WADWICK III	Licensing Pane	ı	Agenda Item No.
WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL	12 th December 20	12	
Title	Aı	plication for	a variation of the
		•	e issued to Loose Box, 4
		•	Leamington Spa under
		e Licensing A	ct 2003
For further information		•	icensing Services
report please contact			munity Protection. Tel:
		.926 456113.	
			<u>varwickdc.gov.uk</u>
Service Area		ommunity Pro	tection
Wards of the District di		one	
Is the report private an)	
and not for publication	-		
paragraph of schedule			
Local Government Act 1			
the Local Government (-		
Information) (Variation		′^	
Date and meeting when last considered and rele		^	
number	evant minute		
Hullibei			
Background Paners	N	ne	
Background Papers	N	one	
	-	one	No
Contrary to the policy f	ramework:	one	No No
Contrary to the policy f	ramework:	one	
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budget Key Decision?	ramework: ary framework:		No No
Contrary to the policy f	ramework: ary framework:		No No
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budget Key Decision? Included within the For	ramework: ary framework:		No No
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budget Key Decision? Included within the For	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes		No No
Contrary to the policy for the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes	include refe	No No Prence No
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Approximation with regard to officer approximation app	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes	include refe	No N
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appreciately With regard to officer appreciately preciately and director, Finance	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include refe	No N
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Apprehist With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include references be approved the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include refe	No N
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include references be approved the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include references be approved the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer app relevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include reference to the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer app relevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include reference to the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer app relevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include reference to the relevant F	No N
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer app relevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and	include reference to the relevant F	No No No No No No I by the report authors Portfolio Holder(s).
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer app relevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and re 28/11/2012	be approved the relevant F Name Roger Jews	No No No No No No I by the report authors Portfolio Holder(s).
Contrary to the policy for Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Approval With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation Undertake	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and re 28/11/2012	be approved the relevant F Name Roger Jews	No No No No No No I by the report authors Portfolio Holder(s).
Contrary to the policy f Contrary to the budgets Key Decision? Included within the Fornumber) Officer/Councillor Appr With regard to officer apprelevant director, Finance Officer Approval Relevant Director Chief Executive CMT Section 151 Officer Legal Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	ramework: ary framework: rward Plan? (If yes roval roval all reports mus , Legal Services and re 28/11/2012	be approved the relevant F Name Roger Jews	No No No No No No I by the report authors Portfolio Holder(s).

Yes

Final Decision?

1. **SUMMARY**

1.1 Under the provisions of the Licensing Act 2003 a premises that holds a premises licence may apply to vary that licence.

2. **RECOMMENDATION**

2.1 Members are asked to consider the information contained in this report, and decide whether the application for a variation of the premises licence for Loose Box (previously Mumbai Bluu) should be approved, and, if so, whether it should be subject to any additional conditions.

3. **REASONS FOR THE RECOMMENDATION**

- 3.1 Mr M Kandola, as a director of Momak Ltd., applied to convert the existing Justices Licences for Mumbai Bluu in July 2005 to a premises licence under the Licensing Act 2003 (LA 2003). The police were the only authority permitted to object to this conversion on the grounds of crime and disorder. No such objection was received. At the same time a variation application was submitted which attracted representations and a hearing was held on 5th September 2005 to decide the licence to be issued at the inception of the LA2003 on 24th November 2005.
- 3.2 The Licensing panel's decision was appealed to the magistrates court who modified the premises licence
- 3.3 Mr Kandola applied on 7th September 2009 to vary the premises licence to change the hours permitted for the sale of alcohol for consumption on the premises on Tuesday to Thursday to 10:00 to 02:00. This application was refused at a Licensing Panel hearing on the 21st December 2009. A copy of the decision letter is attached to this report as **Appendix 1**.
- 3.4 Mr Kandola has now applied again to vary the premises licence as described in the variation application form which is attached to this report as **Appendix 2.**
- 3.5 For clarification, the current premises hours and the hours applied for are laid out in table format which is attached to this report as **Appendix 3.**
- 3.6 An operating schedule has ben submitted with the variation application. Not all parts of the schedule translate to appropriate and enforceable conditions. The relevant points are as follows:

Prevention of crime and disorder

SIA registered door staff will be employed from 20:00 hours on Thursday, Friday and Saturdays until close.

The premises will remain a member of the WDC approved Pubwatch scheme and adhere to all of its terms and conditions

Protection of children from harm

Under 18's will not be allowed on the premises after 18:00 on any day

- 3.6 A representations have been received from Warwick Police which is attached to this report as **Appendix 4** and also one from Environmental Health which is attached as **Appendix 5**.
- 3.7 These premises are contained within the Council's Cumulative Impact Area which is contained within the Licensing Policy adopted by Warwick District Council. This being the case, the burden of proof is with the applicant to show that the application will not impact on the four licensing objectives; it is not for the representors to prove that it will.
- 3.8 A map of the area of the premises is attached as **Appendix 6**
- 3.9 When considering the application the panel must give appropriate weight to:
 - a) The representations received.
 - b) Statutory guidance issued by the Secretary of State under Section 182 of the Licensing Act 2003.
 - c) The Council's Licensing Policy Statement which is attached as **Appendix** 7.
 - d) The Licensing Objectives, which are the promotion of:
 - i) The Prevention of Crime and Disorder.
 - ii) Public Safety.
 - iii) The Prevention of Public Nuisance.
 - iv) The Protection of Children from Harm.

However, it should only consider those licensing objectives which have been referred to in the representations received.

- 3.10 The Council's Licensing Policy Statement provides that the authority will take an objective view on all applications and will seek to attach appropriate and proportionate conditions to licences where necessary in order to ensure compliance with the four licensing objectives shown earlier. Each application will be judged on its own individual merits.
- 3.11 Details of the procedure adopted by the Licensing Committee for Panel Hearings has been supplied to the applicant and those making representations. The procedure will be explained more fully by one of the Council's Legal Team at the commencement of the hearing.

4. ALTERNATIVE OPTION CONSIDERED

4.1 No alternatives may be considered.

5. **BUDGETARY FRAMEWORK**

5.1 This report has no budgetary considerations for the Council.

6. **POLICY FRAMEWORK**

6.1 None

7. **BACKGROUND**

7.1 None.