

Consultation Undertaken		
n/a		
Final Decision?	Yes	
Suggested next steps (if not final decision please set out below)		

1. Summary

1.1 This report summarises the Executive's response to comments given by the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee on reports submitted to the Executive on 26 July 2016.

2. Recommendation

2.1 That the responses made by the Executive be noted, as set out in Appendix 1 to the report.

3. Reasons for the Recommendation

3.1 This report is produced to create a dialogue between the Executive and the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee, ensuring that the Scrutiny Committee is formally made aware of the Executive's responses.

4. Alternative Options Considered

4.1 The Committee receives and notes the minutes of the Executive instead.

5. Budgetary Framework

5.1 There is no impact on the budgetary framework. This is for the Committee's information only.

6. Policy Framework

6.1 The work carried out by the Committee helps the Council to improve in line with its priority to manage services openly, efficiently and effectively.

7. Background

- 7.1 As part of the scrutiny process, the Committee no longer considers the whole of the Executive agenda.
- 7.2 Councillors are emailed at the time of the publication of the Executive and Scrutiny Committee agendas, asking them to contact Committee Services by 9.00 am on the day of the Scrutiny Committee, to advise which Executive items they wish the Scrutiny Committee to pass comment on and the reasons why.
- 7.3 As a result, at its meeting on 9 February 2016, the Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee considered the items detailed in the appendices. The responses which the Executive gave are also shown.

Responses from the meeting of the Executive held on 27 July 2016 to the Finance and Audit Scrutiny Committee's comments

Item no	4	Title	Leisure Development Programme Update
Scrutiny Comment		The Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations but was concerned about the substantial increase in costs. In addition, Members highlighted to the Executive that they would be expecting Mace to make a firm commitment to deliver savings through the Value Engineering Exercises. However, Members were encouraged by the inclusion of the penultimate sentence of paragraph 3.1.3, relating to the standard of the end product and the experience that customers should encounter at the facilities.	

Item no	5	Title	Budget Review to 30 June 2016
recommendations and welcomed the		recomme 6.5, relat	nce & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the endations and welcomed the inclusion of the detail at paragraph ing to the mitigation measures being undertaken to effectively the Council's budgets.
Executive his team for delivering the report and their continued w		for Whiting took the opportunity to thank the Head of Service and for delivering the report and their continued work to balance et, and reminded all of the continuing work necessary to ensure tal repairs could be funded.	

Item no	6	Title	Council Tax Reduction Scheme
Scrutiny Comment		recomme	nce & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the endations but was concerned about the effectiveness of the ion scheme, whilst noting that this was a mandatory ent.
Pesponse accepted		accepted	utive agreed with the concerns of the Scrutiny Committee but that at this time the consultation scheme was a requirement to be followed.

Item no	7	Title	Review of Support to Town and Parish Council
Scrutiny Comme		, i	
Executiv Respons		The Executive did not respond to this point but did explain that a risk assessment would be undertaken with respect to the cost involved should the relevant Council hand the service back to the District Council. This would be based on the outcome of the response to the consultation.	

Item no	9	Title	Procurement Exemption for WDC Copier Maintenance 2016/17
Scrutiny Comme	but was very concerned that the procurement process had been followed. Members requested further information as to other contractors had been approached about supplying the contract and if not, why not. In addition, Members were not with the risks posed of a 3 month rolling contract & queried contract end date had not been flagged up on the contract		nce & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendation very concerned that the procurement process had yet again not owed. Members requested further information as to whether stractors had been approached about supplying the maintenance and if not, why not. In addition, Members were not content risks posed of a 3 month rolling contract & queried why the end date had not been flagged up on the contract register - as a s demonstrated that the processes were not being adhered to.
report had sent hares running. He fully appreciated the work officer in ensuring proper procedure was in place. He highligh paragraphs 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 of the report. That said, he had with the concerns of the Scrutiny Committee but reminded a		ensuring proper procedure was in place. He highlighted hs 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 of the report. That said, he had empathy concerns of the Scrutiny Committee but reminded all Councillors tiny needed to scrutinise appropriately and therefore he would	

Item no	10	Title	Significant Business Risk Register
Scrutiny Commer		The Finance & Audit Scrutiny Committee supported the recommendations in the report.	
Executive Response		There wa	s no comment made by the Executive.