WARWICK DISTRICT COUNCIL Overview ar	nd Scrutiny (per 2019	Committee	Agenda Item No. 4
Title		Development Po	rtfolio - Service Review
For further information about this		Dave Barber	
report please contact		Head of Develop	
		dave.barber@wa	<u>arwickdc.gov.uk</u>
		01926 456065	
		Cllr John Cooke	
		Development Po	rtfolio Holder
		Development i o	Trono Fronce
Wards of the District direct	ly affected		
Is the report private and confidential		No	
and not for publication by v			
paragraph of schedule 12A			
Local Government Act 1972	,		
the Local Government (Account Information) (Variation) Or			
Information) (Variation) Or Date and meeting when issued in the control of the c		NA	
last considered and relevan		INC	
number			
Background Papers		NA	
			1
Contrary to the policy frame			No
Contrary to the budgetary f			No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision?	ramework:	voc includo rofo	No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar	ramework:	ves include refe	No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number)	ramework:		No No No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar	ramework:		No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen	ramework:		No No rence No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA	ramework: d Plan? (If y		No No rence No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval	ramework: d Plan? (If y t Undertake	n	No No rence No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval	ramework: d Plan? (If y t Undertake	Name	No No No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval	ramework: d Plan? (If y t Undertake	n	No No No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief	ramework: d Plan? (If y t Undertake	Name	No No No No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive	ramework: d Plan? (If y t Undertake	Name Chris Elliott	No No No No No
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service	t Undertake Date 12/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT	t Undertake Date 12/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow Andrew Jone	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s)	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow Andrew Jone Mike Snow Cllr Alan Rhe	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessmen NA Officer/Councillor Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow Andrew Jone Mike Snow Cllr Alan Rhe	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow Andrew Jone Mike Snow Cllr Alan Rhe	No N
Contrary to the budgetary f Key Decision? Included within the Forwar number) Equality Impact Assessment NA Officer/Councillor Approval Officer Approval Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive Head of Service CMT Section 151 Officer Monitoring Officer Finance Portfolio Holder(s) Consultation & Community	Date 12/11/18 14/11/18 14/11/18	Name Chris Elliott Dave Barber Bill Hunt Mike Snow Andrew Jone Mike Snow Cllr Alan Rhe	No N

1. **Summary**

1.1 This report brings together details of performance relating to the Development Portfolio.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That Overview and Scrutiny Committee should review Development Portfolio Performance Report and make observations on it as appropriate.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

- 3.1 It has been requested by members that performance details of each service/portfolio are reviewed.
- 3.2 The Portfolio-holder for Development and Head of Development Services are presenting this report on performance to the Overview and Scrutiny Committee. It should be noted, that the report does not cover performance associated with the Environment and Business Portfolio, even though much of the work relating to that Portfolio is also the responsibility of Development Services
- 3.3 The annual performance report is available as Appendix B. The 2019/20 Service Area Plan for Development Services (in so far as it relates to the Development Portfolio) 2018/19 is available as Appendix A.

4. Policy Framework

4.1 Fit for the Future (FFF)

"The Council's FFF Strategy is designed to deliver the Vision for the District of making it a Great Place to Live, Work and Visit. To that end amongst other things the FFF Strategy contains several Key projects.

"The FFF Strategy has 3 strands – People, Services and Money and each has an external and internal element to it. The table below illustrates the impact of this proposal if any in relation to the Council's FFF Strategy."

FFF Strands				
People	Services	Money		
External				
Health, Homes, Communities	Green, Clean, Safe	Infrastructure, Enterprise, Employment		
Intended outcomes: Improved health for all Housing needs for all met Impressive cultural and sports activities Cohesive and active communities	Intended outcomes: Area has well looked after public spaces All communities have access to decent open space Improved air quality Low levels of crime and ASB	Intended outcomes: Dynamic and diverse local economy Vibrant town centres Improved performance/ productivity of local economy Increased employment and income levels		
Impacts of Proposal				

Development Services plays a key role in supporting the delivery of high quality housing developments in the district as well as supporting cultural and sporting infrastructure and applying policies that help to deliver cohesive and active communities	supports the provision of open space in new developments. The service applies policies relating to air quality and crime in the consideration of planning applications.	delivery through Section
Internal Effective Staff Intended outcomes: All staff are properly trained All staff have the appropriate tools All staff are engaged, empowered and supported The right people are in the right job with the right skills and right behaviours	Maintain or Improve Services Intended outcomes: Focusing on our customers' needs Continuously improve our processes Increase the digital provision of services	Firm Financial Footing over the Longer Term Intended outcomes: Better return/use of our assets Full Cost accounting Continued cost management Maximise income earning opportunities Seek best value for money
Staff are continually developed using a range of methods including formal courses, in-house training and attendance at seminars, conferences or regional bodies.	The Service Area is continually looking at ways of improving the way services are delivered to customers, through improved working practices and use of ICT.	Development Services ensures that any expenditure achieves the best value for money. It is also responsible for several major income streams (WBC, DM, Preapps, CIL, S106) to the Council which are continually reviewed to ensure income targets are met, and exceeded where possible.

4.2 Supporting Strategies

- 4.2.1 The Local Plan is key to the work of Development Services. Its policies are used many times every day to ensure high quality is supported and the District's infrastructure requirements are provided for.
- 4.2.2 The report also relates to the Medium Term financial strategy and sets out how Development Services is delivering the savings required along with key income streams.

4.3 Changes to Existing Policies

4.3.1 There are no changes to existing policies as a result of this report.

5. Budgetary Framework

5.1 Annual Budgets for Development Services are set by the Executive on an annual basis and budget reports are routinely considered by the Senior Management Team, with quarterly reports issued to the Executive. A separate report providing further details of Development Services Budgets is being presented to Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee on the 12th November 2019.

6. Risks

6.1 Risks are managed using the service area's Risk Register which is reviewed and updated on a quarterly basis, and is subject to scrutiny by the Finance and Audit Committee on the 12th November 2019.

7. Alternative Option(s) considered

7.1 As this report is predominantly for information, at the request of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee, no other options are proposed.