance to the end of December.

4. **Policy Framework**

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

"The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several Key projects.

"The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The table below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy."

FFF Strands				
People	Services	Money		
External				
Health, Homes, Communities	Green, Clean, Safe	Infrastructure, Enterprise, Employment		
Intended outcomes: Improved health for all Neighbourhood needs for all met Impressive cultural and sports activities Cohesive and active communities	Intended outcomes: Area has well looked after public spaces All communities have access to decent open space Improved air quality Low levels of crime and ASB	Intended outcomes: Dynamic and diverse local economy Vibrant town centres Improved performance/ productivity of local economy Increased employment and income levels		
Impacts of Proposal				
Services managed within the Culture portfolio make a significant contribution to health outcomes; impressive sport and cultural facilities; and to a more cohesive and active community by providing services that are available to all sectors of the community.	Neighbourhood Services to ensure that opportunities	Dynamic and diverse local economy Increased employment and income levels		
Internal				
Effective Staff	Maintain or Improve Services	Firm Financial Footing over the Longer Term		
Intended outcomes:	<u>Intended outcomes:</u>	Intended outcomes:		
All staff are properly	Focusing on our	Better return/use of our		

trained All staff have the appropriate tools All staff are engaged, empowered and supported The right people are in the right job with the right skills and right behaviours	customers' needs Continuously improve our processes Increase the digital provision of services	assets Full Cost accounting Continued cost management Maximise income earning opportunities Seek best value for money
Impacts of Proposal		
Staff are continually developed using a range of methods including formal courses, in-house training and attendance at seminars, conferences or regional bodies.	The Service Area is continually looking at ways of improving the way services are delivered to customers, through improved working practices and use of ICT.	Cultural Services ensures that any expenditure achieves the best value for money. We seek to maximize external funding opportunities where appropriate, ensure that all expenditure is scrutinized in detail, and that all income is appropriately accounted for.

4.2 Supporting Strategies

There are several strategies that underpin the delivery of services including the Indoor Sports Strategy, Playing Pitch Strategy, Arts Strategy (currently under review). The strategic projects managed by the Programme Team in Cultural Services (ie Leisure Development Programme, Community Stadium and associated projects, and Commonwealth Games all contribute to a wider range of corporate strategies under the overarching Fit for the Future, in a variety of ways.

4.3 Changes to Existing Policies

4.3.1 There are no changes to existing policies as a result of this report.

5. Budgetary Framework

- 5.1 Details of the Cultural Services budgets are discussed at para 3.5 and Appendix
- 5.2 Annual Budgets for Cultural Services are set by the Executive on an annual basis and budget reports are routinely considered by the Senior Management Team, with quarterly reports issued to the Executive.

6. Risks

6.1 Details of the Cultural Services Risk Register has been included in para 3.1 and Appendix A.

- 6.2 Operational risks are managed within venues and each venue/operator has their own Risk Assessments to manage these operational risks. This includes the Council's leisure centre operator, Everyone Active, who's risk management forms part of the contract management undertaken by Cultural Services officers on a regular basis.
- 6.3 Individual projects managed by the Programme Team within Cultural Services each have their own Risk Register

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 As this report is predominantly for information, at the request of the Finance and Audit Committee, no other options are proposed.