WARWICK 31 May 2017 DISTRICT COUNCIL	_	Committee -	Agenda I	tem No.
Title		Comments from	the Execu	tive
For further information about this		Lesley Dury, Co		
report please contact		01926 456114		er vices officer,
Topore picase contact		committee@warwickdc.gov.uk		
		<u> </u>	. monacigo	- TOT
Service Area		Democratic Ser	vices	
Wards of the District direct	lv affected	N/A		
Is the report private and co		No		
and not for publication by v paragraph of schedule 12A Local Government Act 1972 the Local Government (Acco Information) (Variation) Or	of the , following ess to der 2006			
Date and meeting when isso last considered and relevan number		4 April 2017		
Background Papers		Executive Minut April 2017	es – 5 Apri	l 2017 and 12
				Γ
Contrary to the policy frame				No
Contrary to the budgetary f	ramework:			No
Key Decision?	1 5 1 5 1 5			No
Included within the Forwar number)	d Plan? (If y	es include refe	erence	No
Officer/Councillor Approval				
With regard to officer approval relevant director, Finance, Leg				
	Date	Name		
Relevant Director				
Chief Executive				
CMT				
Section 151 Officer				
Legal				
Finance				
Portfolio Holders				
Consultation Undertaken				
N/A				
Final Decision?		Yes		
Suggested next steps (if no	t final decis	ion please set o	out below))

1. **Summary**

1.1 This report informs the Committee of the response the Executive gave to their comments regarding the reports submitted to the Executive in April 2017.

2. **Recommendation**

2.1 The responses made by the Executive are noted.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It ensures that the Overview and Scrutiny Committee are formally made aware of the Executive's responses.
- 3.2 Where the Overview & Scrutiny Committee have made a recommendation as opposed to a comment the Executive are required to respond to the recommendation(s) made, including whether or not they accept the recommendation(s).

4. Alternative Option considered

4.1 This report is not produced and presented to the Committee.

5. **Budgetary Framework**

5.1 All work for the Committee has to be carried out within existing resources.

6. **Policy Framework**

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly efficiently and effectively.

7. **Background**

- 7.1 As part of the new scrutiny process, the Committee is no longer considering the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 On the day of publication of the Executive agenda all Councillors are sent an e-mail asking them to contact Committee Services, by 09.00am on the day of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee meeting to advise which Executive items they would like the Committee to consider.
- 7.3 As a result the Committee considered the items detailed in appendix 1. The response the Executive gave on each item is also shown.
- 7.4 In reviewing these responses Committee can identify any issues for which they would like a progress report. A future report, for example on how the decision has been implemented, would then be submitted to the Committee at an agreed date which would then be incorporated within the work programme.

Response from the meetings of the Executive on Overview and Scrutiny Committee Comments – 4 April 2017

Items no.	3	Title	Housing Appeals and Review Panel	Requested by	Labour Group	
Reason considered		To understand more clearly the rationale for the proposal.				
Scrutiny Comme		The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the report and informed the Executive that they have added a report to their Work Programme, in 12 months, to understand the number and type of housing appeals being made and the outcomes of these.				
	The Executive thanked the Overview & Scrutiny Committee and in recognising the concerns that were raised, the Portfolio Holder for House & Property Services proposed the recommendations with a minor amendment to recommendation 2.2 of the report to include reference to ensure that Ward Councillors were consulted on officer led appeals.				Holder for Housing th a minor clude reference to	

Items no.	4	Title	Code of Procurement Practice Update	Requested by	All	
Reason conside	red	Formed part of the Committee's Work Programme for that meeting.				
		The O	verview & Scrutiny Committee a	sked the Executi	ve to:	
Scrutiny Comment		(1)investigate; if there were any potential issues that could come from officers asking the same three companies for three quotes for the same service each time; if there were how could this be mitigated in potentially small market environment; and how to improve the advertising of the opportunity of the smaller contracts where only three quotes were required; and (2)look at the process for voluntary sector commission work, the majority of which would fall within the requirement for formal tendering process				
defined in the revised code, to reduce the burden on volunteers we would be delivering an enhanced service for the Council at a reduced; and consider if an exemption could be approved in advance						
Executiv Respons					een to support local s. There was rs did not believe	

Items no.	8	Title	Response to the LGA Corporate Peer Challenge 2016	Requested by	Labour Group
To gain a better		imple	in a better understanding of how menting the recommendations a mings.		

Scrutiny Comment

The Overview & Scrutiny Committee noted the report and informed the Executive that they will be watching the development of the action plan carefully as the proposed actions come forward.

The Leader explained that the Peer Review report had not been brought forward previously because it had taken time to reflect and develop the plan. In addition to the report, there had been a further away day for the Corporate Management Team and the Executive with the Local Government Association.

Executive Response

The Leader explained that Appendix 2 to the Peer Review report contained a proposed action plan. It was probable that action 7 would not be brought forward until the new Council, but when it did it would be a report and not a Notice of Motion. This said the Council continued to monitor the Combined Authority, the landscape of devolution and there were the Joint Committee meetings across the sub-region.

The Leader recognised that while Portfolio Holders would continue to lead on their respective areas, they needed to lead on Fit for the Future which in itself needed to be seen as a driver to improvement.

The Leader recognised that the Council was beginning to work more closely with stakeholders by keeping people better informed through the Media Team with a view to telling everyone not just the press.

Response from the meetings of the Executive on Joint Finance & Audit Scrutiny and Overview & Scrutiny Committees Comments – 11 April 2017

Items no.	4	Title	Europa Way – Strategic Opportunity Proposal	Requested by	All
Scrutiny Comment The Committees noted the contents of the report.					
	Executive Response Was made by the Executive.				

Items no.	6	Title	Europa Way – Strategic Opportunity Proposal	Requested by	All
Scrutiny Comme		The Committees noted the revised business plan from the football club and their commitment to it. The Committee recognised that the business plan would continue to be monitored by the Council as part of this project. It also noted that at an appropriate time, the business plan may be subject to a further independent assessment.			
Executive Respons	l evolained that decisions would need to be taken at appropriate stades				

Items no.	7	Title	Note of Decision taken under Chief Executive's Emergency Powers	Requested by	AII
Scrutiny Comment		The Committee noted the contents of the report. Councillor Mrs Falp explained to the Committee that she had excluded herself from the consultation by the Chief Executive on this decision because of a conflict of interest she had on the decision. The Chief Executive confirmed that this had been the case.			
		In addition, Councillors Mrs Falp and Margrave asked for it to be recorded that they were not in favour of the decision taken by the Chief Executive.			
Executive Response		No response was made by the Executive			