

STANDARDS COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting held on Wednesday 14 February 2007 at the Town Hall, Royal Leamington Spa at 6.00 pm.

PRESENT: Councillor Guest (Chairman); Councillors Davis and Mrs Knight.

Mr P Cruden, Councillor Mrs A Gordon, The Venerable M Paget-Wilkes and Councillor B Smart.

(Councillor Mrs Knight substituted for Councillor Ms Flanagan)

865. **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

There were no declarations of interest.

866. **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting held on 8 November 2006, having been printed and circulated, were taken as read and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

867. **MEMBERS' REGISTER OF FINANCIAL INTERESTS AND OTHER INTERESTS UNDER THE MANDATORY CODE OF CONDUCT**

The Register containing details of financial and other interests, notified by individual Councillors, was made available for inspection by Members of the Committee.

868. **FORMAT OF STANDARDS COMMITTEE HEARINGS**

The Committee considered a report from the Head of Legal Service about the draft procedure for Standards Committee hearings to deal with investigations and the proposed amendments to the national Code of Conduct.

The Committee discussed the training session they had attended in January 2007 and were in agreement that it was an extremely useful session and that they looked forward to future training sessions in the new municipal year.

The Committee had discussed, at their training session in January 2007, a draft procedure for dealing with investigations passed to the Council from the Standards Board for England. They had asked that a draft be submitted to this meeting for consideration. A draft procedure was attached as Appendix A to the report.

The draft procedure was based on the format used by the Council for determining applications made to the Council under the Licensing Act 2003.

The Head of Legal Services informed the meeting that he had been in correspondence with Mr P Cruden, because he had previously been involved in Standards Committee hearings, and from this there were some amendments to be made to the procedure to ensure that it was more robust and easier to follow.

STANDARDS COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

Looking through the draft procedure the Committee were of the opinion that there was a need to add further points of clarification to the procedure with regard to:

- A reference in the general introduction to the pre-meeting;
- The Panel make up would be decided in agreement with the Chairman of the Committee;
- The pre meeting would decide who would be appointed as Chair of the Panel to consider the Complaint (although they would need to be formally appointed at the hearing);
- Parties right to appeal the decision should be mentioned in the decision process; and
- To incorporate the comments of Mr P Cruden to ensure that the procedure is more robust.

The Committee were in agreement that the procedure could be submitted to Council for approval subject to these amendments but asked that the Chairmen of the Committee sees the final draft before it is submitted for approval.

The Head of legal Services reported on the four proposed amendments to the model Code of Conduct. These were:

- Unlawful discrimination - the latest formulation that a member must not "do anything which may seriously prejudice his or her authority's ability to comply with any of its statutory duties under the equalities enactments"
- Behaviour outside official duties - the Associations view was that the revised code should not, unlike the present code, relate to behaviour in a private capacity. The recent High Court decision in relation to the appeal of the Mayor of London threw into doubt the present scope of the code
- The definition of personal interests, including the new category of public service interests
- The proposals in relation to prejudicial interests - where the LGA has been concerned to see amendments to the Code which bolster the ability of members to speak on behalf of their constituents, whilst preserving the essential safeguards in relation to prejudicial interests

The Committee felt that the Council should support all of these proposals apart from the "Behaviour Outside Official duties", where they supported the proposal but felt that an observation should be made that some expenses systems for elected members could dilute the impact of punishments provided by civil or criminal courts for private offences. The Committee therefore did not wish to see such punishments diluted.

RECOMMENDED that

- (1) subject to approval from the Chairmen of this Committee the draft procedure, as amended, for Standards Committee hearings to deal with investigations, as set out at Appendix A, be approved; and

STANDARDS COMMITTEE MINUTES (Continued)

- (2) the Council following comments be submitted to the Standards Board for England on the proposed revisions to the model Code of Conduct;
 - (a) with regard to the Unlawful discrimination, the definition of personal interest and the proposal in relation to prejudicial interest, this Council supports the proposals; and
 - (b) with regard to the behaviour outside official duties, this Council supports the proposal subject to an observation that some expenses systems for elected members could dilute the impact of punishments provided by civil or criminal courts for private offences, therefore the Council did not wish to see such punishments diluted.

(The meeting ended at 7.05 p.m.)

Minute Number 868
Procedure for Local Standards Hearings

1 Interpretation

- (a) “Councillor” means the member of Warwick District Council (“the authority”) or of any town or parish council within the authority’s area who is the subject of the allegation being considered by the Standards Committee, unless stated otherwise.
- (b) ‘Investigating Officer’ means the Ethical Standards Officer (ESO) who referred the report to the authority, and includes his or her nominated representative. In the case of matters that have been referred for local investigation, references to the Investigating Officer mean the person appointed by the Monitoring Officer to undertake that investigation (which may include the Monitoring Officer, and his or her nominated representative.)
- (c) “The Matter” is the subject matter of the Investigating Officer’s report.
- (d) ‘The Standards Committee’ refers to the Standards Committee or to any Standards Sub-Committee to which it has delegated the conduct of the hearing.
- (e) “The Committee Support Officer” means an officer of the authority responsible for supporting the Standards Committee’s discharge of its functions and recording the decisions of the Standards Committee.
- (f) ‘Legal Adviser’ means the officer responsible for providing legal advice to the Standards Committee. This may be the Monitoring Officer, another legally qualified officer of the authority, or someone appointed for this purpose from outside the authority¹.
- (g) “The Chairman” refers to the person presiding at the hearing.

2 Modification of Procedure

The Chairman may agree to vary this procedure in any particular instance where he/she is of the opinion that such a variation is necessary in the interests of fairness.

3 Representation

The Councillor may be represented or accompanied during the meeting by a solicitor, counsel or, with the permission of the committee, another person.

¹ In practice, the matter is referred by the ESO to the Monitoring Officer who is then responsible for reporting the matter to the Standards Committee. It is therefore convenient for the Monitoring Officer to conduct the pre-hearing process, except in so far as the councillor requires it to be conducted at a meeting of the Standards Committee, and to present the introductory report to the Standards Committee at the commencement of the hearing. Where the Monitoring Officer is not legally qualified, he/she will need to ensure that a suitably qualified person is available to provide legal advice to the Standards Committee. It is obviously preferable that any such separate legal adviser be present throughout the hearing, in order to be able to provide such advice against an understanding of the context in which the advice is given.

The cost of such representation must be met by the Councillor unless the authority has expressly agreed to meet all or any part of that cost².

4 Pre-hearing Procedure (ESO's Report)

Upon reference of a matter from an Ethical Standards Officer for local determination following completion of the Ethical Standards Officer's report, the Monitoring Officer shall:

- (a) Arrange a date for the Standards Committee's hearing;
- (b) Send a copy of the report to the Councillor and advise him of the date, time and place for the hearing;
- (c) Send a copy of the report to the person who made the allegation and advise him of the date, time and place for the hearing;
- (d) If appropriate notify the Parish or Town Council of which the Councillor is a member of the matter and of the date, time and place of the hearing;
- (e) Request the Councillor to complete and return the model Pre-Hearing Forms A, B, D and E, as recommended by the Standards Board for England within 14 days of receipt;
- (f) In the light of any Pre-Hearing Forms returned by the Councillor, determine whether the Standards Committee will require the attendance of the Ethical Standards Officer and any additional witnesses at the hearing to enable it to come to a properly considered conclusion at the hearing, and arrange for their attendance;
- (g) Prepare a Pre-Hearing Summary Report setting out the course of the allegation, investigation and Pre-Hearing Process and high-lighting the issues which the Standards Committee will need to address, and
- (h) Arrange that the agenda for the hearing, together with the Pre-Hearing Summary Report and copies of any relevant documents are sent to:
 - (i) All members of the Standards Committee who will conduct the hearing;
 - (ii) The Councillor;
 - (iii) The person who made the allegation, and
 - (iv) The Investigating Officer.

5 Pre-Hearing Process (Local Investigation)

Upon receipt of the final report of the Investigating Officer including a finding that the Councillor failed to comply with the relevant Code of Conduct or the

² Regulations under s101 of the Local Government Act 2000 grant authorities a discretion to provide an indemnity or to provide insurance to Councillors to meet the costs which they may incur in investigations, hearings or other proceedings under Part III of the 2000 Act. Any such indemnity or insurance is required to be subject to a requirement to repay any sums received in the event that the Councillor is found to have failed to comply with the Code of Conduct.

Standards Committee finding that the matter should be considered at a formal hearing, the Monitoring Officer shall:

- (a) Arrange a date for the Standards Committee's hearing;
- (b) Send a copy of the report to the Councillor and advise him of the date, time and place for the hearing;
- (c) Send a copy of the report to the person who made the allegation and advise him of the date, time and place for the hearing;
- (d) If appropriate notify the Parish or Town Council of which the Councillor is a member of the matter and of the date, time and place of the hearing;
- (e) Request the Councillor to complete and return the model Pre-Hearing Forms A, B, D and E, as recommended by the Standards Board for England within 14 days of receipt;
- (f) In the light of any Pre-Hearing Forms returned by the Councillor, determine whether the Standards Committee will require the attendance of the Investigating Officer and any additional witnesses at the hearing to enable it to come to a properly considered conclusion at the hearing, and arrange for their attendance;
- (g) Prepare a Pre-Hearing Summary Report setting out the course of the allegation, investigation and Pre-Hearing Process and high-lighting the issues which the Standards Committee will need to address, and
- (h) Arrange that the agenda for the hearing, together with the Pre-Hearing Summary Report and copies of any relevant documents are sent to:
 - (i) All members of the Standards Committee who will conduct the hearing;
 - (ii) The Councillor;
 - (iii) The person who made the allegation, and
 - (iv) The Investigating Officer.

6 Legal Advice

The Standards Committee may take legal advice from its legal adviser at any time during the hearing or while they are considering the outcome. The substance of any legal advice given to the Standards Committee should be shared with the Councillor and the Investigating Officer if they are present.³

³ In the interests of openness, the Standards Committee may prefer to receive any such advice in the main hearing room in the presence of the Investigating Officer and the Councillor. Where this is not practicable, the legal adviser should repeat in the presence of the Investigating Officer and the Councillor the advice which he/she has tendered.

7 Setting the Scene

At the start of the hearing, the Chairman shall introduce each of the members of the Standards Committee, the Councillor (if present), the Investigating Officer (if present) and any other officers present, and shall then explain the procedure which the Standards Committee will follow in the conduct of the hearing.

8 Preliminary procedural issues

The Standards Committee shall then deal with the following preliminary procedural matters in the following order:

(a) Disclosures of interest

The Chairman shall ask members of the Standards Committee to disclose the existence and nature of any personal or prejudicial interests which they have in the matter, and to withdraw from consideration of the matter if so required.

(b) Quorum

The Chairman shall confirm that the Standards Committee is quorate⁴

(c) Hearing procedure

The Chairman shall confirm that all present know the procedure which the Standards Committee will follow in determining the matter.

(d) Proceeding in the absence of the Councillor

If the Councillor is not present at the start of the hearing:

- (i) the Chairman shall ask the Monitoring Officer whether the Councillor has indicated his/her intention not to attend the hearing;
- (ii) the Standards Committee shall then consider any reasons which the Councillor has provided for not attending the hearing and shall decide whether it is satisfied that there is sufficient reason for such failure to attend;
- (iii) if the Standards Committee is satisfied with such reasons, it shall

⁴ A meeting of the Standards Committee is not quorate unless at least three members of the Standards Committee are present for the duration of the meeting. The three members must include at least one Independent member, unless an Independent member would have been present but was precluded from participating in any of the business of the Standards Committee in consequence of a prejudicial interest under the Council's Code of Conduct.

If the Standards Committee is responsible for Parish Council matters, it must include at least one Parish Council representative amongst its members. However it is only a requirement that the parish representative is actually present when the Standards Committee is dealing with a parish matter. Parish Sub-Committees, convened to deal with a parish matter, must have a parish representative as a member of the Sub-Committee, but there is not requirement for him/her actually to attend the meeting for it to be quorate.

[The Relevant Authorities (Standards Committee) Regulations 2001, Regulations 3 and 6. SI 2001 No. 2812.]

adjourn the hearing to another date;

- (iv) if the Standards Committee is not satisfied with such reasons, or if the Councillor has not given any such reasons, the Standards Committee shall decide whether to consider the matter and make a determination in the absence of the Councillor or to adjourn the hearing to another date.

(e) Exclusion of Press and Public

The Standards Committee may exclude the press and public from its consideration of this matter where it appears likely that confidential or exempt information will be disclosed in the course of this consideration.

The Chairman shall ask the Councillor, the Investigating Officer and the legal adviser to the Standards Committee whether they wish to ask the Standards Committee to exclude the Press or public from all or any part of the hearing. If any of them so request, the Chairman shall ask them to put forward reasons for so doing and ask for responses from the others and the Standards Committee shall then determine whether to exclude the press and public from all or any part of the hearing.

Where the Standards Committee does not resolve to exclude press and public, the agenda and any documents which have been withheld from the press and public in advance of the meeting shall then be made available to the press and public.

9 A failure to comply with the Code of Conduct?⁵

The Standards Committee will then address the issue of whether the Councillor failed to comply with the Code of Conduct in the manner set out in the Investigating Officer's report.⁶

- (a) The Chairman shall ask the Councillor to confirm that he/she maintains the position as set out in the pre-hearing summary.
- (b) The Pre-Hearing Process Summary

⁵ The model procedure recommended by the Standards Board suggests that the Standards Committee should first determine findings of fact and then determine whether there has been a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct. However, these two are so closely connected that the Standards Committee may find that it can conveniently determine the two together without any loss of fairness.

⁶ Note that the Standards Committee's consideration is limited to a possible failure to comply with the Code of Conduct in the terms set out in the Investigating Officer's report. It is possible that, in the course of their consideration, the Standards Committee apprehend that the Councillor may have failed to comply with the Code of Conduct in some other manner (for example that the Councillor's alleged failure to treat a person with respect appears also, or in the alternative, to be conduct likely to bring the Councillor's office or authority into disrepute). Note that such a possible additional or alternative failure will not be within the remit of the Standards Committee as, at that stage, the Councillor will not have had notice of the Standards Committee's consideration of the possible additional or alternative failure and that it would therefore be unfair to proceed to consider that second matter at the hearing into the first alleged failure. Where the Standards Committee do apprehend a possible additional or alternative failure, a failure by a different member, or a failure in respect of the code of conduct of another authority, they should refer the second matter to the Monitoring Officer with a view to a separate allegation being made to the Standards Board for England.

The Chairman will ask the legal adviser or the Committee Support Officer⁷ to present his/her report, highlighting any points of difference in respect of which the Councillor has stated that he/she disagrees with any finding of fact in the Investigating Officer's report. The Chairman will then ask the Councillor to confirm that this is an accurate summary of the issues and ask the Councillor to identify any additional points upon which he/she disagrees with any finding of fact in the Investigating Officer's report.

- (i) If the Councillor admits that he/she has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct in the manner described in the Investigating Officer's report, the Standards Committee may then make a determination that the Councillor has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct in the manner described in the Investigating Officer's report and proceed directly to consider whether any action should be taken (Paragraph 11)
 - (ii) If the Councillor identifies additional points of difference, the Chairman shall ask the Councillor to explain why he/she did not identify these points as part of the pre-hearing process. He/she shall then ask the Investigating Officer (if present) whether he/she is in a position to deal with those additional points of difference directly or through any witnesses who are in attendance or whose attendance at the hearing can conveniently be arranged. Where the Standards Committee is not satisfied with the Councillor's reasons for failing to identify each additional point of difference as part of the pre-hearing process, it may decide that it will continue the hearing but without allowing the Councillor to challenge the veracity of those findings of fact which are set out in the Investigating Officer's report but in respect of which the Councillor did not identify a point of difference as part of the pre-hearing process. Alternatively it may decide to adjourn the hearing to allow the Investigating Officer and/or any additional witnesses to attend the hearing.
- (c) Presenting the Investigating Officer's report
- (i) If the Investigating Officer is present, the Chairman will then ask the Investigating Officer to present his/her report, having particular regard to any points of difference identified by the Councillor and why he/she concluded, on the basis of his/her findings of fact, that the Councillor had failed to comply with the Code of Conduct. The Investigating Officer may call witnesses as necessary to address any points of difference.
 - (ii) If the Investigating Officer is not present, the Standards Committee shall only conduct a hearing if they are satisfied that

⁷

As set out above, unless conflicted out, it is likely that the Monitoring Officer will:

- (i) take on the conduct of the pre-hearing process;
 - (ii) present an introductory report to the Standards Committee at the commencement of the hearing setting out the outcomes of the pre-hearing process;
 - (iii) will (if legally qualified) act as the legal adviser to the Standards Committee; and
 - (iv) will distribute and publish any required notices of the Standards Committee's determination.
- However, there may be reasons in particular cases for the Monitoring Officer to arrange for any or all of these functions to be carried out on his/her behalf.

there are no substantial points of difference or that any points of difference can be satisfactorily resolved in the absence of the Investigating Officer. In the absence of the Investigating Officer, the Standards Committee shall determine on the advice of the Monitoring Officer which witnesses, if any, to call. Where such witnesses are called, the Chairman shall draw the witnesses attention to any relevant section of the Investigating Officer's report and ask the witness to confirm or correct the report and to provide any relevant evidence.

- (iii) No cross-examination shall be permitted but, at the conclusion of the Investigating Officer's report and/or of the evidence of each witness, the Chairman shall ask the Councillor if there are any matters upon which the Standards Committee should seek the advice of the Investigating Officer or the witness.

(d) The Councillor's response

- (i) The Chairman shall then invite the Councillor to respond to the Investigating Officer's report and to call any witnesses as necessary to address any points of difference.
- (ii) No cross-examination shall be permitted but, at the conclusion of the Councillor's evidence and/or of the evidence of each witness, the Chairman shall ask the Investigating Officer if there are any matters upon which the Standards Committee should seek the advice of the Councillor or the witness.

(e) Witnesses

- (i) The Standards Committee shall be entitled to refuse to hear evidence from the Investigating Officer, the Councillor or a witness unless they are satisfied that the witness is likely to give evidence which they need to hear in order to be able to determine whether there has been a failure to comply with the code of conduct.
- (ii) Any member of the Standards Committee may address questions to the Investigating Officer, to the Councillor or to any witness.

(f) Additional Evidence

At the conclusion of the evidence, the Chairman shall check with the members of the Standards Committee that they are satisfied that they have sufficient evidence to come to a considered conclusion on the matter.

- (g) If the Standards Committee at any stage prior to determining whether there was a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct are of the opinion that they require additional evidence on any point in order to be able to come to a considered conclusion on the matter, the Standards Committee may (on not more than one occasion) adjourn the hearing and make a request to the Investigating Officer to seek and provide such additional evidence and to undertake further investigation on any

point specified by the Standards Committee

- (h) Determination as to whether there was a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct.
 - (i) At the conclusion of the Councillor's response, the Chairman shall ensure that each member of the Standards Committee is satisfied that he/she has sufficient information to enable him/her to determine whether there has been a failure to comply with the code of conduct as set out in the Investigating Officer's report.
 - (ii) Unless the determination merely confirms the Councillor's admission of a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct (as set out in Paragraph9(b)(i) above), the Standards Committee shall then retire to another room to consider in private whether the Councillor did fail to comply with the Code of Conduct as set out in the Investigating Officer's report.
 - (iii) The Standards Committee shall take its decision on the balance of probability based on the evidence which it has received at the hearing.
 - (iv) The Standards Committee's function is to make a determination on the matter. It may, at any time, return to the main hearing room in order to seek additional evidence from the Investigating Officer, the Councillor or a witness, or to seek legal advice from or on behalf of the Monitoring Officer. If it requires any further information, it may adjourn and instruct an officer or request the Councillor to produce such further evidence to the Standards Committee.
 - (v) At the conclusion of the Standards Committee's consideration, the Standards Committee shall consider whether it is minded to make any recommendations to the authority with a view to promoting high standards of conduct among Councillors.
 - (vi) The Standards Committee shall then return to the main hearing room and the Chairman will state the Standards Committee's principal findings of fact and their determination as to whether the Councillor failed to comply with the Code of Conduct as set out in the Investigating Officer's report.

10 If the Councillor has not failed to follow the Code of Conduct

If the Standards Committee determines that the Councillor has not failed to follow the Code of Conduct in the manner set out in the Investigating Officer's report:

- (a) If the Standards Committee apprehends, from the evidence which they have received during the hearing, that a Councillor has failed to comply with the Code of Conduct (other than the matter which the Standards Committee has just determined), the Chairman shall outline the Standards Committee's concerns and state that the Standards Committee has referred this additional or alternative failure to the Monitoring Officer with a view to a further allegation being made to the

Standards Board for England.

- (b) The Chairman should then set out any recommendations which the Standards Committee is minded to make to the authority with a view to promoting high standards of conduct among Councillors and seek the views of the Councillor, the Investigating Officer and the legal adviser before the Standards Committee finalises any such recommendations.
- (c) Finally, the Chairman should ask the Councillor whether he/she wishes the authority not to publish a statement of its finding in a local newspaper.

11 Action consequent upon a failure to comply with the Code of Conduct

- (a) The Chairman shall ask the Investigating Officer (if present, or otherwise the legal adviser) whether, in his/her opinion, the Councillor's failure to comply with the Code of Conduct is such that the Standards Committee should impose a sanction and, if so, what would be the appropriate sanction.⁸

⁸ The sanctions which are available to the Standards Committee under the Local Authorities (Code of Conduct)(Local Determination) Regulations 2003, Regulation 7, as amended by the Local Authorities (Code of Conduct)(Local Determination)(Amendment) Regulations 2004 are any of the following :

- “(i) censure of that Councillor;
- (ii) restriction for a maximum period of three months of that Councillor's access to the premises of the authority and that Councillor's use of the resources of the authority, provided that such restrictions imposed upon the Councillor -
 - (aa) are reasonable and proportionate to the nature of the breach; and
 - (bb) do not unreasonably restrict the Councillor's ability to perform his functions and duties as a Councillor.
- (iii) partial suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months;
- (iv) suspension of that Councillor for a period of partial suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months;
- (v) a requirement that that Councillor submit a written apology in a form specified by the Standards Committee;
- (vi) a requirement that that Councillor undergo training as specified by the Standards Committee;
- (vii) a requirement that that Councillor undergo conciliation as specified by the Standards Committee;
- (viii) partial suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months or until such time as he submits a written apology in a form specified by the Standards Committee
- (ix) partial suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months or until such time as he undergoes such training or conciliation as the Standards Committee may specify;
- (x) suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months or until such time as he submits a written apology in a form specified by the Standards Committee;
- (xi) suspension of that Councillor for a period up to a maximum of three months or until such time as he undergoes such training or conciliation as the Standards Committee may specify.

Any sanction imposed shall commence immediately unless the Standards Committee direct (for any sanction other than censure) that it shall commence on any date specified by the Standards Committee within six months of the date of the hearing.

A literal interpretation of these powers to aggregate sanctions would indicate that the Standards Committee could impose a combination of suspension and partial suspension, conditional and unconditional, adding up in any one case to some 12 months suspension in total. However, it was clearly not the intention of the legislature to enable the total combination of sanctions to extend beyond a single period of three months, and any sanctions which apply over a longer period might prove vulnerable on appeal.

- (b) The Chairman will then ask the Councillor to respond to the Investigating Officer's advice.
- (c) The Chairman will then ensure that each member of the Standards Committee is satisfied that he/she has sufficient information to enable him/her to take an informed decision as to whether to impose a sanction and (if appropriate) as to the form of the sanction.
- (d) Any member of the Standards Committee may address questions to the Investigating Officer or to the Councillor as necessary to enable him/her to take such an informed decision.
- (e) The Chairman should then set out any recommendations which the Standards Committee is minded to make to the authority with a view to promoting high standards of conduct among Councillors and seek the views of the Councillor, the Investigating Officer and the legal adviser;
- (f) The Standards Committee shall then retire to another room to consider in private whether to impose a sanction, (where a sanction is to be imposed) what sanction to impose and when that sanction should take effect, and any recommendations which the Standards Committee will make to the authority.
- (g) At the completion of their consideration, the Standards Committee shall return to the main hearing room and the Chairman shall state the Standards Committee's decisions as to whether to impose a sanction and (where a sanction is to be imposed) the nature of that sanction, and when it should take effect, together with the principal reasons for those decisions, and any recommendations which the Standards Committee will make to the authority.

12 Reference back to the Ethical Standards Officer

If, at any time before the Standards Committee has determined upon any appropriate sanction, the Standards Committee considers that the nature of the failure to comply with the Code of Conduct for Members is such that the appropriate sanction would exceed the powers of the Standards Committee, the Standards Committee may instruct the Monitoring Officer to request the Ethical Standards Officer to resume responsibility for the conduct of the matter, and may adjourn the hearing until the Monitoring Officer advises the Standards Committee of the Ethical Standards Officer's response to such a request.

13 The close of the hearing

- (a) The Standards Committee will announce its decision on the day of the hearing and provide the Committee Support Officer with a short written statement of their decision, which the Committee Support Officer will deliver to the Councillor as soon as practicable after the close of the hearing;
- (b) The Chairman will thank all those present who have contributed to the conduct of the hearing and formally close the hearing;
- (c) Following the close of the hearing, the Committee Support Officer will

agree a formal written notice of the Standards Committee's determination and the Monitoring Officer shall arrange for the distribution and publication of that notice (or a summary of that notice, where required) in accordance with Regulation 8 of the Local Authorities (Code of Conduct)(Local Determination) Regulations 2003.

14 Appeals.

The Councillor may appeal against the decision of the Standards Committee by writing to the President of the Adjudication Panel for England, ensuring that his letter sets out the grounds for such an appeal, includes a statement as to whether or not he consents to the appeal being heard by way of written representations, and is received by the President within 21 days of the date of the written notice of decision under Paragraph 13(c).